

1 **GWAS identifies risk locus for erectile dysfunction and implicates**
2 **hypothalamic neurobiology and diabetes in etiology**

3
4 Jonas Bovijn,^{1,2,14} Leigh Jackson,^{3,14} Jenny Censin,^{1,2,14} Chia-Yen Chen,^{4,5,14} Triin Laisk-
5 Podar,^{6,7,14} Samantha Laber,^{1,2,14} Teresa Ferreira,¹ Sara L Pulit,^{1,8,9} Craig A Glastonbury,¹ Jordan
6 Smoller,⁵ Jamie W Harrison,¹⁰ Katherine S Ruth,¹⁰ Robin N Beaumont,¹⁰ Samuel E Jones,¹⁰
7 Jessica Tyrrell,¹⁰ Andrew R Wood,¹⁰ Michael N Weedon,^{10,14} Reedik Mägi,^{6,14} Benjamin Neale,^{4,5,14}
8 Cecilia M Lindgren,^{1,2,8,14} Anna Murray,^{10,14*} Michael V Holmes,^{11-13,14**}

9
10 **Affiliations**

- 11 1. Big Data Institute at the Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery,
12 University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7LF, UK.
- 13 2. Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of
14 Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7BN, UK.
- 15 3. Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Science, University of Exeter Medical School,
16 University of Exeter, Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK.
- 17 4. Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,
18 Massachusetts 02114 USA.
- 19 5. Psychiatric & Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital,
20 Boston, Massachusetts, 02114, USA.
- 21 6. Estonian Genome Center, Institute of genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu 51010, Estonia.
- 22 7. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of
23 Tartu, Tartu, Estonia.
- 24 8. Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts,
25 02142, USA

26 9. Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

27 10. Genetics of Complex Traits, University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter,
28 Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK.

29 11. National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford
30 University Hospital, Old Road, Oxford OX3 7LE, UK.

31 12. Clinical Trial Service Unit & Epidemiological Studies Unit (CTSU), Nuffield Department of
32 Population Health, Big Data Institute Building, Roosevelt Drive, University of Oxford,
33 Oxford, OX3 7LF, UK.

34 13. Medical Research Council Population Health Research Unit at the University of Oxford,
35 Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, UK.

36

37 14. These authors contributed equally to this work

38

39 **Correspondence to:**

40 ****Associate Professor Michael V Holmes**, Medical Research Council Population Health
41 Research Unit at the University of Oxford, Oxford, UK michael.holmes@ndph.ox.ac.uk

42

43 ***Professor Anna Murray**, Genetics of Complex Traits, University of Exeter Medical School,
44 University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. A.Murray@exeter.ac.uk

45 **Abstract**

46 Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a common condition affecting more than 20% of men aged over 60
47 years, yet little is known about its genetic architecture. We performed a genome-wide association
48 study of ED in 6,175 cases among 223,805 European men and identified one locus at 6q16.3
49 (lead variant rs57989773, OR 1.20 per C-allele; $p = 5.71 \times 10^{-14}$), located between *MCHR2* and
50 *SIM1*. In-silico analysis suggests *SIM1* to confer ED risk through hypothalamic dysregulation.
51 Mendelian randomization provides evidence that genetic risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus is a cause
52 of ED (OR 1.11 per 1-log unit higher risk of type 2 diabetes). These findings provide insights into
53 the biological underpinnings and the causes of ED, and may help prioritize the development of
54 future therapies for this common disease.

55 Erectile dysfunction (ED) is the inability to develop or maintain a penile erection adequate for
56 sexual intercourse.¹ ED has an age-dependent prevalence, with 20-40% men aged 60-69 years
57 affected.¹ The genetic architecture of ED remains poorly understood, owing in part to a paucity of
58 well-powered genetic association studies. Discovery of such genetic associations can be valuable
59 for elucidating the etiology of ED, and can provide genetic support for potential new therapies.

60
61 We conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) among white European-ancestry men
62 from the population-based UK Biobank (UKBB) and the Estonian Genome Center of the
63 University of Tartu (EGCUT) cohorts and hospital-recruited Partners HealthCare Biobank (PHB)
64 cohort (Supplemental Methods).

65
66 The prevalence of ED (defined as self-reported or physician-reported ED using ICD10 codes
67 N48.4 and F52.2 or use of oral ED medication (sildenafil/Viagra, tadalafil/Cialis or
68 vardenafil/Levitra), or a history of surgical intervention for ED (using OPCS-4 codes: L97.1 and
69 N32.6); Supplemental Methods) in the cohorts was 1.53% (3,050/199,352) in UKBB, 7.04%
70 (1,182/16,787) in EGCUT and 25.35% (1,943/7,666) in PHB (Table S1). Demographic
71 characteristics of the subjects in each cohort are shown in Table S2. The reasons for the different
72 prevalence rates in the three cohorts may include a higher median cohort age for men in PHB (65
73 years, compared to 59 years in UKBB and 42 years in EGCUT; Table S2), “healthy volunteer”
74 selection bias in UKBB², a lack of primary care data availability in UKBB, and intercultural
75 differences, including “social desirability” bias^{3,4}. Importantly, we note that the assessment of
76 exposure-outcome relationships remains valid, despite the prevalences likely not being
77 representative of the general population prevalences.

78
79 GWAS in UKBB revealed a single genome-wide significant ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) locus at 6q16.3 (lead
80 variant rs57989773, EAF_{UKBB} (C-allele) = 0.24; OR 1.23; $p = 3.0 \times 10^{-11}$). Meta-analysis with

81 estimates from PHB (OR 1.20; $p = 9.84 \times 10^{-5}$) and EGCUT (OR 1.08; $p = 0.16$) yielded a pooled
82 meta-analysis OR 1.20; $p = 5.72 \times 10^{-14}$ (heterogeneity p -value = 0.17; Figures 1A-C). Meta-
83 analysis of all variants yielded no further genome-wide loci. Meta-analysis of our results with
84 previously suggested ED-associated variants also did not result in any further significant loci
85 (Supplemental Methods; Table S3), nor did X-chromosome analysis in UKBB.

86

87 The association of rs57989773 was consistent across clinically- and therapy-defined ED, as well
88 as across different ED drug classes (Figure 1C; Figure S1). No further genome-wide significant
89 loci were identified for ED when limited to clinically- or therapy-defined cases (2,032 and 4,142
90 cases, respectively).

91

92 A PheWAS of 105 predefined traits (Table S4) using the lead ED SNP rs57989773 found
93 associations with 12 phenotypes at a p -value $< 5 \times 10^{-4}$ (surpassing the Bonferroni-corrected
94 threshold of 0.05/105), including adiposity (9 traits), adult height and sleep-related traits. Sex-
95 stratified analyses revealed sexual dimorphism for waist-hip ratio (WHR), systolic and diastolic
96 blood pressure (Figure 1D; Table S5).

97

98 The lead variant at the 6q16.3 locus, rs57989773, lies in the intergenic region between *MCHR2*
99 and *SIM1*, with *MCHR2* being the closest gene (distances to transcription start sites of 187kb for
100 *MCHR2* and 284kb for *SIM1*). Conditional and joint analysis (Supplemental Methods) revealed
101 no secondary, independent signals in the locus. Previous work has implicated the *MCHR2-SIM1*
102 locus in sex-specific associations on age at voice-breaking and menarche.⁵ The puberty timing-
103 associated SNP in the *MCHR2-SIM1* region (rs9321659; ~500kb from rs57989773) was not in
104 LD with our lead variant ($r^2 = 0.003$, $D' = 0.095$) and was not associated with ED ($p = 0.32$) in our
105 meta-analysis, suggesting that the ED locus represents an independent signal.

106

107 To identify the tissue and cell types in which the causal variant(s) for ED may function, we
108 examined chromatin states across 127 cell types^{6,7} for the lead variant rs57989773 and its proxies
109 ($r^2 > 0.8$, determined using HaploReg v4.1 (Supplemental Methods)). Enhancer marks in several
110 tissues, including embryonic stem cells, mesenchymal stem cells and endothelial cells, indicated
111 that the ED-associated interval lies within a regulatory locus (Figure 2A, Table S6).

112
113 To predict putative targets and causal transcripts, we assessed domains of long-range three-
114 dimensional chromatin interactions surrounding the ED-associated interval (Figure 2B).
115 Chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) in human embryonic stem cells⁸ showed that *MCHR2*
116 and *SIM1* were in the same topologically associated domain (TAD) as the ED-associated variants,
117 with high contact probabilities (referring to the relative number of times that reads in two 40-kb
118 bins were sequenced together) between the ED-associated interval and *SIM1* (Figure 2B; Figure
119 S2).

120
121 This observation was further confirmed in endothelial precursor cells,⁹ where Capture Hi-C
122 revealed strong connections between the *MCHR2-SIM1* intergenic region and the *SIM1* promoter
123 (Figure 2C), pointing towards *SIM1* as a likely causal gene at this locus.

124
125 We next used the VISTA enhancer browser¹⁰ to examine *in vivo* expression data for non-coding
126 elements within the *MCHR2-SIM1* locus. A regulatory human element (hs576), located 30-kb
127 downstream of the ED-associated interval, seems to drive *in vivo* enhancer activity specifically in
128 the midbrain (mesencephalon) and cranial nerve in mouse embryos (Figure 2D). This long-range
129 enhancer close to ED-associated variants recapitulated aspects of *SIM1* expression (Figure 2D),
130 further suggesting that the ED-associated interval belongs to the regulatory landscape of *SIM1*.
131 Taken together these data suggest that the *MCHR2-SIM1* intergenic region harbors a neuronal
132 enhancer and that *SIM1* is functionally connected to the ED-associated region.

133

134 Single-minded homolog 1 (*SIM1*) encodes a transcription factor that is highly expressed in
135 hypothalamic neurons.¹¹ Rare variants in *SIM1* have been linked to a phenotype of severe obesity
136 and autonomic dysfunction,^{12,13} including lower blood pressure. A summary of the variant-
137 phenotype associations at the 6q16 locus in human and rodent models is shown in Table S7.
138 Post-hoc analysis of association of rs57989773 with autonomic traits showed nominal association
139 with syncope, orthostatic hypotension and urinary incontinence (Figure S3). The effects on blood
140 pressure and adiposity seen in patients with rare coding variants in *SIM1* are recapitulated in
141 individuals harbouring the common ED-risk variants at the 6q16.3 locus (Figure 1D), suggesting
142 that *SIM1* is the causal gene at the ED-risk locus. *Sim1*-expressing neurons also play an important
143 role in the central regulation of male sexual behavior as mice that lack the melanocortin receptor
144 4 (encoded by *MC4R*) specifically in *Sim1*-expressing neurons show impaired sexual
145 performance on mounting, intromission, and ejaculation.¹⁴ Thus, hypothalamic dysregulation of
146 *SIM1* could present a potential mechanism for the effect of the *MCHR2-SIM1* locus on ED.

147

148 An alternative functional mechanism may be explained by proximity of the lead variant
149 (rs57989773) to an arginase 2 processed pseudogene (LOC100129854), a long non-coding RNA
150 (Figure 2A). RPISeq¹⁵ predicts that the pseudogene transcript would interact with the ARG2
151 protein, with probabilities of 0.70-0.77. Arginine 2 is involved in nitric oxide production and has a
152 previously established role in erectile dysfunction.^{16,17} GTEx expression data¹⁸ demonstrated
153 highest mean expression in adipose tissue, with detectable levels in testis, fibroblasts and brain.
154 Expression was relatively low in all tissues however, and there was no evidence that any SNPs
155 associated with the top ED signal were eQTLs for the *ARG2* pseudogene or *ARG2* itself.

156

157 As a complementary approach, we also used the Data-driven Expression Prioritized Integration
158 for Complex Traits and GWAS Analysis of Regulatory or Functional Information Enrichment with

159 LD correction (DEPICT and GARFIELD respectively; Supplemental Methods)^{19,20} tools to identify
160 gene-set, tissue-type and functional enrichments. In DEPICT, the top two prioritized gene-sets
161 were ‘regulation of cellular component size’ and ‘regulation of protein polymerization’, whereas
162 the top two associated tissue/cell types were ‘cartilage’ and ‘mesenchymal stem cells’. None of
163 the DEPICT enrichments reached an FDR threshold of 5% (Tables S8-10). GARFIELD analyses
164 also did not yield any statistically significant enrichments, therefore limiting the utility of these
165 approaches in this case.

166

167 ED is recognized to be observationally associated with various cardiometabolic traits and lifestyle
168 factors^{21,22}, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D), hypertension, smoking, and others. To
169 further evaluate these associations, we first conducted LD score regression^{23,24} to evaluate the
170 genetic correlation of ED with a range of traits. LD score regression identified ED to share the
171 greatest genetic correlation with T2D ($r_G = 0.40$, nominal p-value = 0.0008; FDR-adjusted p-value
172 = 0.0768; Table S11). Next we performed Mendelian randomization²⁵ (MR) analyses to evaluate
173 the potential causal role of 9 pre-defined cardiometabolic traits on ED risk (**selected based on**
174 **previous observational evidence linking such traits to ED risk²¹, including T2D, insulin resistance,**
175 **systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol, smoking heaviness, alcohol consumption, body mass**
176 **index, coronary heart disease and educational attainment;** Tables S12-S15). MR identified
177 genetic risk to T2D to be causally implicated in ED: each 1-log higher genetic risk of T2D, was
178 found to increase risk of ED by 1.11 (95% CI 1.05-1.17, $p = 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$, which met our *a priori*
179 Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of 0.0056 (0.05/9)), with insulin resistance likely
180 representing a mediating pathway²⁶ (OR 1.36 per 1 standard deviation genetically elevated insulin
181 resistance, 95% CI 1.01-1.84, $p = 0.042$). Sensitivity analyses were conducted to evaluate the
182 robustness of the T2D-ED estimate (Figure S5, Table S13), including **weighted median analyses**
183 **(OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.02-1.23, $p = 0.0230$),** leave-one-out analysis for all variants (which indicated
184 that no single SNP in the instrument unduly influenced the overall value derived from the summary

185 IVW estimate²⁷) and a funnel plot (showing a symmetrical distribution of single-SNP IV estimates
186 around the summary IVW causal estimate). The MR-Egger regression (intercept $p = 0.35$)
187 provided no evidence to support the presence of directional pleiotropy as a potential source of
188 confounding²⁸.

189

190 A potential causal effect of systolic blood pressure (SBP) was also identified, with higher SBP
191 being linked to higher risk of ED (MR-Egger OR 2.34, 95% CI 1.26-4.36, $p = 0.007$, with MR-
192 Egger intercept ($p=0.007$) suggesting presence of directional pleiotropy). LDL cholesterol showed
193 minimal evidence of a causal effect (OR 1.07, 95% CI 0.98-1.17, $p = 0.113$), and there was no
194 evidence to support a role for smoking heaviness or alcohol consumption. Genetic risk of coronary
195 heart disease (CHD) showed weak effects on risk of ED, suggesting that pathways leading to
196 CHD may be implicated in ED (OR 1.08, 95% CI 1.00-1.17, $p = 0.061$). Further, we identified no
197 causal effects of BMI (using a polygenic score or a single SNP in *FTO*) or education on risk of
198 ED.

199

200 Genetic variants may inform drug target validation by serving as a proxy for drug target
201 modulation²⁹. ED is most commonly treated using phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5)-inhibitors such
202 as sildenafil. To identify potential phenotypic effects of PDE5 inhibition (e.g. to predict side-effects
203 or opportunities for repurposing), we looked for variants in or around the *PDE5A* gene, encoding
204 PDE5, which showed association with the ED phenotype. Of all 4,670 variants within a 1Mb
205 window of *PDE5A* (chromosome 4:119,915,550 - 121,050,146 as per GRCh37/hg19), the variant
206 with the strongest association was rs115571325, 26Kb upstream from *PDE5A* (OR_{Meta} 1.25,
207 nominal p -value = 8.46×10^{-4} ; Bonferroni-corrected threshold ($0.05/4,670$) = 1.07×10^{-5} ; Figure
208 S6). We did not evaluate any further associations for this variant, given the lack of statistically
209 significant association with the ED phenotype after correcting for the multiple testing burden.

210

211 We have gained insight into ED, a common condition with substantial morbidity, by conducting a
212 large-scale GWAS and performing several follow-up analyses. By aggregating data from 3
213 cohorts, including 6,175 ED cases of European ancestry, we identified a locus associated with
214 ED, with several lines of evidence suggesting *SIM1*, highly expressed in the hypothalamus, to be
215 the causal gene at this locus. Our findings provide human genetic evidence in support of the key
216 role of the hypothalamus in regulating male sexual function.^{14,30–33}

217

218 LD score regression and Mendelian randomization implicated T2D as a causal risk factor for ED
219 with suggestive evidence for insulin resistance and systolic blood pressure, corroborating well-
220 recognized observational associations with these cardiometabolic traits.²² Further research would
221 be needed to explore the extent to which **drugs used in the treatment of T2D might be repurposed**
222 **for the treatment of ED**. A non-causal effect for BMI on ED suggests that the lead SNP
223 (rs57989773) exhibits pleiotropy, driving ED risk independent of its effect on adiposity.

224

225 In conclusion, in a large-scale GWAS of more than 6,000 ED cases, the largest to date for this
226 phenotype, we have identified novel biology and elucidated causal effects of various risk factors.
227 Further large-scale GWAS of ED are needed in order to provide additional clarity on its genetic
228 architecture, etiology and shed light on potential new therapies.

229 **Supplemental Data**

230 Document S1. Figures S1–S7 and Supplemental Methods

231 Spreadsheet S2. Tables S1–S15

232 **Acknowledgements**

233 We thank the UK Biobank (<http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>; application 11867), Partners HealthCare
234 Biobank (<https://biobank.partners.org/>), and the Estonian Biobank of the Estonian Genome
235 Center of the University of Tartu (<https://www.geenivaramu.ee/en>) and their participants.

236

237 **LD Hub**

238 We gratefully acknowledge all the studies and databases that made GWAS summary data
239 available: ADIPOGen (Adiponectin genetics consortium), C4D (Coronary Artery Disease
240 Genetics Consortium), CARDIoGRAM (Coronary ARtery Disease Genome wide Replication and
241 Meta-analysis), CKDGen (Chronic Kidney Disease Genetics consortium), dbGAP (database of
242 Genotypes and Phenotypes), DIAGRAM (DIAbetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis),
243 ENIGMA (Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics through Meta Analysis), EAGLE (EARly Genetics
244 & Lifecourse Epidemiology Eczema Consortium, excluding 23andMe), EGG (Early Growth
245 Genetics Consortium), GABRIEL (A Multidisciplinary Study to Identify the Genetic and
246 Environmental Causes of Asthma in the European Community), GCAN (Genetic Consortium for
247 Anorexia Nervosa), GEFOS (GENetic Factors for OSteoporosis Consortium), GIANT (Genetic
248 Investigation of ANthropometric Traits), GIS (Genetics of Iron Status consortium), GLGC (Global
249 Lipids Genetics Consortium), GPC (Genetics of Personality Consortium), GUGC (Global Urate
250 and Gout consortium), HaemGen (haematological and platelet traits genetics consortium),
251 HRgene (Heart Rate consortium), IIBDGC (International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics
252 Consortium), ILCCO (International Lung Cancer Consortium), IMSGC (International Multiple
253 Sclerosis Genetic Consortium), MAGIC (Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-related traits
254 Consortium), MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis), PGC (Psychiatric Genomics
255 Consortium), Project MinE consortium, ReproGen (Reproductive Genetics Consortium), SSGAC
256 (Social Science Genetics Association Consortium) and TAG (Tobacco and Genetics Consortium),

257 TRICL (Transdisciplinary Research in Cancer of the Lung consortium), UK Biobank. We gratefully
258 acknowledge the contributions of Alkes Price (the systemic lupus erythematosus GWAS and
259 primary biliary cirrhosis GWAS) and Johannes Kettunen (lipids metabolites GWAS).

260

261 **Contributions**

262 J.B., L.J., J.C., C.Y.C., T.L.P., S.L. and T.F. collected and analysed the data. All co-authors
263 participated in interpretation of results. J.B., L.J., J.C., S.L., M.N.W., C.M.L., A.M. and M.V.H.
264 wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors commented to and edited various versions of
265 the draft manuscript. J.B., C.M.L. and M.V.H. compiled the final manuscript. All authors approved
266 the final manuscript. M.N.W., R.M., B.N., C.M.L., A.M. and M.V.H. supervised the study.

267

268 **Data Availability**

269 Full summary statistics of the erectile dysfunction genome-wide meta-analysis will be publicly
270 available by the time of publication.

271 **Declaration of Interests**

272 M.N.W. has received speaker fees from Ipsen and Merck. B.N. is SAB of Deep Genomics and
273 Consultant for Avanir Therapeutics. S.L. has a Postdoctoral Research Fellowship funded by Novo
274 Nordisk. M.V.H. works in a unit that receives funding from the UK Medical Research Council and
275 is supported by a British Heart Foundation Intermediate Clinical Research Fellowship
276 (FS/18/23/33512). M.V.H. and C.M.L. are supported by the National Institute for Health Research
277 Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. M.V.H. has collaborated with Boehringer Ingelheim in
278 research, and in accordance with the policy of the Clinical Trial Service Unit and Epidemiological
279 Studies Unit (University of Oxford), did not accept any personal payment

280 **Web Resources**

281 PLINK

v1.9

282 URL: www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/

283

284 BOLT-LMM v2.3

285 URL: <https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/BOLT-LMM/>

286

287 EPACTS v3.3.0

288 <https://github.com/statgen/EPACTS>

289

290 EASYQC v9.2

291 [http://www.uni-regensburg.de/medizin/epidemiologie-praeventivmedizin/genetische-](http://www.uni-regensburg.de/medizin/epidemiologie-praeventivmedizin/genetische-epidemiologie/software/)

292 [epidemiologie/software/](http://www.uni-regensburg.de/medizin/epidemiologie-praeventivmedizin/genetische-epidemiologie/software/)

293

294 METAL

295 <http://csg.sph.umich.edu/abecasis/metal/>

296

297 SNPTEST v2.5.2

298 https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/genetics_software/snptest/snptest.html#introduction

299

300 LD HUB v1.9.0

301 <http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/>

302

303 DEPICT v1

304 <https://github.com/perslab/depict>

305

306 MendelianRandomization v0.2.2 (R package)

307 <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MendelianRandomization/index.html>

308

309 HAPLOREG v4.1

310 <http://archive.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php>

311

312 GARFIELD v2

313 <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/birney-srv/GARFIELD/>

314

315 RPISeq v1.0

316 <http://pridb.gdc.broadinstitute.edu/RPISeq/references.php>

317

318 GCTA v1.26.0

319 <http://cns.genomics.com/software/gcta/#Overview>

320 **References**

- 321 1. Lewis, R.W., Fugl-Meyer, K.S., Corona, G., Hayes, R.D., Laumann, E.O., Moreira, E.D., Jr,
322 Rellini, A.H., and Segraves, T. (2010). Definitions/epidemiology/risk factors for sexual
323 dysfunction. *J. Sex. Med.* 7, 1598–1607.
- 324 2. Fry, A., Littlejohns, T.J., Sudlow, C., Doherty, N., Adamska, L., Sprosen, T., Collins, R., and
325 Allen, N.E. (2017). Comparison of Sociodemographic and Health-Related Characteristics of UK
326 Biobank Participants With Those of the General Population. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 186, 1026–1034.
- 327 3. Kelly, C.A., Soler-Hampejsek, E., Mensch, B.S., and Hewett, P.C. (2013). Social desirability
328 bias in sexual behavior reporting: evidence from an interview mode experiment in rural Malawi.
329 *Int. Perspect. Sex. Reprod. Health* 39, 14–21.
- 330 4. Catania, J.A., Gibson, D.R., Chitwood, D.D., and Coates, T.J. (1990). Methodological problems
331 in AIDS behavioral research: influences on measurement error and participation bias in studies
332 of sexual behavior. *Psychol. Bull.* 108, 339–362.
- 333 5. Day, F.R., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Hinds, D.A., Finucane, H.K., Murabito, J.M., Tung, J.Y., Ong, K.K.,
334 and Perry, J.R.B. (2015). Shared genetic aetiology of puberty timing between sexes and with
335 health-related outcomes. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8842.
- 336 6. Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium, Kundaje, A., Meuleman, W., Ernst, J., Bilenky, M., Yen,
337 A., Heravi-Moussavi, A., Kheradpour, P., Zhang, Z., Wang, J., et al. (2015). Integrative analysis
338 of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* 518, 317–330.
- 339 7. Ernst, J., and Kellis, M. (2015). Large-scale imputation of epigenomic datasets for systematic
340 annotation of diverse human tissues. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 364–376.

- 341 8. Dixon, J.R., Jung, I., Selvaraj, S., Shen, Y., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J.E., Lee, A.Y., Ye, Z., Kim,
342 A., Rajagopal, N., Xie, W., et al. (2015). Chromatin architecture reorganization during stem cell
343 differentiation. *Nature* 518, 331–336.
- 344 9. Wang, Y., Zhang, B., Zhang, L., An, L., Xu, J., Li, D., Choudhary, M.N.K., Li, Y., Hu, M.,
345 Hardison, R., et al. (2017). The 3D Genome Browser: a web-based browser for visualizing 3D
346 genome organization and long-range chromatin interactions.
- 347 10. Visel, A., Minovitsky, S., Dubchak, I., and Pennacchio, L.A. (2007). VISTA Enhancer Browser-
348 -a database of tissue-specific human enhancers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, D88–D92.
- 349 11. Holder, J.L., Jr, Zhang, L., Kublaoui, B.M., DiLeone, R.J., Oz, O.K., Bair, C.H., Lee, Y.-H., and
350 Zinn, A.R. (2004). Sim1 gene dosage modulates the homeostatic feeding response to increased
351 dietary fat in mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 287, E105–E113.
- 352 12. Ramachandrapa, S., Raimondo, A., Cali, A.M.G., Keogh, J.M., Henning, E., Saeed, S.,
353 Thompson, A., Garg, S., Bochukova, E.G., Brage, S., et al. (2013). Rare variants in single-minded
354 1 (SIM1) are associated with severe obesity. *J. Clin. Invest.* 123, 3042–3050.
- 355 13. Michaud, J.L., Boucher, F., Melnyk, A., Gauthier, F., Goshu, E., Lévy, E., Mitchell, G.A.,
356 Himms-Hagen, J., and Fan, C.M. (2001). Sim1 haploinsufficiency causes hyperphagia, obesity
357 and reduction of the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10, 1465–
358 1473.
- 359 14. Semple, E., and Hill, J.W. (2018). Sim1 Neurons Are Sufficient for MC4R-Mediated Sexual
360 Function in Male Mice. *Endocrinology* 159, 439–449.
- 361 15. Muppirla, U.K., Honavar, V.G., and Dobbs, D. (2011). Predicting RNA-Protein Interactions
362 Using Only Sequence Information. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 489.

- 363 16. Cox, J.D., Kim, N.N., Traish, A.M., and Christianson, D.W. (1999). Arginase-boronic acid
364 complex highlights a physiological role in erectile function. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 6, 1043–1047.
- 365 17. Lacchini, R., Muniz, J.J., Nobre, Y.T.D.A., Cologna, A.J., Martins, A.C.P., and Tanus-Santos,
366 J.E. (2015). Relationship between Arginase 1 and Arginase 2 levels and genetic polymorphisms
367 with erectile dysfunction. *Nitric Oxide* 51, 36–42.
- 368 18. GTEx Consortium (2013). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat. Genet.* 45,
369 580–585.
- 370 19. Pers, T.H., Karjalainen, J.M., Chan, Y., Westra, H.-J., Wood, A.R., Yang, J., Lui, J.C.,
371 Vedantam, S., Gustafsson, S., Esko, T., et al. (2015). Biological interpretation of genome-wide
372 association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 5890.
- 373 20. Iotchkova, V., Ritchie, G.R.S., Geihs, M., Morganella, S., Min, J.L., Walter, K., Timpson, N.J.,
374 UK10K Consortium, Dunham, I., Birney, E., et al. (2016). GARFIELD - GWAS Analysis of
375 Regulatory or Functional Information Enrichment with LD correction.
- 376 21. Selvin, E., Burnett, A.L., and Platz, E.A. (2007). Prevalence and risk factors for erectile
377 dysfunction in the US. *Am. J. Med.* 120, 151–157.
- 378 22. Yafi, F.A., Jenkins, L., Albersen, M., Corona, G., Isidori, A.M., Goldfarb, S., Maggi, M., Nelson,
379 C.J., Parish, S., Salonia, A., et al. (2016). Erectile dysfunction. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2, 16003.
- 380 23. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K., Loh, P.-R., Finucane, H.K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Schizophrenia Working
381 Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Patterson, N., Daly, M.J., Price, A.L., and Neale,
382 B.M. (2015). LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide
383 association studies. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 291–295.

- 384 24. Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H.K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F.R., Loh, P.-R., ReproGen
385 Consortium, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Genetic Consortium for Anorexia Nervosa of the
386 Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 3, Duncan, L., et al. (2015). An atlas of genetic
387 correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1236–1241.
- 388 25. Davey Smith, G., and Ebrahim, S. (2003). “Mendelian randomization”: can genetic
389 epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int. J.*
390 *Epidemiol.* 32, 1–22.
- 391 26. Wang, Q., Holmes, M.V., Davey Smith, G., and Ala-Korpela, M. (2017). Genetic Support for
392 a Causal Role of Insulin Resistance on Circulating Branched-Chain Amino Acids and
393 Inflammation. *Diabetes Care* 40, 1779–1786.
- 394 27. Davies, N.M., Holmes, M.V., and Davey Smith, G. (2018). Reading Mendelian randomisation
395 studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ* 362, k601.
- 396 28. Holmes, M.V., Ala-Korpela, M., and Smith, G.D. (2017). Mendelian randomization in
397 cardiometabolic disease: challenges in evaluating causality. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 14, 577.
- 398 29. Walker, V.M., Davey Smith, G., Davies, N.M., and Martin, R.M. (2017). Mendelian
399 randomization: a novel approach for the prediction of adverse drug events and drug repurposing
400 opportunities. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 46, 2078–2089.
- 401 30. Argiolas, A., and Melis, M.R. (2005). Central control of penile erection: role of the
402 paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 76, 1–21.
- 403 31. Argiolas, A., and Melis, M.R. (2013). Neuropeptides and central control of sexual behaviour
404 from the past to the present: a review. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 108, 80–107.

- 405 32. Courtois, F., Carrier, S., Charvier, K., Guertin, P.A., and Journal, N.M. (2013). The control of
406 male sexual responses. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 19, 4341–4356.
- 407 33. Giuliano, F., and Rampin, O. (2004). Neural control of erection. *Physiol. Behav.* 83, 189–201.
- 408 34. Ward, L.D., and Kellis, M. (2012). HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states,
409 conservation, and regulatory motif alterations within sets of genetically linked variants. *Nucleic
410 Acids Res.* 40, D930–D934.
- 411 35. Javierre, B.M., Burren, O.S., Wilder, S.P., Kreuzhuber, R., Hill, S.M., Sewitz, S., Cairns, J.,
412 Wingett, S.W., Várnai, C., Thiecke, M.J., et al. (2016). Lineage-Specific Genome Architecture
413 Links Enhancers and Non-coding Disease Variants to Target Gene Promoters. *Cell* 167, 1369–
414 1384.e19.
- 415 36. Casper, J., Zweig, A.S., Villarreal, C., Tyner, C., Speir, M.L., Rosenbloom, K.R., Raney, B.J.,
416 Lee, C.M., Lee, B.T., Karolchik, D., et al. (2017). The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2018
417 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

418 **Figure Legends**

419 **Figure 1. 6q16.3 (LEAD VARIANT rs57989773) IS AN ED-ASSOCIATED LOCUS AND EXHIBITS**
420 **PLEIOTROPIC PHENOTYPIC EFFECTS.**

421 (A) **Genome-wide meta-analysis revealed a single genome-wide significant locus for ED at**
422 **6q16.3.**

423 (B) **Six genome-wide significant variants at 6q16.3 are in high LD.**

424 (C) **The association of rs57989773 with ED shows a consistent direction of effect across the**
425 **three cohorts and across clinically- and therapy-defined ED in UKBB.**

426 (D) **PheWAS reveals sex-specific associations of rs57989773 with waist-hip ratio and blood**
427 **pressure.** A PheWAS of 105 predefined traits using the lead ED SNP rs57989773 found associations
428 with 12 phenotypes at $p\text{-value} < 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ (surpassing the Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $0.05/105$;
429 Table S4). Due to the nature of the ED phenotype and previously reported sex-specific effects in the
430 *MCHR2-SIM1* locus, sex-specific analyses were performed in significant traits. Diastolic blood
431 pressure (dbp) and systolic blood pressure (sbp) are included here (despite not meeting the
432 Bonferroni-corrected threshold in the original analysis), due to previous reports of effects on blood-
433 pressure in patients with rare, coding variants in *SIM1* Sexual heterogeneity was found to be significant
434 (surpassing a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $0.05/7$ for the number of traits where sex-specific
435 analyses were conducted) for diastolic blood pressure ($p\text{-value}_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 6.52 \times 10^{-3}$), systolic blood
436 pressure ($p\text{-value}_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 3.73 \times 10^{-3}$), waist to hip ratio (whr; $p\text{-value}_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 2.39 \times 10^{-6}$) and
437 waist to hip ratio adjusted for BMI ($p\text{-value}_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 1.77 \times 10^{-5}$). This plot only shows sex-specific
438 estimates for traits showing significant sexual heterogeneity. Continuous traits were standardised prior
439 to analysis to facilitate comparison.

440 **Figure 2. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF 6q16.3 IMPLICATES *SIM1* IN ED PATHOGENESIS**

441 (A) **ED-associated signal overlaps regulatory annotations in embryonic stem cells.** Chromatin
442 state annotations for the ED-associated region across 127 reference epigenomes (rows) for cell and
443 tissue types profiled by the Roadmap Epigenomics Project^{6,7}. Grey vertical lines indicate the position
444 of the ED-associated variant (rs57989773) and its proxies that are in LD $r^2 > 0.8$ determined using
445 HaploReg v4.1³⁴ (rs17789218, rs9496567, rs78677597, rs9496614, and rs17185536). The lead
446 variant is in proximity to 'RP3-344J20.1', an arginase 2 processed pseudogene (LOC100129854).

447 (B) **The ED-associated interval is functionally connected to *SIM1* in embryonic stem cells.** The
448 3D Genome Browser⁹ was used to visualize chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) interactions
449 contact probabilities in human embryonic stem cells⁸, revealing high contact probability between the
450 ED-associated region (highlighted in yellow) and *SIM1* at 40-kb resolution. The heat map values on a
451 color scale correspond to the number of times that reads in two 40-kb bins were sequences together
452 (blue - stronger interaction, white - little or no interaction).

453 (C) **The *MCHR2-SIM1* intergenic region forms functional connections to the *SIM1* promoter in
454 endothelial progenitors.** The 3D Genome Browser⁹ was used to visualize Capture Hi-C in endothelial
455 precursors³⁵. Light blue vertical line indicates position of the ED-associated interval.

456 (D) **The *MCHR2-SIM1* intergenic region harbors a neuronal enhancer.** Upper panel: Position of
457 human element hs576 (blue vertical line) and the ED-associated variant rs57989773 and its 5 proxies
458 in $r^2 > 0.8$ (rs17789218, rs9496567, rs78677597, rs9496614, rs17185536). hs576 is flanked by genes
459 *MCHR2-AS1* and *SIM1*. This panel was generated using the UCSC genome browser³⁶. Lower panel:
460 Expression pattern of human element hs576 in a mouse embryo at e11.5. Expression pattern shows
461 that hs576 drives *in vivo* enhancer activity specifically in mesencephalon (midbrain) and cranial nerve.
462 Expression data were derived from the VISTA enhancer browser¹⁰.

463