# A non-invasive approach to estimate the energetic requirements of an increasing seabird population in a

# 3 perturbed marine ecosystem

4 Davide Gaglio<sup>1</sup>\*, Richard B. Sherley<sup>2,3</sup>, Peter G. Ryan<sup>1</sup> and Timothée R. Cook<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, DST-NRF Centre of Excellence, University of

6 Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa.

7 <sup>2</sup>Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Penryn,

8 Cornwall, TR10 9FE, United Kingdom.

9 <sup>3</sup>Bristol Zoological Society, Clifton, Bristol, BS8 3HA, United Kingdom.

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Evolutionary Eco-physiology Team,

- 11 University Pierre et Marie Curie, Bât. 44-45, 4<sup>ème</sup> étage, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France.
- 12

13 \*Corresponding author: swift.terns@gmail.com

14

# 15 Abstract

16 There is a growing desire to integrate the food requirements of predators living in marine 17 ecosystems impacted by humans into sustainable fisheries management. We used non-18 invasive video-recording, photography and focal observations to build time-energy budget 19 models and to directly estimate the fish mass delivered to chicks by adult greater crested terns 20 Thalasseus bergii breeding in the Benguela ecosystem. Mean modelled adult daily food 21 intake increased from 140.9 g  $d^{-1}$  of anchovy *Engraulis capensis* during incubation to 171.7  $g \cdot d^{-1}$  and 189.2  $g \cdot d^{-1}$  when provisioning small and large chicks, respectively. Modelled prev 22 intake expected to be returned to chicks was 58.3 g  $d^{-1}$  (95% credible intervals: 44.9–75.8 23

 $g \cdot d^{-1}$ ) over the entire growth period. Based on our observations, chicks were fed 19.9  $g \cdot d^{-1}$ 24  $(17.2-23.0 \text{ g}\cdot\text{d}^{-1})$  to 45.1  $\text{g}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$  (34.6-58.7  $\text{g}\cdot\text{d}^{-1})$  of anchovy during early and late 25 26 provisioning, respectively. Greater crested terns have lower energetic requirements at the 27 individual (range: 15-34%) and population level (range: 1-7%) than the other Benguela 28 endemic seabirds that feed on forage fish. These modest requirements - based on a small 29 body size and low flight costs - coupled with foraging plasticity have allowed greater crested 30 terns to cope with changing prey availability, unlike the other seabirds species using the same 31 exploited prey base.

# 33 Introduction

The balance between energy expenditure and food consumption determines many aspects of animal ecology, including the role of species within ecosystems and the mechanisms that drive population dynamics<sup>1</sup>. As anthropogenic activities and environmental change threaten an increasing number of habitats, there is a growing need to investigate the energy requirements of species dwelling in impacted ecosystems<sup>2–4</sup> particularly when those species compete with humans for resources<sup>5,6</sup>. Such knowledge can facilitate the development of management plans that account for a species' needs at the population level.

41

42 Accurately measuring energetic needs is particularly important for birds as most species 43 operate at higher trophic levels, exerting top-down control on lower trophic levels and/or reacting to bottom-up forcing<sup>7</sup>. They need regular access to food resources because of their 44 high metabolic rate and energetically demanding flight<sup>8,9</sup>. Birds therefore offer opportunities 45 46 to explore the relationships between environmental limitations (e.g. climate change), food web characteristics (e.g. trophic relationships) and energy budgets<sup>10</sup>. This requires accurate 47 48 energetic estimates of individuals in the wild, but these are usually laborious and invasive to 49 obtain. For example, they include the capture of individuals for laboratory work (e.g. surgery, respirometry<sup>11,12</sup>), the use of doubly labelled water<sup>9</sup> or the deployment of data-loggers<sup>13</sup>. Such 50 methods are becoming a growing ethical concern<sup>14</sup>, particularly for threatened species, 51 making birds a challenging group to study<sup>12,15,16</sup>. Modelling approaches using time-activity 52 53 budgets combined with knowledge on the energetic costs of specific behaviours offer noninvasive alternatives to estimate bird energy expenditure in the wild<sup>17,18</sup>, and generally 54 provide improved estimates over allometric equations or thermodynamics modelling<sup>18,19</sup>. 55

57 Worldwide, many marine environments have been severely altered by human activity with large impacts on top predators<sup>20</sup>. Today  $\sim 28\%$  of the world's  $\sim 350$  seabird species are 58 59 considered to be threatened with extinction by the International Union for Conservation of Nature<sup>21</sup>. Moreover, seabirds have high foraging costs and are greatly affected by commercial 60 fishing activities $^{22-24}$ . In the North Sea, for example, competition with the industrial fishery 61 62 for lesser sandeel Ammodytes marinus is partly responsible for the low breeding success and 63 population decline of black-legged kittiwakes Rissa tridactyla and several other seabird populations<sup>25,26</sup>. Moreover, fluctuations in this key prev appeared to affect disproportionately 64 65 small, surface-feeding species with high foraging costs, leading to the suggestion that such 66 species – including terns – are sensitive indicators of deterioration in the state of marine ecosystems<sup>27</sup>. Using energetic models to better quantify the consumption of these sensitive 67 68 seabird species thus offers great potential to integrate their needs into an ecosystem approach to fisheries<sup>18</sup>. 69

70

71 The Benguela ecosystem off southern Africa is one of the four major eastern boundary 72 upwelling ecosystems and one of the most productive ocean areas in the world. Over the last 73 70 years a combination of fishing and environmental change have altered the availability of 74 lipid-rich forage fish forage in this system, with knock-on consequences for higher trophic 75 level predators<sup>24,28-31</sup>. In particular, the decreased access to prev is considered to be the key 76 driver of ongoing declines of three endemic seabird species: African penguins Spheniscus 77 demersus, Cape cormorants Phalacrocorax capensis and Cape gannets Morus capensis<sup>28-31</sup>. 78 Perhaps surprisingly, numbers of greater crested terns *Thalasseus bergii*, which rely on the 79 same resources and breed in the same region, have tripled over the last few decades; the reasons for these contrasting fortunes remain equivocal<sup>32,33</sup>. Considerable foraging plasticity<sup>34</sup> 80 and their ability to move breeding sites<sup>35</sup> could have helped greater crested terns maintain 81

high annual survivorship in the face of ecosystem-wide changes<sup>36</sup>. In addition, it is possible 82 83 that their small body size ( $\sim$ 390 g), single egg clutch, and short breeding period (68 days) 84 reduce the greater crested tern's overall energy requirements compared to other sympatric 85 breeding seabirds. Thus, estimating energy budgets for the Benguela's breeding seabirds may 86 help us to understand why numbers of greater crested terns are increasing while the region's threatened and endemic seabirds that rely on the same resource are decreasing. This 87 88 information will also improve our knowledge of food partitioning within the Benguela 89 ecosystem food-web, provide a baseline against which to assess the impact of future 90 environmental change, and assist the development of conservation planning.

91

Here, we report the foraging activity budget of the southern African population of breeding greater crested terns using non-invasive methods. Based on the duration and cost of activities performed by breeding adults, we modelled the daily energy expenditure (DEE) and daily food intake (DFI) of adults during different breeding stages. To account for parameter uncertainty and propagate sources of error, we used Bayesian inference and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) estimation. We then compared our observed estimates of chick daily food intake to our model results.

99

# 100 **Results**

# 101 Time activity budget in relation to breeding stage

102 Over a total of 51 days, 374 greater crested tern nests were video monitored during 103 incubation and 240 nests during early chick provisioning (hereafter "early provisioning"). 104 These videos provided duration estimates for 1,138 incubation foraging trips and 1,747 early 105 provisioning foraging trips. Over a 16-day period of focal observations, 31 chicks that had laft the nee

left the nest cup (hereafter "mobile chicks") were monitored during late chick provisioning

107 (hereafter "late provisioning"), which provided duration estimates for 252 foraging trips.

108

106

109 Foraging trips were longer during incubation than during both the early- or late-provisioning 110 periods (Figure 1a). Incubating adults spent an average of 4.73 h (95% CI 4.51–4.97) away from their nest per trip and performed 1.52 trips  $d^{-1}$  (1.46–1.58, Figure 1a,b). Foraging trips 111 112 during early provisioning were shorter (1.83 h, 1.76-1.90), allowing more trips (4.08 113 trips  $d^{-1}$ , 3.88–4.29) than during incubation (Figure 1b). As a result, the total time spent away 114 from the nest during incubation and early provisioning was similar (Figure 1c). During late 115 provisioning, when chicks are generally left alone so both adults can forage at once, the mean number of trips per parent per day (4.57 trips  $d^{-1}$ , 3.97–5.26) was similar to early 116 117 provisioning (Figure 1b). In contrast, the mean duration of each foraging trip was longer 118 (2.24 h, 2.02–2.48), resulting in an increase in the time each parent spent away from the chick 119 (Figure 1c).

120

# 121 Modelling time-energy-budgets

122 Time-energy budget models indicated that the total energy requirements of adults and 123 offspring increased steadily throughout the breeding season (Figure 2, Table 1). During incubation, the modelled DEE of an adult was 668 kJ  $d^{-1}$  (95% CI 552–784), with a DFI of 124 125 140.8 g  $d^{-1}$  of fish (105.1–186.4, Figure 2). During early provisioning, adult modelled DEE was 676 kJ $\cdot$ d<sup>-1</sup> (559–793), which was similar to during incubation. However, the estimated 126 total DFI for an adult, including that fed to the chick, was 22% more at 171.7 g  $d^{-1}$  (130.8– 127 224.3, Figure 2). During late provisioning, adult modelled DEE increased to 759 kJ day<sup>-1</sup> 128 (620–903) with a total modelled DFI, including that of the chick, of 189.2 g  $d^{-1}$  (143.1– 129 130 248.9, Figure 2).

131

Using an allometric equation for larids<sup>37</sup>, the modelled mean chick daily metabolizable energy intake was estimated as 358 kJ·d<sup>-1</sup> (310–405), which results in a chick modelled DFI of 75.6 g·d<sup>-1</sup> (58.2–98.2 g·d<sup>-1</sup>) over the pre-fledging period. Thus, the expected mean amount returned to chicks across the breeding population – assuming a breeding success of 0.59 chicks fledged per pair – would be 58.3 g·d<sup>-1</sup> (44.9–75.8 g·d<sup>-1</sup>), or 29.2 g·d<sup>-1</sup> (22.5–37.9 g·d<sup>-1</sup>) by each parent (Table 1).

138

Sensitivity analyses showed that variation in adult body mass and prey calorific value had the
largest effect on modelled estimates of DFI during all breeding stages (see Supplementary
Information S1 and Table S2).

142

# 143 Estimating chick DFI from photo-sampling, video-recording and focal observations

The mean (95% CI) mass of anchovies brought to the chick during early provisioning was 4.4 g (3.9–4.9, n = 126), which was smaller than the anchovy returned during late provisioning to mobile chicks (5.2 g; 5.0–5.5, n = 629; Figure 3). Feeding rates averaged 4.6 fish  $d^{-1}$  (4.1– 5.0, n = 240) returned to the nestling during early provisioning, with more fish returned during late provisioning (8.6 fish  $d^{-1}$ ; 6.6–11.2, n = 34). Chick observed DFI increased from early provisioning (19.9 g $d^{-1}$ , 17.2–23.0, n = 126) to late provisioning (45.1 g $d^{-1}$ , 34.6– 58.7, n = 629).

151

# 152 **Discussion**

Using a combination of different non-invasive methods, this study presents the first estimates of the time budget and linked energy expenditure of a population of breeding greater crested terns. Our results are in agreement with predictions of central-place foraging models, which 156 indicate that adults should increase the amount of energy delivered to chicks over the chick growth period and so raise their own energy expenditure through increased foraging<sup>13,38</sup>. 157 158 Small chicks were fed anchovies of a size appropriate to their smaller gape, whereas mobile 159 chicks received anchovies ca 20% heavier. Overall, the amount of fish required daily to feed 160 an adult and chick greater crested tern was 3-7 times lower than for other Benguela endemic 161 species relying on the same prey base (Table 2). A small body size, combined with a highly 162 efficient flight mode and an aptitude for finding food efficiently contribute to lowering the 163 energy budget of greater crested terns. These factors may help to explain why this species' 164 status remains favourable while populations of other Benguela endemic seabirds relying on 165 the same prey base are decreasing.

166

## 167 The use of non-invasive methods for assessing energy expenditure

168 Uncertainties in reconstructing time-energy expenditure can derive from several sources, including the inaccuracy of activity durations<sup>39</sup>, the estimated cost for each behaviour, and 169 170 thermoregulatory costs. For terns in particular, these parameters may lack precision as 171 energetic investigations on these birds have so far been limited to small numbers of individuals of only a few species<sup>40</sup>. For example, the model used to estimate flight costs may 172 misrepresent energy expenditure compared to more empirical estimates<sup>40-42</sup>. The use of 173 174 animal-borne data loggers (e.g. GPS, accelerometers) could overcome this limitation, 175 providing precise time-budget data on different at-sea behaviours (e.g. continuous flapping, 176 gliding, hovering and diving) and estimates of their associated energy expenditure $^{43}$ . 177 However, we favoured non-invasive methods as animal-borne data loggers can affect bird condition and behaviour<sup>16</sup>, and because greater crested terns are highly sensitive to human 178 179 disturbance<sup>44</sup>. Furthermore, the approach used in this study can provide better populationlevel inference than data logger studies, which usually rely on small sample sizes<sup>13,45</sup>. 180

182 Observed feeding rates in our study were limited to delivered prey. However, prior to feeding 183 their chick, provisioning adults may be forced to perform specific behaviours which require 184 additional energetic expenditure. Terns are often the target of inter- and intra-specific kleptoparasitism as they bring prey to the colony in their bill<sup>46,47</sup>. This can result in loss of 185 186 prev (up to 3.2 g  $d^{-1}$  of anchovies for interspecific kleptoparasitism) and/or additional energy costs to counter kleptoparasitic attacks<sup>48</sup>. Accordingly, provisioning adults may have to 187 compensate for the food lost in this way, with implications for their energy expenditure<sup>49</sup>: 188 189 however, this interaction is poorly understood and few studies can account for the energy 190 expenditure linked to kleptoparasitism in models.

191

# 192 Implications at the population level of low individual energetic requirements

193 The recent decreases in seabird populations in the Benguela ecosystem suggest that updated 194 estimates of food consumption are needed to account for energy partitioning in the management of the purse-seine fisheries, with which predators compete for prev<sup>24,31,50</sup>. 195 196 Modelling approaches are increasingly being implemented to study seabird-fishery competition<sup>23</sup>, including studies to predict the smallest forage fish biomass needed to sustain 197 seabird productivity over the long term<sup>51</sup>. To provide an overview of seabird energetic needs, 198 199 it is particularly important to account for species body size, clutch size, and number of 200 fledging days. These needs can then be extrapolated to a broader ecosystem level by 201 accounting for the total population breeding in the system.

202

A comparison of the energetic demands with the other three Benguela endemic seabirds that rely on forage fish, illustrates that the biomass of forage fish needed by breeding greater crested terns at present is much lower than that needed by the other populations (Table 2).

Greater crested tern chicks require ~3 kg of anchovy to fledge, compared to ~17 kg of 206 anchovy for an African penguin chick<sup>52</sup>  $\sim 10$  kg for a Cape gannet chick<sup>28</sup> and  $\sim 6$  kg for a 207 208 Cape cormorant chick (T. Cook unpublished data). With approximately 15,000 pairs breeding in the Benguela ecosystem, the whole population requires  $\sim 2,800 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$  of anchovy, which 209 210 equates to  $\sim$ 133 times less than the Cape gannet population and  $\sim$ 37 times less than the Cape 211 cormorant population breeding in the region (Table 2). Breeding African penguins, despite a 212 recent decrease in numbers<sup>33</sup>, require ~13 times more food than greater crested terns (Table 213 2). Thus, their modest energetic requirements may be a key component allowing greater 214 crested terns to cope in a changing and highly exploited environment.

215

216 In animals like seabirds, that must travel large distances to secure prey, costs of transport can 217 constitute a large portion of the daily energy budget. Compared to other species of the guild 218 of Benguela ecosystem seabirds specialised on forage fish, the cost of flight per unit of body 219 mass and time in greater crested terns is low (Table 2). Consequently, the overall cost of 220 flight per individual and per time unit in this species is 4–5 times lower than in the other 221 volant seabirds of this guild (Table 2). In part, this can be attributed to their wing morphology. Like other tern species, greater crested terns have long (90–115 cm)<sup>53</sup>, narrow, 222 223 pointed wings with low wing loading. This makes them efficient at the slow, agile flight 224 needed when searching for food<sup>54</sup>. Terns are capable of rapid turning, swooping, hovering, vertical take-off and soaring<sup>40</sup>, all with relatively low energy expenditure. Their capacity to 225 226 explore the marine environment efficiently may help explain why greater crested terns appear 227 more successful than the Benguela ecosystem's other seabird species at coping with 228 decreased food availability.

229

230 In the northern Benguela, the population of sardine has been depleted since the early 1970s, 231 and there has been little if any compensation by anchovy, forcing seabirds there to consume low-quality prey such as bearded goby Sufflogobius bibarbatus<sup>55</sup>. In contrast to the declining 232 233 African penguin population, the small population of greater crested terns (~1,200 pairs), 234 which also relies on bearded goby in Namiba<sup>54</sup>, has remained stable, suggesting an ability to 235 cope when switching to low-quality prev<sup>56</sup>. Terns in the North Sea were found to be most 236 vulnerable and sensitive to sandeel exploitation, presumably as a consequence of their 237 specialized diet, small foraging range and inability to increase parental foraging effort when prev becomes scarce<sup>25</sup>. In contrast, greater crested terns breeding in the Benguela ecosystem 238 239 could buffer these limitations due to their flexible diet, which includes ca. 50 different prev species<sup>34</sup> and their low fidelity to breeding sites, which are believed to be chosen depending 240 241 on the local availability of prey immediately preceding the breeding season, rather than by philopatry<sup>32</sup>. In addition, the recent major decrease of migrant tern populations to the 242 Benguela ecosystem (e.g. common tern Sterna hirundo<sup>57</sup>) may have led to reduced 243 244 interspecific competition with surface-gleaning seabirds, providing more resources for this 245 resident tern species. In this context, the greater crested terns' low energy requirements 246 combined with their ability to switch to alternative prey provide a great advantage, 247 highlighting the apparent species-specific responses to shifting foraging conditions, which 248 seem to favour the greater crested tern in this ecosystem.

249

In conclusion, this study shows that greater crested terns have relatively low energy requirements at both the individual and population level, when compared to other seabirds breeding in the Benguela ecosystem that rely on the same resources. These low energy requirements appear to contribute to their recent increase in this exploited ecosystem. Further studies implementing detailed knowledge of the energetics, prey demands and demography of

the Benguela's endemic seabirds are needed to understand the apparent differences in their food requirements and assist the development of conservation planning for the threatened seabird species breeding in the region<sup>58,59</sup>.

258

# 259 Methods

#### 260 Measuring time-budget and feeding rates from video-recording and focal observations

Foraging trip durations and offspring feeding rates of breeding greater crested terns were assessed on Robben Island (33°48'S, 18°22'E), in South Africa's Western Cape Province, using non-invasive video recordings of nest-cup activities during early provisioning (Figure S1). All methods were approved by the Department of Environmental Affairs (RES2013/24, RES2014/83, RES2015/65) and the animal ethics committee of the University of Cape Town (2013/V3/TC).

267

Greater crested tern chicks become mobile and leave the nest cup after approximately four days<sup>53</sup>. Thus, we monitored individual chicks banded with engraved colour rings using binoculars and a hide (distance 10–30 m) to determine foraging trip durations and feeding rates during late provisioning. Observations and recordings were made from February to May during three breeding seasons (2013, 2014 and 2015). See Supplementary Information (S1) for details on these observations.

274

Video recordings were analysed using VLC media player (VideoLAN project). Three breeding stages were recognised: incubation (during which time, any prey brought to the colony are only used for courtship), early provisioning (the mean week when chicks are provisioned in the nest cup), and late provisioning (the period when adults provision mobile chicks, which typically gather in crèches). Greater crested terns do not forage at night<sup>60</sup>, but our cameras were not always able to capture useable footage from first light or after sunset. Therefore, if birds on focal nests had already left by the start of filming at dawn, or not returned to the nest by the time our cameras could no longer operate due to low light levels, we used nautical twilight as a proxy of their departure and arrival times<sup>61,62</sup>. Nautical twilight is defined when the centre of the sun is 12° below the earth's horizon<sup>63</sup>. The time of twilight on a given date at each colony was obtained from www.timeanddate.com.

286

# 287 Estimating chick DFI from photo-sampling

Prey carried by greater crested terns returning to the breeding colony to feed chicks were recorded as part of a program monitoring tern diet<sup>34</sup>. Prey were photographed using a noninvasive photo-sampling technique, allowing for an accurate determination of fish species and standard length<sup>64</sup> For anchovy, we converted estimated fish lengths to mass using a yearly species-specific regression (see Supporting Information S1 and Table S3).

293

# 294 Time-energy budget models

295 Time-energy budget models were built for adult greater crested terns to calculate the amount 296 of food that individuals needed to consume daily to rear their progeny in a season (daily food intake – DFI,  $g \cdot d^{-1}$ ). Specific input values shown in Table 3. Two main behaviours were 297 298 identified: flying and resting at the colony. Precise time-budget data on at-sea behaviour can 299 be identified using activity recorders such as accelerometers<sup>43</sup>. Due to their small size and 300 sensitivity to disturbance, such data is lacking for almost all tern species. Thus, greater 301 crested terns were assumed to be flying the entire time they were away from the colony. This 302 assumption is supported by the fact that, while foraging, greater crested terns do not rest at 303 the sea surface, diving events are infrequent and dives last only a few seconds at most (pers. obs.). Budgets were based on the bioenergetic model elaborated by Grémillet et al.<sup>6</sup>. By 304

305 considering the duration (*D*) and metabolism per time unit (*M*) of each activity daily energy 306 expenditure (*DEE*, kJ·d<sup>-1</sup>) for adults was defined as:

$$DEE = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (D_k \times M_k)$$
(1)

307

308 *DEE* was then converted into adult DFI. Anchovy make up ~65% of the prey species 309 consumed by greater crested terns in the Western Cape<sup>34</sup> but since one of our aims was to 310 compare observed estimates of chick DFI to our model results, for the purpose of the model 311 we assume that anchovy makes up the entire diet (but see Supplementary Information S1). 312 Using the mean ( $\pm$  SD) calorific value (*Cp*) of 6.22  $\pm$  0.65 kJ·g<sup>-1</sup> (wet mass)<sup>65-69</sup> and an 313 assimilation efficiency<sup>37</sup> (*Ea*) of 0.77  $\pm$  0.34, we calculated adult DFI (g·d<sup>-1</sup>) as:

$$DFI = \frac{DEE}{Cp \times Ea}$$

316 We took adult DFI to represent the total energetic needs during each incubation period. For 317 each of the early- and late-provisioning phases, we estimated total adult DFI as the sum of the 318 fish needed to sustain their own expenditure (DFI), as derived from their time-activity 319 budget, and the amount needed for chick maintenance and growth. Greater crested tern 320 chicks' energetic requirements have not been measured before. Chick energetic requirements 321 were thus estimated by fitting an allometric regression to published data on 10 larid species<sup>37</sup> 322 (Figure S2). This regression yielded a distribution for the total amount of energy metabolized until fledging (*TME*, kJ) in relation to asymptotic chick mass (A = 370 g, Table 3): 323

$$TME = \alpha + (\beta \times A)$$

(3)

324

where  $\alpha$  is the distribution for the estimate of the allometric regression intercept (posterior mean = 539.5) and  $\beta$  is the distribution for the estimate of the slope parameter (posterior mean = 37.3). Mean chick daily metabolizable energy intake (*MEI*) (kJ) over the fledging period (40 days) was thus calculated in relation to days taken to fledge (F):

$$MEI = \frac{TME}{F}$$

(4)

(5)

(7)

329

We used a breeding success of 0.59 chicks fledged per pair and a fledging period of 40 days<sup>70</sup>
(Table 3) to estimate a daily chick mortality rate (*CMR*) by assuming that nests fail at random
through time:

$$CMR = \frac{\log(0.59)}{F}$$

333

We then used the resulting survival function (Figure S3) to estimate total adult DFI (TDFI)

for each of the early-provisioning (p = 1) and late-provisioning (p = 2) phases as:

$$TDFI_{p} = DFI_{p} + \left(MEE \times \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{F} \exp(CMR \times t)}{F}\right) \times 0.5\right),$$
$$t = 1 \dots F, p = 1,2$$
(6)

336

and estimated *TDFI* across the 40-day fledging period as:

$$TDFI_F = (TDFI_1 \times 0.1) + (TDFI_2 \times 0.9)$$

338

Metabolic rates of different activities undertaken by the adults were taken from the literature (Table 3). We used a basal metabolic rate (BMR) of 6.73 W.kg<sup>-1</sup> derived from respirometry<sup>71</sup>, 2 × BMR as an estimate of the cost of resting at the colony<sup>72</sup> and estimated the cost of flying in greater crested terns (as  $5.2 \times BMR$ ) with the software Flight  $1.25^{73}$  using a wingspan of 1 m<sup>53</sup>, a wing aspect ratio of 10.4 (from the sooty tern *Sterna fuscata*)<sup>73</sup> and a body mass of 390 g<sup>53</sup>. This software uses aerodynamic modelling, species-specific body mass and dimension to calculate the energetic cost of flying. Terns may use alternative flight 346 modes to continuous flapping (vertical take-off after a dive, hovering over the water in search 347 for prey or gliding) and incur different flight costs depending on the flight mode or the wind 348 field (wind speed and direction). However, we assumed that greater crested terns were flying 349 continuously during their time away from the colony, that the time spent using alternative 350 flight modes was marginal and that overall, greater crested terns experienced an equivalent proportion of different wind speeds and directions. Flight cost (35.6 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>) was thus 351 calculated as the average between the minimum (31.8  $W \cdot kg^{-1}$ ) and maximum (39.5  $W \cdot kg^{-1}$ ) 352 353 power to fly using continuous flapping. Food requirements for the other Benguela endemic 354 seabirds were collected from previous studies (Table 2).

355

## 356 Statistical analyses

357 To account for the impact of the uncertainty of the different input parameters on the 358 estimated energy budget, we used MCMC estimation in JAGS (v.4.1.0) via the 'jagsUI' library (v. 1.4.2)<sup>74</sup> for programme R v.3.2.3<sup>75</sup> to build the time energy budget model. For 359 360 input parameters (Table 3) where data were normally distributed, we used normal priors with 361 observed means and SDs. Where data were expected to be positive-only with positively-362 skewed errors (e.g. duration data) we used gamma priors with the observed means for the 363 shape parameter and rate = 1. For the allometric regression between TME and asymptotic chick mass, we used uninformative priors<sup>76</sup> with  $N(0, 10^{-7})$  for means (where  $10^{-7}$  is 364 precision) and U(1,500,4,500) for the residual standard error ( $\sigma$ ), with the precision 365 specified as  $\sigma^{-2}$ . 366

367

To calculate chick DFI estimated from fish mass recorded by photo-sampling, we used the MCMC method described above to fit a gamma regression with a log-link function to estimate the mean ( $\pm$  95% CI) mass of anchovy returned to the colony by breeding stage 371 (early provisioning = 1, late provisioning = 2) from n = 755 photographs. The mean ( $\pm 95\%$ 372 CI) number of prey delivered to offspring by breeding stage from n = 274 events recorded on 373 video or during focal observations, the mean ( $\pm$  95% CI) foraging trip duration, and the mean 374  $(\pm 95\% \text{ CI})$  number of offspring feeds per day (feeding rate) by breeding stage (incubation = 375 1, early provisioning = 2, late provisioning = 3) were also estimated using gamma regressions 376 with a log-link functions. For the gamma regressions, we used uninformative priors, 377  $N(0, 10^{-7})$  for the estimated coefficients in the linear predictor and U(0, 100) for the shape 378 parameter. The observed chick DFI was calculated by multiplying the posterior distributions 379 for anchovy mass and number of prey delivered.

380

For all parameters, we modelled means  $\pm$  95% Bayesian credible intervals (CI) using three MCMC chains (150,000 samples, burn-in of 50,000 and no thinning). All models unambiguously converged (all  $\hat{R}$  values < 1.01). See Supporting Information S2 for model code.

385

## 386 Ethics statement

387

# 388 Acknowledgements

Our research was supported by a Department of Science and Technology-National Research Foundation Centre of Excellence grant to the FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, the Leiden Conservation Foundation (RBS) and our institutes. Robben Island Museum provided logistical support and access to the tern colonies. We thank Selena Flores, Billi Krochuk and Maël Leroux for their help in the field.

394

#### **395** Author Contributions

All authors conceived and designed the study. DG Performed the fieldwork and wrote the
original manuscript draft. DG, RBS and TRC analysed the data. RBS prepared the figures.
All authors revised the manuscript for significant intellectual content and approved the final
version.

400

# 401 **Competing financial interests**

402 The authors declare no competing interests.

403

## 404 **References**

- 405 1. Brown, M. T., & Ulgiati, S. Energy quality, energy, and transformity: HT Odum's contributions to
  406 quantifying and understanding systems. *Ecological Modelling*, **178**, 201–213 (2004).
- 407 2. Krebs, J. R., & Davies, N. B. Economic decisions and the individual. In 'An Introduction to
  408 Behavioural Ecology'. (Eds J. R. Krebs & N. B. Davies.) Blackwell Scientific Publications, 48–76
  409 (London, 1993).
- 410 3. Gordon M. S., & Bartol, S. M. Experimental approaches to conservation biology. University of
  411 California Press, (Berkeley and Los Angeles, 2004).
- 412 4. Brander, K. M. Global fish production and climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy*413 *of Sciences USA*, **104**, 19709–19714 (2007).
- 414 5. Bunce, A. Prey consumption of Australasian gannets (Morus serrator) breeding in Port Phillip
- 415 Bay, southeast Australia, and potential overlap with commercial fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine*
- 416 *Science: Journal du Conseil*, **58**, 904–915 (2001).
- 417 6. Grémillet, D., Wright, G., Lauder, A., Carss, D. N. & Wanless, S. (2003) Modelling the daily food
- 418 requirements of wintering great cormorants: a bioenergetics tool for wildlife management. *Journal*
- 419 *of Applied Ecology*, **40**, 266–277 (2003).
- 420 7. Britten, G. L. *et al.* Predator decline leads to decreased stability in a coastal fish community.
- 421 *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 1518–25 (2014).

- 422 8. Grémillet, D., & Wilson, R. P. A life in the fast lane: energetics and foraging strategies of the great
  423 cormorant. *Behavioural Ecology*, **10**, 516–524 (1999).
- Mullers R. H. E., Navarro R. A., Daan S., Tinbergen J. M. & Meijer H. A. J. Energetic costs of
  foraging in breeding Cape gannets *Morus capensis*. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series*, 393, 161–
  171 (2009).
- 427 10. Einoder, L. D. A review of the use of seabirds as indicators in fisheries and ecosystem
  428 management. *Fisheries Research*, 95, 6–13 (2009).
- 429 11. Green, J. A., White, C. R., Bunce, A., Frappell, P. B., Butler, P. J. Energetic consequences of
  430 plunge diving in gannets. *Endangered Species Research*, 10, 269–279 (2009).
- 431 12. Enstipp, M. R., Grémillet, D. & Lorentsen, S. H. Energetic costs of diving and thermal status in
- 432 European shags (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*). Journal of Experimental Biology, 208, 3451–3461
  433 (2005).
- 434 13. Collins, P. M. *et al.* Energetic consequences of time-activity budgets for a breeding seabird.
  435 *Journal of Zoology*, **300**, 153–162 (2016).
- 436 14. Lane, J. M., McDonald, R. A. Welfare and 'best practices' in field studies of wildlife. In: The
- 437 UFAW handbook on the care and management of laboratory and other research animals, 8th Ed,
- 438 Hubrecht R., Kirkwood J., editors. Wiley-Blackwell, 92–106 (Oxford, 2010)
- 439 15. Keller, T. M., & Visser, G. H. Daily energy expenditure of great cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo*
- 440 *sinensis* wintering at Lake Chiemsee, southern Germany. Ardea, **87**, 61–69 (1999).
- 441 16. Elliott, K. H. et al. High flight costs, but low dive costs, in auks support the biomechanical
- 442 hypothesis for flightlessness in penguins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
- 443 United States of America **110**, 9380–9384 (2013).
- 444 17. Furness, R. W. Energy requirements of seabird communities: a bioenergetics model. *Journal of*445 *Animal Ecology*, 47, 39–53 (1978).
- 446 18. Fort, J., Porter W. P., & Grémillet D. Energetic modelling: a comparison of the different
- 447 approaches used in seabirds. *Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, **158**, 358–365 (2011).

- 448 19. Humphreys, E. M., Wanless, S. & Bryant, D.M. Stage-dependent foraging in breeding black-
- legged kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*: distinguishing behavioural responses to intrinsic and extrinsic
  factors. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **37**, 436–446 (2006).
- 451 20. McCauley, D. J. *et al.* Marine defaunation: Animal loss in the global ocean. *Science*, 347,
  452 1255641 (2015).
- 453 21. Votier, S.C., Sherley, R.B. Seabirds. Current Biology, 27, R448–R450 (2017).
- 454 22. Hobday, A. J., Bell, J. D., Cook, T. R., Gasalla, M. A., & Weng, K. C. Reconciling conflicts in
  455 pelagic fisheries under climate change. *Deep Sea Research II*, **113**, 291–300 (2015).
- 456 23. Sydeman, W. J., *et al.* Best practices for assessing forage fish fisheries-seabird resource
  457 competition. *Fisheries Research*, **194**, 209–221 (2017)
- 458 24. Sherley, R.B., Barham, B.J., Barham, P.J., Campbell, K.J., Crawford, R.J.M., Grigg, J., Horswill,
- 459 C., McInnes, A., Morris, T.L., Pichegru, L., Steinfurth, A., Weller, F., Winker, H. & Votier, S.C.
- 460 Bayesian inference reveals positive but subtle effects of experimental fishery closures on marine
- 461 predator demographics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **285**, 20172443.
- 462 (2018).
- 25. Rindorf, A., Wanless, S., & Harris, M. P Effects of changes in sandeel availability on the
  reproductive output of seabirds. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 202, 241–252. (2000).
- 465 26. Frederiksen, M., Wanless, S., Harris, M. P., Rothery, P., & Wilson, L. J. The role of industrial
- 466 fisheries and oceanographic change in the decline of North Sea black-legged kittiwakes. *Journal of*
- 467 *Applied Ecology*, **41**, 1129–1139 (2004).
- 468 27. Furness R. W. & Tasker M. L. Seabird-fishery interactions: quantifying the sensitivity of seabirds
  469 to reductions in sandeel abundance, and identification of key areas for sensitive seabirds in the
- 470 North Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **202**, 253–264 (2000).
- 471 28. Pichegru, L. et al. Foraging behaviour and energetics of Cape gannets Morus capensis feeding on
- 472 live prey and fishery discards in the Benguela upwelling system. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
  473 **350**, 127–136 (2007).
- 474 29. Crawford, R. J. M. *et al.* Collapse of South Africa's penguins in the early 21st century. *African*475 *Journal of Marine Science*, 33, 139–156 (2011).

- 476 30. Sherley, R. B. et al. Age-specific survival and movement among major African Penguin 477 Spheniscus demersus colonies. Ibis, 56, 716–728 (2014).
- 478 31. Sherley, R. B. et al. Metapopulation tracking juvenile penguins reveals an ecosystem-wide 479 ecological trap. Current Biology, 27, 1-6 (2017).
- 480 32. Crawford R. J. M., A recent increase of Swift Terns Thalasseus bergii off South Africa - the
- 481 possible influence of an altered abundance and distribution of prey. Progress in Oceanography, 482 83, 398–403 (2009).
- 483 33. Crawford, R. J. M., Makhado, A. B., Waller, L. J., & Whittington, P. A. Winners and losers-
- 484 responses to recent environmental change by South African seabirds that compete with purse-seine 485 fisheries for food. Ostrich, 85, 111-117 (2014).
- 486 34. Gaglio, D., Cook, T.R., McInnes, A., Sherley, R.B., & Ryan, P.G. Foraging plasticity in seabirds:
- 487 a non-invasive study of the diet of greater crested terns breeding in the Benguela Region. PLoS 488 ONE, 13, e0190444 (2018).
- 489 35. Crawford, R. J. M. Influence of food on numbers breeding, colony size and fidelity to localities of
- 490 Swift Terns in South Africa's Western Cape, 1987–2000. Waterbirds, 26, 44–53 (2003).
- 491 36. Payo-Payo, A., Sanz-Aguilar, A., Gaglio, D., Sherley, R. B., Cook, T. R., Altwegg, R. & Ryan P.
- 492 G. Survival estimates of Greater Crested Terns (Thalasseus bergii) in southern Africa. African 493
- Journal of Marine Science (In Press).
- 494 37. Visser, G. H. Chick growth and developments in seabirds. In Biology of Marine Birds (eds: 495 Schreiber EA, Burger J). CRC Press, 439–465 (Boca Raton, 2002).
- 496 38. Orians G. H., & Pearson N. E. On the theory of central place foraging. In: Horn, O. J., Stairs, B.
- 497 R., & Mitchell R. D. (eds) Analysis of ecological systems. Ohio State University Press, 155-177
- 498 (Columbus, 1979).
- 499 39. Goldstein, D. L. Estimates of daily energy expenditure in birds: the time-energy budget as an 500 integrator of laboratory and field studies. American Zoologist, 28, 829-844 (1988).
- 501 40. Flint, E. N. & Nagy, K. A. Flight energetics of free-living Sooty Terns. Auk, 101, 288-294 (1984).

41. McWilliams, S. R., Guglielmo, C., Pierce, B. & Klaassen, M. Flying, fasting, and feeding in birds
during migration: a nutritional and physiological ecology perspective. *Journal Avian Biology*, 35,

504 377–393 (2004).

- 505 42. Schmidt-Wellenburg, C. A., Biebach, H., Daan, S. & Visser, G. H. Energy expenditure and wing
- 506 beat frequency in relation to body mass in free flying Barn Swallows (*Hirundo rustica*). Journal of
- 507 *Comparative Physiology B*, **177**, 327–337 (2007).
- 43. Wilson, R. P., Quintana, F., Hobson, V. J. Construction of energy landscapes can clarify the
  movement and distribution of foraging animals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 279, 975–980
  (2012).
- 511 44. Gaglio, D., Cook, T. R., & Sherley, R. B. Egg morphology of Swift Terns in South Africa.
  512 Ostrich, 86, 287–289 (2015).
- 513 45. Hebblewhite, M., & Haydon, D. T. Distinguishing technology from biology: a critical review of
- the use of GPS telemetry data in ecology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*

515 *London B*, **365**, 2303–2312 (2010).

- 46. Brockmann, H. J., & Barnard, C. J. Kleptoparasitism in birds. *Animal Behaviour*, 27, 487–514
  (1979).
- 47. Gaglio, D., & Sherley, R. B. Nasty neighbourhood: kleptoparasitism and egg predation of Swift
  Terns by Hartlaub's Gulls. *Ornithological Observations*, 5, 131–134 (2014).
- 48. Gaglio, D., Sherley, R. B., Cook, T. R., Ryan, P. G., & Flower, T. The cost of kleptoparasitism: a
  study of mixed-species seabirds breeding colonies. *Behavioral Ecology*. In press.
- 49. Stienen, E. W., Brenninkmeijer, A., & Courtens, W. Intra-specific plasticity in parental
  investment in a long-lived single-prey loader. *Journal of Ornithology*, **156**, 699–710 (2015).
- 524 50. Pichegru, L., et al. Overlap between vulnerable top predators and fisheries in the Benguela
- 525 upwelling system: implications for marine protected areas. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **391**,
- 526 199–208 (2009).
- 527 51. Cury P. M., Boyd I. L., Bonhommeau S., & Anker-Nilssen T. Global seabird response to forage
- fish depletion: one-third for the birds. *Science*, **334**, 1703–1706 (2011).

- 529 52. Bouwhuis, S., Visser, G. H., & Underhill, L. G. Energy budget of African penguin Spheniscus
- 530 *demersus* chicks. In Kirkman SP (ed.) 2007. Final report of BCLME (Benguela Current Large
- 531 Marine Ecosystem) Project on Top Predators as Biological Indicators of Ecosystem Change in the
- 532 BCLME. Avian Demography Unit, 125–127 (Cape Town, 2007).
- 533 53. Crawford, R. J. M., Hockey, P. A. R. & Tree, A. J. Swift Tern Sterna bergii. In Roberts Birds of
- 534 Southern Africa (7th edition) (eds P. A. R. Hockey, W. R. J. Dean & P.G. Ryan), pp 453–455.
- 535 Trustees of the John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, (Cape Town, 2005).
- 536 54. Videler, J. J. Avian Flight. Oxford University Press, (Oxford, 2005).
- 537 55. Ludynia K., Roux, J. P., Kemper, J., & Underhill, L. G. Surviving off junk: low-energy prey
- dominates the diet of African penguins *Spheniscus demersus* at Mercury Island, Namibia, between
  1996 and 2009. *African Journal Marine Science*, **32**, 563–572, (2010).
- 540 56. Kemper, J., Underhill, L. G., Crawford, R. J. M., & Kirkman, S. P. Revision of the conservation
- 541 status of seabirds and seals breeding in the Benguela ecosystem. In Kirkman, S. P., editor, Final
- 542 Report of the BCLME (Benguela Current Large Marine Ecosystem) Project on Top Predators as
- 543 Biological Indicators of Ecosystem Change in the BCLME. Avian Demography Unit, 325–342,
  544 (Cape Town, 2007).
- 545 57. Ryan, P. G. Medium-term changes in coastal bird communities in the Western Cape, South
  546 Africa. *Austral ecology*, 38, 251–259 (2013).
- 547 58. Crawford, R. J. M., Ryan, P. G., & Williams, A. J. Seabird consumption and production in the
- 548 Benguela and western Agulhas ecosystems. *South African Journal of Marine Science*, 11, 357–375
  549 (1991).
- 550 59. Lescroël, A. *et al.* Seeing the ocean through the eyes of seabirds: A new path for marine
  551 conservation? *Marine Policy*, 68, 212–220 (2016).
- 552 60. Nicholson, L. Breeding strategies and community structure in an assemblage of tropical seabirds
- on the Lowendal Islands, Western Australia. Unpublished Doctoral dissertation, Murdoch
  University, (Perth, 2002).
- 555 61. Stienen, E. W. *et al.* Reflections of a specialist: patterns in food provisioning and foraging
  556 conditions in Sandwich Terns *Sterna sandvicensis*. *Ardea*, **88**, 33–49 (2000).

- 557 62. McLeay, L. J. et al. Foraging behaviour and habitat use of a short-ranging seabird, the crested
- tern. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 411, 271–283 (2010).
- 559 63. Hull, C. L. et al. Intraspecific variation in commuting distance of marbled murrelets
- 560 Brachyramphus marmoratus: ecological and energetic consequences of nesting further inland.
- 561 *Auk*, **118**, 1036–1046 (2001).
- 562 64. Gaglio, D., Cook, T. R., Connan, M., Ryan, P. G. & Sherley, R. B. Dietary studies in birds: testing
- a non-invasive method using digital photography in seabirds. *Method in Ecology and Evolution*, 8,
  214–222 (2017).
- 565 65. Batchelor A. L., Ross G. J. B. The diet and implications of dietary change of Cape gannets on
  566 Bird Island, Nelson Mandela Bay. *Ostrich*, 55, 45–63 (1984).
- 567 66. Prosch, R. M. Early growth in length of the anchovy Engraulis capensis Gilchrist off South
- 568 Africa. South African Journal of Marine Science, 4, 181–191 (1986).
- 569 67. Jackson, S. Seabird digestive physiology in relation to foraging ecology. PhD thesis, University of
  570 Cape Town, (Cape Town, 1990).
- 571 68. Balmelli, W., & Wickens, P. A. Estimates of daily ration for the South African (Cape) fur seal.

572 *African Journal of Marine Science*, **14**, 151–157 (1994).

- 573 69. Pichegru, L., Ryan, P. G., Crawford, R. J. M., van der Lingen, C. D. & Grémillet, D. Behavioural
- 574 inertia places a top marine predator at risk from environmental change in the Benguela upwelling
- 575 system. *Marine Biology*, **157**, 537–544 (2010).
- 576 70. Crawford, R. J. M. *et al.* Longevity, inter-colony movements and breeding of Crested Terns in
  577 South Africa. *Emu*, **102**, 1–9 (2002).
- 578 71. Ellis, H. I. Metabolism and evaporative water loss in three seabirds (Laridae). Federation
  579 Proceedings, 39, 1165 (1980).
- 580 72. Enstipp, M. R., Daunt, F., Wanless, S., Humphreys, E. M., Hamer, K. C., Benvenuti, S., &
- 581 Grémillet, D. Foraging energetics of North Sea birds confronted with fluctuating prey availability.
- In: Boyd, S.; Wanless, S., Camphuysen, C. J., (eds.) Top predators in marine ecosystems: their role
- 583 in monitoring and management. Cambridge University Press, 191–210 (Cambridge, 2006).
- 584 73. Pennycuick, C. J. Modelling the flying bird. Academic Press, (London, 2008).

- 585 74. Kellner, K. jagsUI: A Wrapper Around 'rjags' to Streamline 'JAGS' Analyses. R package version
- 586 1.4.2. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=jagsUI (2016).
- 75. R Development Core Team R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R
  Foundation for Statistical Computing. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. http://www.R-project.org\_(Vienna,
  2016).
- 590 76. Gelman, A. & Hill, J. Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models.
- 591 Cambridge University Press, (New York, 2006).
- 592 77. Nagy, K. A., Siegfried. W, R., Wilson, R. P. Energy utilization by free-ranging Jackass penguins
- 593 Spheniscus demersus. Ecology, 65, 1648–1655 (1984).
- 594 78. Ellis, H. I., Gabrielsen, G. W. Energetics of free-ranging seabirds. In Biology of marine birds
  595 (eds: Schreiber EA, Burger J). CRC Press, 359–407 (London, 2002).
- 596 79. Le Roux, J. The swift tern *Sterna bergii* in southern Africa: growth and movement. MSc
  597 dissertation, University of Cape Town, (Cape Town, 2006).
- 598

# 600 Tables and figures

Table 1: Time-budget and energetic parameters used to model time-energy budgets of greater crested terns in relation to breeding stage (incubating, early chick provisioning and late chick provisioning) and output of these models, including daily energy expenditure (DEE), daily food intake (DFI) and catch per unit effort (CPUE), i.e. the amount of food caught relative to time spent at sea. Values shown are means  $\pm$  SD. \* Half the daily chick portion, as delivered by one adult and modelled as the mean chick metabolizable energy intake (see Methods).

Parameter	Incubation Early		Late
Time (min.day <sup>-1</sup> ):			
- at the colony	$1008 \pm 14$	$993 \pm 15$	$826 \pm 55$
- flying	$432\pm14$	$447\pm15$	$614 \pm 55$
- diving	$1 \pm 0.2$	$1 \pm 0.2$	$1 \pm 0.2$
Cost resting at colony $(kJ \cdot d^{-1})$	$315.9\pm28.2$	$311.0\pm27.8$	$259.0\pm28.6$
Cost flying $(kJ \cdot d^{-1})$	$352.1\pm33.0$	$364.6\pm34.3$	$500.0\pm 62.8$
DEE $(kJ \cdot d^{-1})$	$667.9\pm59.2$	$675.6\pm60.0$	$758.9\pm72.3$
Adult DFI $(g \cdot d^{-1})$	$140.9\pm20.7$	$142.5\pm21.0$	$160.1 \pm 24.2$
Chick DFI $(g \cdot d^{-1})^*$	-	$29.2\pm3.9$	$29.2 \pm 3.9$
Total DFI $(g \cdot d^{-1})$	$140.9\pm20.7$	$171.7\pm23.9$	$189.2 \pm 27.0$
CPUE (g·min <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.33\pm0.05$	$0.32\pm0.05$	$0.26\pm0.04$

606

- 608 Table 2: Comparison of population trends, body mass, adult basal metabolic rate (BMR), transport costs and
- 609 daily food intake (DFI) at individual and population level among four forage fish specialists breeding in the
- 610 Benguela ecosystem. \*Based on the South African Red List citation. \*\*Cost of flight, or swimming in penguins.
- 611 \*\*\*Data from the Department of Environmental Affairs. †Data from this study; all other sources are cited.

Species	G. crested tern	Cape cormorant	Cape gannet	African penguin
IUCN status	Least concern	Endangered	Vulnerable	Endangered
Population trend*	Increasing	Decreasing >50%	Decreasing >30%	Decreasing >50%
Average adult body mass (kg)	0.39	1.2	2.6	3.2
BMR (W.kg-1)	6.7	4.9	3.4	3.1
Cost of transport (kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup> )**	2.0	3.9	2.0	1.6
Cost of transport (kJ·min <sup>-1</sup> )**	0.8	4.7	5.3	5.1
Provisioning adult DFI (mean)	187.5 g·d <sup>-1</sup>	547.0 g·d <sup>-1</sup>	1,250 g·d <sup>-1</sup>	758.0 g·d⁻¹
(Brood size) Chick DFI (modelled)	$(1) \sim 76 \text{ g} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$	$(2) \sim 210 \text{ g} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$	$(1) \sim 165 \text{ g} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$	$(1.5) \sim 330 \text{ g} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$
Number of breeding pairs***	~ 15,000	~ 190,000	~ 300,000	$\sim 50,000$
Breeding population DFI	2,813 kg·d <sup>-1</sup>	103,930 kg·d <sup>-1</sup>	375,000 kg·d <sup>-1</sup>	37,900 kg·d <sup>-1</sup>
Data sources	[This study, 71]	T. C. unpubl.	[9, 28]	[52, 77, 79]

612

614 Table 3: Summary of greater crested tern parameters (mean ± SD) and references used to calculate time-energy

615 budgets. \*Source = Anthony Tree, pers. comm. BMR = basal metabolic rate. MEI = metabolizable energy

616 intake.

Parameter	Value	Method
Body mass (kg)	$0.39\pm0.03$	Measured*
Cost of being at the colony $(kJ \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1})$	0.8	Estimated <sup>72</sup>
Cost of flying (kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.0	Modelled <sup>73</sup>
Cost of diving (kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.0	Modelled <sup>73</sup>
Incubation (days)	28	Measured <sup>53</sup>
Early provisioning (days)	4	Measured <sup>53</sup>
Late provisioning (days)	36	Measured <sup>53</sup>
Fledging (days)	40	Measured <sup>53</sup>
Asymptotic chick mass (g)	370	Modelled <sup>79</sup>
Mean chick MEI ( $kJ \cdot d^1$ )	358.3	Estimated <sup>32,37</sup>
Chicks fledged per pair	0.59	Estimated <sup>70</sup>

617

- 619 Figure 1: Posterior distributions for foraging effort of greater crested terns breeding at Robben Island (2013–
- 620 2015) in relation to breeding stage (incubating, early provisioning and late provisioning). (a) Daily trip duration,
- 621 (b) number of foraging trips per day, and (c) total time spent away from the nest per day for individual greater
- 622 crested terns. Black tick-marks show means and grey tick-marks 95% Bayesian credible intervals. Prov. =
- 623 provisioning.
- 624

- 625 Figure 2: Posterior distributions for (a) adult daily food intake (DFI, black bars) and total DFI (single adult DFI
- 626 + 50% chick DFI, blue bars) related to breeding stage (incubating, early provisioning and late provisioning) for
- 627 adult greater crested terns provisioning offspring at Robben Island and (b) corresponding adult daily energy
- 628 expenditure. Colour specific tick-marks show means and grey tick-marks 95% Bayesian credible intervals. Prov.
- 629 = provisioning.
- 630

- 631 Figure 3: Posterior distributions for mean anchovy mass (g) in the diet of greater crested terns estimated using
- 632 photo-sampling<sup>34</sup> across three breeding seasons (2013–2015) at Robben Island during early and late
- 633 provisioning. Black tick-marks show means and grey tick-marks 95% Bayesian credible intervals. Prov. =
- 634 provisioning.
- 635





