The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of 1 the land CO₂ sink 2

- **Authors:** Anders Ahlström^{1,2*}, Michael R. Raupach³, Guy Schurgers⁴, Benjamin Smith¹, Almut Arneth⁵, Martin Jung⁶, Markus Reichstein⁶, Josep G. Canadell⁷, Pierre Friedlingstein⁸, Atul K. Jain⁹, Etsushi Kato¹⁰, Benjamin Poulter¹¹, Stephen Sitch¹², Benjamin D. Stocker^{13,14}, Nicolas Viovy¹⁵, Ying Ping Wang¹⁶, Andy Wiltshire¹⁷, Sönke Zaehle⁶, Ning Zeng¹⁸ 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

7 **Affiliations:**

- ¹Lund University, Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, 223 62 Lund, 8 9 Sweden.
- ²Department of Earth System Science, School of Earth, Energy and Environmental Sciences, 10
- Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA 11
- ³Australian National University, Climate Change Institute, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia. 12
- ⁴Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, 13
- 14 1350 Copenhagen, Denmark.
- ⁵Karlsruhe Institute for Technology, Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research-15
- Atmospheric Environmental Research, 82476 Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany. 16
- ⁶Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, 07745 Jena, Germany. 17
- ⁷Global Carbon Project, CSIRO Oceans and Atmospheric Flagship, Canberra, Australian 18
- 19 Capital Territory, Australia
- ⁸College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter 20 EX4 4QF, UK. 21
- ⁹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, 105 S. 22
- Gregory Street, Urbana, IL 61801. 23
- ¹⁰The Institute of Applied Energy, 105-0003, Tokyo, Japan. 24
- ¹¹Montana State University, Institute on Ecosystems and the Department of Ecology, 25
- Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA. 26
- ¹²College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4RJ, UK. 27
- 28 ¹³Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College, Silwood Park, Ascot SL5 7PY, UK.
- ¹⁴Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate 29
- Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. 30
- ¹⁵Laboratoire des sciences du climat et de l'environnement, Bat 712, Orme des Merisiers, 31
- CEA Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette CEDEX. France. 32
- ¹⁶CSIRO Ocean and Atmosphere Flagship, PMB 1, Aspendale Vic 3195, Australia. 33
- ¹⁷Met Office Hadley Centre, Fitzrov Road, Exeter, Devon, Ex1 3PB, UK. 34
- 35 ¹⁸Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science and Earth System Science
- Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-2425, USA. 36
- *Correspondence to: anders.ahlstrom@nateko.lu.se 37

38 Abstract:

- 39 The growth rate of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations since industrialization is
- 40 characterized by large interannual variability, mostly resulting from variability in the
- 41 **CO₂** uptake by terrestrial ecosystems. However, the contributions of regional ecosystems
- 42 to that variability are not well known. Using an ensemble of ecosystem and land-surface
- 43 models and an empirical observation-based product of the global gross primary
- 44 production, we show that the mean sink, trend, and interannual variability in CO₂
- 45 uptake by terrestrial ecosystems are dominated by distinct biogeographic regions.
- 46 Whereas the sink strength is dominated by highly productive lands, mainly tropical
- 47 forests, the trend and interannual variability of the sink are dominated by semi-arid
- 48 ecosystems whose carbon balance is strongly associated with circulation-driven
- 49 variations in both precipitation and temperature.

50 **One Sentence Summary:**

- 51 Semi-arid savannas and shrub lands dominate the trend and interannual variability of
- 52 the global land CO₂ sink.

53 Main Text:

54 Since the 1960s, terrestrial ecosystems have acted as a substantial sink for atmospheric CO₂,

- 55 sequestering about one quarter of anthropogenic emissions in an average year (1). This
- 56 ecosystem service, which helps mitigate climate change by reducing the rate of increase of
- 57 atmospheric greenhouse gases, is due to an imbalance between the uptake of CO_2 through
- 58 gross primary production (GPP, the aggregate photosynthesis of plants) and the release of
- 59 carbon to the atmosphere by ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}) and other losses, including wildfires
- 60 (C_{fire}). The net carbon flux (net biome production, NBP = GPP R_{eco} C_{fire}) results from the
- small imbalance between the much larger uptake and release fluxes. Consequently, small
 fractional variations in either of these fluxes can cause substantial absolute variations in net
- 63 carbon exchange with the atmosphere. These variations account almost entirely for year-to-
- 64 year variations around the overall trend in atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ (2, 3).
- 65 Modelling studies suggest a large uncertainty of the future magnitude and sign of the carbon
- sink provided by terrestrial ecosystems (4-8). Robust projections are crucial to assess future
- atmospheric CO_2 burdens and associated climate change, and also for developing effective
- 68 mitigation policies. Reducing uncertainty requires better knowledge of the regions and
- 69 processes governing the present sink and its variations. Inventories suggest that the majority
- 70 of carbon sequestered by the terrestrial biosphere since industrialization has accumulated in
- 71 forest ecosystems of the tropics and temperate zones (9). However, the relative contributions
- of ecosystems of different, climatically-distinct, regions to variations in the land sink on
- 73 interannual to multi-decadal time scales are not well characterized. Here we investigate
- relative regional contributions to, respectively, the mean sink, its trend over recent decades
- and the interannual variability (IAV) around the trend.

- 76 We simulate the geographic pattern and time course of NBP using LPJ-GUESS (10-12), a
- 77 biogeochemical dynamic global vegetation model (DGVM) that explicitly accounts for the
- dependency of plant production and downstream ecosystem processes on the demography
- 79 (size structure) and composition of simulated vegetation. We force the model with historical
- 80 climate (13) and CO₂ concentrations, accounting for emissions from land use change and
- 81 carbon uptake due to regrowth following agricultural abandonment (*14*). We compare the 82 results to an ensemble of nine ecosystem and land surface model simulations from the
- TRENDY model intercomparison project (*12*, *15*) (hereinafter TRENDY models, Table S1).
- 84 The TRENDY ensemble is similarly based on historical climate and CO_2 , but employs a static
- 85 1860 land use mask.
- 86 Global NBP as simulated by LPJ-GUESS shows strong agreement ($r^2=0.62$) with the Global
- 87 Carbon Project (GCP) estimate of the net land CO₂ flux; an independent, bookkeeping-based
- 88 estimate derived as the residual of emissions, atmospheric growth and ocean uptake of CO_2
- 89 (1) (Fig 1A). TRENDY models do not account for land use change. In comparison to the GCP
- 90 land flux estimate they consequently predict a higher average NBP but similar interannual
- 91 variation. Moreover, the offset between the TRENDY ensemble mean and the GCP land flux
- 92 estimate is comparable to the GCP estimate of mean land use change emissions for the period
- 93 1982-2011 (fLUC).
- 94 We divide the global land area into six land cover classes following the MODIS MCD12C1
- land cover classification (12, 16): tropical forests (Fig 1B), extra-tropical forest, grasslands
- and croplands (here combined), semi-arid ecosystems (Fig 1C), tundra and arctic shrub lands,
- 97 and sparsely vegetated lands (areas classified as barren) (Fig S1 and S2).
- 98 When the global terrestrial CO₂ sink (average NBP) and its trend (1982-2011) are partitioned
- among land cover classes, we find that tropical forests account for the largest fraction (26%,
- 100 0.33 PgC year⁻¹) of the average sink over this period (1.23 PgC year⁻¹) (Fig. 1D). In contrast,
- 101 we find that semi-arid ecosystems dominate the positive global CO₂ sink trend (57%, 0.04
- 102 PgC year⁻², global: 0.07 PgC year⁻²) (Fig. 1E). The TRENDY ensemble shows a consistent 103 pattern, with tropical forests dominating the mean sink (median: 24%) and semi-arid
- pattern, with tropical forests dominating the mean sink (median: 24%) and semi-arid
 ecosystems dominating the trend (median: 51%). The predominance of semi-arid ecosystems
- 105 in explaining the global land sink trend is consistent with widespread observations of woody
- 106 encroachment over semi-arid areas (17) and increased vegetation greenness inferred from
- 107 satellite remote sensing over recent decades (17-19). Likewise, a recent study attributes the
- 108 majority of the record land sink anomaly of 2011 to the response of semi-arid ecosystems in
- 109 the Southern Hemisphere, particularly Australia, to an anomalous wet period; the study
- 110 further postulates a recent increase in the sensitivity of carbon uptake to precipitation for this
- 111 region due to vegetation expansion (20).
- 112 We further partition interannual variability in global NBP among land cover classes based on
- 113 the contribution of individual grid cells to global NBP IAV (12). To this end, we adopted an
- 114 index (Eq. S1, Fig S3) that scores individual geographic locations according to the
- 115 consistency over time (years) with which the local NBP flux resembles the sign and
- 116 magnitude of global NBP (Fig S4). Regions receiving higher and positive average scores are
- 117 inferred to have a larger contribution in governing global NBP IAV, as opposed to regions
- characterized by smaller or negative (counteracting) scores (Fig S3). The index we adopt does
- 119 not characterize the *variability* of ecosystems of different land cover classes as, for example

- 120 the standard deviation would do (Fig S5) but rather enables a comparison of their relative
- 121 importance (contribution) in governing global IAV.

122 Semi-arid ecosystems were found to account for the largest fraction, 39%, of global NBP 123 IAV, exceeding tropical forest (19%), extra-tropical forest (11%; all forest: 30%) and 124 grasslands and croplands (27%) (Fig 1F). The TRENDY ensemble shows a similar 125 partitioning, with semi-arid ecosystems accounting for 47% (median; tropical forests: 28%, 126 extra-tropical forest: 6%, all forest: 35%). The overall contributions per land cover class are 127 the sum of both positive and negative contributions that result from differences in phase 128 between IAV of individual grid cells compared with global IAV (Fig S4). The extent to which 129 negative contributions reduce the overall land cover class contributions is minor for all 130 regions except grasslands and crops (Fig S6) (LPJ-GUESS: -13%, TRENDY median: -13%) 131 the latter being distributed widely across climate zones, both climate variations and the

- 132 sensitivity of NBP to climate variations differing among regions.
- 133 To partition the global NBP IAV among component fluxes (GPP, R_{eco} , C_{fire}) and among land
- 134 cover classes, we applied Eq. S1. We found that global NBP IAV is most strongly associated
- 135 with variation in GPP; interannual GPP anomalies contribute 56% of the global NBP IAV in
- 136 LPJ-GUESS, and a median of 90% in the TRENDY model ensemble. Comparing different
- land cover classes, the GPP anomalies of semi-arid ecosystems alone contribute 39% in LPJ GUESS and a median of 65% in the TRENDY model ensemble to global NBP IAV (Fig. S7).
- Solution a median of 05% in the TREND T model ensemble to global NBP IAV (Fig. S7). Semi-arid vegetation productivity thus emerges clearly as the single most important factor
- 140 governing global NBP IAV.
- 141 We employed two complementary methods to attribute the variability in GPP—as the inferred
- 142 primary driver of global NBP IAV—to its environmental drivers. Firstly, we analyzed
- simulation results from LPJ-GUESS, linking output GPP anomalies to variability in the
- 144 climatic input data. Secondly we use a time-resolved gridded global GPP product derived
- 145 from upscaled flux tower measurements (12, 21) (hereinafter empirical GPP product). This
- 146 product uses an empirical upscaling of flux measurements and is thus entirely independent of
- 147 the modelled GPP in our study.
- 148 The three main climatic drivers temperature (T), precipitation (P) and shortwave radiation (S)
- 149 are interdependent and correlated. To account for combined effects of these drivers we adopt
- an analysis of GPP variations from an "impact perspective" (22-24): we first identify GPP
- anomalies and then extract their climatic covariates. The primary challenge of such analysis
- 152 on annual scale is to target climate indices that adequately characterize the "period of climatic
- 153 influence", e.g. growing season average, annual averages, minima or maxima of a given
- 154 climatic forcing. To overcome this challenge we use semi-annual time series of climate 155 drivers constructed using an optimization procedure that weights monthly anomalies of a
- given climate variable (T, P or S), accounting for time lags of up to 24 months while making
- no additional prior assumptions as to the period of influence (12). For each GPP event we
- 158 extract climatic covariates as z-scores of the semi-annual climatic drivers.
- 159 We evaluate the climatic covariates of GPP anomalies for semi-arid ecosystems from the
- 160 empirical GPP product and modelled by LPJ-GUESS, focusing on T and P, and find similar
- responses of GPP to climate with both approaches across all latitude bands (Fig 2 A,B).
- 162 Negative GPP anomalies in semi-arid ecosystems are mainly driven by warm and dry (low
- 163 rainfall) climatic events in most latitudes, suggestive of drought. By contrast, positive GPP

- anomalies are dominated by cool and wet conditions. Averaging the distributions over
- 165 latitudes (Fig 2 A,B) and extracting the climatic covariates per percentile of the GPP
- distributions shows that GPP varies with climatic conditions on a straight line in T-P space
- 167 (Fig S8), with a stronger covariation with P than T. This implies that the full GPP
- distributions are driven by similar climatic patterns, i.e. anomalies that differ in size and sign
- 169 covary with corresponding differences in size and sign in the drivers. GPP extremes (the tails 170 of the distribution of GPP among years) covary with ENSO across all latitudes (Fig 2 C,D).
- Both in the model and the empirical GPP product, GPP anomalies are more strongly
- associated with the positive phase of ENSO (El Niño) than the negative phase (La Niña),
- 173 while the sign of the relationship varies with latitude. Positive ENSO tends to coincide with
- 174 negative GPP anomalies in the tropics (30°S 20°N), and with positive GPP anomalies north
- 175 of 20°N.
- 176 The agreement between climatic covariates of the data-based empirical GPP product and
- modelled GPP alongside the comparatively robust pattern of the covariation with climate
- suggests that GPP IAV for semi-arid ecosystems is mediated by climate. Since ENSO
- 179 covaries with a considerable portion of the GPP distribution, we infer that ENSO is the
- 180 dominating mode of global circulation variations driving GPP IAV over semi-arid
- 181 ecosystems. Recent modelling studies have found that extreme El Niño events could become
- more common under climate change (25), which together with an increased atmospheric
 demand for water associated with global warming might exacerbate the impact of El Niño
- 183 demand for water associated with global warming might exacerbate the impact of El Niño 184 events over semi-arid ecosystems and further increase the role of semi-arid regions in driving
- 185 global NBP IAV (26-28).
- 186 We repeat the calculation of climatic covariates to simulated NBP for LPJ-GUESS and each
- of the TRENDY models. The resulting maps of covariates in T-P space are shown as average
- 188 covariates of negative (low CO_2 uptake or CO_2 release) extremes (Fig 3 A,B) and positive
- 189 (high CO₂ uptake or low CO₂ release) extremes (Fig 3 C,D). In general, semi-arid ecosystems
- 190 stand out as regions in which strong CO_2 uptake events are consistently associated with cool
- and moist conditions, and strong CO_2 release events with warm and dry conditions. In tropical
- 192 forests NBP covaries with both T and P as in semi-arid regions, but also with T alone. In high
- 193 latitudes wet or warm and wet conditions lead to negative NBP extremes whereas warm and 194 dry or dry conditions tend to lead to positive extremes, although the spatial heterogeneity of
- 194 the covariates is large in this region (Fig 3).
- 196 Our approach offers detailed spatial and temporal disaggregation of drivers and responses 197 which is important when analyzing drivers or covariates of global NBP IAV because of the 198 high temporal and spatial variability in P (Fig S9-11). Using four upscaling levels with 199 increasing spatial and temporal disaggregation (ranging from land surface mean P and T to 200 using semi-annual P and T, averaged based on the spatial origin of each year's global NBP 201 anomaly (Eq S5 and S6)) we show that P and NBP IAV become more correlated at higher 202 levels of disaggregation. At the highest disaggregation level, P is almost as strongly correlated 203 with NBP IAV as T, suggesting a strong influence of soil moisture variations on global NBP 204 IAV (28). This strong increase in P correlations with disaggregation resolves an apparent 205 conflict between the findings of the present study, and those of studies using regionally 206 averaged drivers which emphasize the role of T in governing IAV in atmospheric CO₂ (28-207 30). For semi-arid ecosystems T correlations are slightly stronger than P correlations with 208 NBP IAV (Fig 4B), partly due to an asymmetric distribution of P and/or an asymmetric 209 response of NBP to P IAV (Fig S12). The correlation of tropical forest P with NBP IAV

- 210 increases when we use the semi-annual drivers, suggesting large importance of accounting for
- time lags and "period of climatic influence" of P variations (12), but P-NBP IAV correlations
- are still weaker than T-NBP IAV correlations (Fig 4C).
- 213 Our analysis provides evidence that semi-arid ecosystems, largely occupying low latitudes,
- 214 have dominated the IAV and trend of the global land C sink over recent decades. Semi-arid
- 215 regions have been the subject of relatively few targeted studies that place their importance in a
- 216 global context. Our findings indicate that semi-arid regions and their ecosystems merit
- 217 increased attention as a key to understanding and predicting inter-annual to decadal-scale
- 218 variations in the global carbon cycle.

219 References and Notes

- C. Le Quéré *et al.*, Global carbon budget 2013. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 6, 235-263
 (2014).
- 222 2. C. D. Keeling, T. P. Whorf, M. Wahlen, J. van der Plichtt, Interannual extremes in the 223 rate of rise of atmospheric carbon dioxide since 1980. *Nature* **375**, 666-670 (1995).
- 224 3. C. Le Quéré *et al.*, Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Nature*225 *Geoscience* 2, 831-836 (2009).
- 4. A. Ahlström, G. Schurgers, A. Arneth, B. Smith, Robustness and uncertainty in terrestrial ecosystem carbon response to CMIP5 climate change projections. *Environmental Research Letters* 7, 044008 (2012).
- P. Friedlingstein *et al.*, Climate–Carbon Cycle Feedback Analysis: Results from the
 C4MIP Model Intercomparison. *Journal of Climate* 19, 3337-3353 (2006).
- A. D. McGuire *et al.*, Carbon balance of the terrestrial biosphere in the Twentieth
 Century: Analyses of CO₂, climate and land use effects with four process-based
 ecosystem models. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 15, 183-206 (2001).
- 234 7. S. Schaphoff *et al.*, Terrestrial biosphere carbon storage under alternative climate
 235 projections. *Climatic Change* 74, 97-122 (2006).
- S. Sitch *et al.*, Evaluation of the terrestrial carbon cycle, future plant geography and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks using five Dynamic Global Vegetation Models
 (DGVMs). *Global Change Biology* 14, 2015-2039 (2008).
- 239 9. Y. Pan *et al.*, A Large and Persistent Carbon Sink in the World's Forests. *Science* 333, 988-993 (2011).
- A. Ahlström, P. A. Miller, B. Smith, Too early to infer a global NPP decline since
 242 2000. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L15403 (2012).
- B. Smith, I. C. Prentice, M. T. Sykes, Representation of vegetation dynamics in the
 modelling of terrestrial ecosystems: comparing two contrasting approaches within
 European climate space. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 10, 621-637 (2001).
- 246 12. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on *Science* Online.
- I. Harris, P. D. Jones, T. J. Osborn, D. H. Lister, Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations – the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. *International Journal of Climatology* 34, 623-642 (2014).
- G. Hurtt *et al.*, Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100:
 600 years of global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands. *Climatic Change* 109, 117-161 (2011).
- 253 15. S. Sitch *et al.*, Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences* 12, 653-679 (2015).
- M. A. Friedl *et al.*, MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization of new datasets. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 114, 168-182
 (2010).

258	17.	N. Andela <i>et al.</i> , Global changes in dryland vegetation dynamics (1988–2008)
259		assessed by satellite remote sensing: comparing a new passive microwave vegetation
260		density record with reflective greenness data. <i>Biogeosciences</i> 10 , 6657-6676 (2013).
261	18.	R. J. Donohue, T. R. McVicar, M. L. Roderick, Climate-related trends in Australian
262		vegetation cover as inferred from satellite observations, 1981–2006. Global Change
263		Biology 15, 1025-1039 (2009).
264	19.	R. Fensholt et al., Greenness in semi-arid areas across the globe 1981–2007 — an
265		Earth Observing Satellite based analysis of trends and drivers. <i>Remote Sensing of</i>
266		Environment 121, 144-158 (2012).
267	20.	B. Poulter et al., Contribution of semi-arid ecosystems to interannual variability of the
268		global carbon cycle. <i>Nature</i> 509 , 600-603 (2014).
269	21.	M. Jung <i>et al.</i> , Global patterns of land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent
270		heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological
271		observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 116, G00J07 (2011).
272	22.	J. Zscheischler et al., A few extreme events dominate global interannual variability in
273		gross primary production. Environmental Research Letters 9, 035001 (2014).
274	23.	M. Reichstein et al., Climate extremes and the carbon cycle. Nature 500, 287-295
275		(2013).
276	24.	M. D. Smith, An ecological perspective on extreme climatic events: a synthetic
277		definition and framework to guide future research. Journal of Ecology 99, 656-663
278		(2011).
279	25.	W. Cai et al., Increasing frequency of extreme El Nino events due to greenhouse
280		warming. Nature Clim. Change 4, 111-116 (2014).
281	26.	K. E. Trenberth et al., Global warming and changes in drought. Nature Clim. Change
282		4 , 17-22 (2014).
283	27.	A. Dai, Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. <i>Nature</i>
284		<i>Clim. Change</i> 3 , 52-58 (2013).
285	28.	X. Wang et al., A two-fold increase of carbon cycle sensitivity to tropical temperature
286		variations. <i>Nature</i> 506 , 212-215 (2014).
287	29.	W. Wang et al., Variations in atmospheric CO2 growth rates coupled with tropical
288		temperature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 13061-13066
289		(2013).
290	30.	P. M. Cox et al., Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by
291		carbon dioxide variability. Nature 494, 341-344 (2013).
292	31.	K. Wolter, M. S. Timlin, in Proc. of the 17th Climate Diagnostics Workshop. (1993),
293		pp. 52-57.
294	32.	K. Wolter, M. S. Timlin, Measuring the strength of ENSO events: How does 1997/98
295		rank? Weather 53, 315-324 (1998).

Supplementary Materials 296

- 297 www.sciencemag.com
- 298 Materials and Methods
- 299
- Figs. S1-S12 References (33-57) 300

301 Acknowledgments:

302 The MODIS MOD12C1 land cover product was obtained through the online Data Pool at the

- 303 NASA Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC), USGS/Earth
- 304Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota
- 305 (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/data_access). AAhl acknowledge support from The Royal
- 306 Physiographic Society in Lund (Birgit and Hellmuth Hertz' Foundation), the Swedish
- Research Council (637-2014-6895) and the foundation for Strategic Environmental Research
- 308 (MISTRA) through the Mistra-SWECIA programme. AArn acknowledges support from EC
- FP7 grants LUC4C (603542). AArn, MRei and BDS acknowledge support from EC FP7
 EMBRACE (282672). JGC thanks the support of the Australian Climate Change Science
- BINGRACE (282072). Joc manks the support of the Australian Chinate Change Science
 Program. AKJ was supported by the US National Science Foundation (NSF AGS 12-43071),
- the US Department of Energy (DOE DE-SC0006706) and NASA LCLUC program (NASA
- 313 NNX14AD94G). EK was supported by the Environmental Research and Technology
- 314 Development Fund (S-10) of the Ministry of Environment of Japan. YPW was supported by
- 315 CSIRO strategic research funds. NZ acknowledge support from NOAA (NA10OAR4310248
- and NA09NES4400006) and NSF (AGS-1129088). This study is a contribution to the Lund
- 317 Centre for Studies of Carbon Cycle and Climate Interactions (LUCCI) and the strategic
- 318 research areas MERGE and BECC.

319 Figure captions:

- **Figure 1.** Global and regional NBP mean, trend and variations. (A) Global NBP and GCP
- 321 land flux time series (1982 2011). TRENDY models are plotted on a separate vertical axis
- 322 with a time-invariant offset corresponding to the time period average GCP fLUC estimate (1.2
- 323 Pg C). (B) Tropical forest NBP. LPJ-GUESS (red line) includes emissions from land use
- 324 change. TRENDY models average (blue line) and 1st and 3rd quartiles of the ensemble
- 325 (shaded blue area) do not include emissions from land use change. (C) NBP of semi-arid
- ecosystems from LPJ-GUESS (including land use change emissions) and TRENDY models
- 327 (excluding land use change emissions). (D) Contribution of land cover classes to global mean
- NBP (1982-2011) (mean NBP of land cover class / mean global NBP). Horizontal lines in
 boxplots show from top, 95th, 75th, 50th, 25th, and 5th percentiles. (E) Contribution of land
- cover classes to global NBP trend (land cover class NBP trend / global NBP trend). (F)
- 331 Contribution of land cover classes to global NBP interannual variations (Eq S1).
- **Figure 2.** Climatic-covariates of semi-arid ecosystem GPP variations. (A) Distribution by
- 333 latitude of the empirical GPP product anomalies normalized by average standard deviation of
- 334 GPP in semi-arid lands. The distribution is colored according to the legend based on average
- 335 local climatic covariates per latitude zone and distribution bin. (B) LPJ-GUESS GPP
- 336 distribution calculated and colored as in (A). (C) Covariation of the multivariate ENSO index
- anomalies (MEI (31, 32)) with the empirical GPP product. (D) Covariation of MEI and
- modelled GPP anomalies per latitudinal zone. NB: the figure shows the covariates of
- 339 latitudinal average local GPP anomalies and not the average covariates based on GPP IAV
- 340 contribution to NBP IAV.

341 Figure 3. Climatic covariates of NBP extremes. (A) Climatic covariates of LPJ-GUESS

- 342 negative NBP extremes (1-10th percentiles). (B) Mean climatic covariates of TRENDY-
- 343 models negative NBP extremes (1-10th percentiles). (C) covariates of LPJ-GUESS positive

- 344 NBP extremes (90-99th percentiles). (D) Mean climatic covariates of TRENDY-models
- 345 positive NBP extremes (90-99th percentiles).

346 Figure 4. Correlations between annual climatic drivers IAV (P and T) and global NBP IAV 347 (mean of all 10 models). (A) Global P and T correlations to global NBP IAV. From black to 348 white and left to right, bars represent annual P and T IAV correlations to global NBP IAV 349 with increasing spatial and temporal disaggregation of P and T while averaging to global time 350 series: (I) Black bars represent averaged global land surface P and T weighted by grid cell 351 area. (II) Dark grey bars represent P and T weighted by 30-year average contribution to global 352 NBP IAV (Eq S1, Fig S4). (III) Light grey bars represent averaged P and T weighted by each 353 years contributions, thus accounting for the difference in the spatial distribution of 354 contributions between years (Eq S5 and S6). (IV) White bars represent semi-annual climate drivers averaged to global time series using the annual spatial contributions as in (III) thereby 355 356 accounting for the "period of climatic influence" and time lags of up to 24 months. (B) 357 Correlations between P and T IAV and NBP IAV for semi-arid ecosystems. Weights, where 358 applicable, are based on contributions to global NBP IAV as in (A) but with P and T averaged 359 over semi-arid ecosystems only. (C) Correlations between P and T IAV and global NBP IAV 360 for tropical forest. Weights, where applicable, are based on contributions to global NBP IAV

361 as in (A) but with P and T averaged over tropical forest only.