Patterns of postmeal insulin secretion in individuals with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 neonatal diabetes show predominance of non-K\textsubscript{ATP} channel pathways
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ABSTRACT

Objective Insulin secretion in sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus (PNDM) is thought to be mediated predominantly through amplifying non-K\textsubscript{ATP}-channel pathways such as incretins. Affected individuals report symptoms of postprandial hypoglycaemia after eating protein/fat-rich foods. We aimed to assess the physiological response to carbohydrate and protein/fat in people with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 PNDM.

Research design and methods 5 adults with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 PNDM and five age, sex and body mass index-matched controls without diabetes had a high-carbohydrate and high-protein/fat meal on two separate mornings. Insulin(i) and glucose(g) were measured at baseline then regularly over 4 hours after the meal. Total area under the curve (IAUC) for insulin and glucose was calculated over 4 hours and compared between meals in controls and KCNJ11 cases.

Results In controls, glucose values after carbohydrate and protein/fat were similar (median glucose tAUC\textsubscript{4h} 19.7 ± 4.4 vs 19.7 ± 4.1 mmol/L, p=0.08). In KCNJ11 cases glucose levels were higher after carbohydrate than after protein/fat (median glucose tAUC\textsubscript{4h} 72.7 ± 33.5 mmol/L, p=0.04), but in KCNJ11 cases insulin secretion was similar after carbohydrate and protein/fat (median insulin tAUC\textsubscript{4h} 327 ± 192 pmol/L, p=0.50).

Conclusions Individuals with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 PNDM produce similar levels of insulin in response to both carbohydrate and protein/fat meals despite carbohydrate resulting in much higher glucose levels and protein/fat resulting in relatively low glucose levels. This suggests in an inability to modulate insulin secretion in response to glucose levels, consistent with a dependence on non-K\textsubscript{ATP} pathways for insulin secretion.

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

► Individuals with KCNJ11 PNDM can be successfully treated with high-dose sulfonylureas which maintain excellent glycemic control without causing severe hypoglycemia.

► Some individuals with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 PNDM report mild-moderate postprandial hypoglycaemia, particularly after protein/fat-rich meals.

What are the new findings?

► Individuals with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus (PNDM) exhibit higher glucose values in response to a carbohydrate meal than to a protein meal, and for both meals glucose levels are higher than controls without diabetes, who exhibit much more tightly regulated glucose levels regardless of meal type.

► The more tightly regulated glucose levels in controls result from much higher insulin secretion in response to carbohydrate than to protein, whereas cases with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 PNDM have similar insulin levels in response to both meal types.

► The similar insulin secretion with the different meals in individuals with sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 PNDM suggests a relative inability to modulate insulin secretion in response to both higher (carbohydrate) and lower (protein/fat) glucose levels, consistent with a dependence on non-K\textsubscript{ATP} pathways for insulin secretion.

INTRODUCTION

Activating KCNJ11 mutations are the most common cause of permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus (PNDM), diagnosed in the first 6 months of life.\textsuperscript{1} KCNJ11 encodes Kir6.2, the pore-forming subunit of the ATP-sensitive potassium (K\textsubscript{ATP}) channel that, in the beta cell, closes in response to metabolically generated
ATP, causing beta cell depolarization and insulin secretion. The SUR1 subunit, encoded by the ABCC8 gene, further regulates K\textsubscript{ATP} channel activity by opening the channel in response to Mg-ADP, preventing insulin release.\textsuperscript{3} KCNJ11 mutations impair the ATP sensitivity of pancreatic K\textsubscript{ATP} channels rendering them unresponsive to rising blood glucose and the beta cell remains hyperpolarized,\textsuperscript{3} resulting in absolute insulin deficiency. Affected individuals required treatment with replacement doses of insulin until it was shown that sulfonylureas (SU) could bind and close pancreatic K\textsubscript{ATP} channels resulting in beta cell depolarization and endogenous insulin secretion.\textsuperscript{4} This allowed 90\% of individuals with KCNJ11 PNDM to stop insulin injections completely gaining excellent metabolic control which is maintained long term.\textsuperscript{4, 5}

Severe hypoglycemia is rarely observed in SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM.\textsuperscript{5, 6} This is remarkable given that the doses of SU used in affected individuals are around 5–10 times those used to treat type 2 diabetes (T2D) and indicates that SU-stimulated K\textsubscript{ATP} channel activity is regulated, at least in part, in the presence of KCNJ11 mutations. However, as these mutations prevent regulation by ATP, it has been suggested that non-K\textsubscript{ATP}-channel-mediated amplifying pathways of insulin secretion (eg, incretin hormones) predominate over the classical ATP pathway.\textsuperscript{4} This is supported by the very low levels of insulin secretion observed after intravenous glucose in comparison to oral glucose or meals, in individuals with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM.\textsuperscript{4} The role of SUs is assumed to be largely permissive in allowing the beta cell to respond to non-K\textsubscript{ATP}-channel-mediated amplifying pathways, as opposed to directly stimulatory.\textsuperscript{4}

Anecdotal reports from patients with KCNJ11 PNDM have suggested mild to moderate hypoglycemia occurs after meals rich in protein/fat and lacking carbohydrate\textsuperscript{7} or meals smaller than usual in size.\textsuperscript{6} This may reflect an inability to moderate food-stimulated insulin secretion in the context of falling glucose after a low-carbohydrate meal; both GLP-1 and nutrient stimulation of the beta cell may play a role, as fatty acids and amino acids can drive insulin secretion through ATP-independent as well as ATP-dependent pathways.\textsuperscript{6–11} Similarly, K\textsubscript{ATP} channels on pancreatic alpha cells\textsuperscript{12} and glucose-sensing neurons in the brain ventromedial hypothalamus\textsuperscript{13} are thought to play a role in counter-regulatory responses to hypoglycemia via ATP-dependent and independent mechanisms.\textsuperscript{14, 15} SU inhibition and protein can both stimulate alpha cell depolarization and glucagon secretion in vitro.\textsuperscript{16, 17} However, counter-regulatory processes are complex and remain incompletely understood.

Despite its clinical importance in relation to dietary advice and hypoglycemia risk, no studies have investigated the impact of protein or other food types on insulin or glucagon secretion in SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM. We therefore aimed to assess the insulin, glucose and glucagon response to carbohydrate and protein/fat in people with KCNJ11 PNDM, and to compare this with the physiological response to the same food types in individuals without diabetes.

### RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

**Participants**

Five adults >18 years of age with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM and five controls without diabetes matched for age, sex and body mass index participated in the study. Clinical characteristics of the study participants are shown in table 1. All measured characteristics were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical feature</th>
<th>KCNJ11 cases</th>
<th>Non-diabetic controls</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>39.1 (24.4–41.0)</td>
<td>39.6 (24.2–41.8)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male (%)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.9 (22.4–26.8)</td>
<td>24.6 (23.9–25.6)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>10.1 (8.6–11.9)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.8–5.5)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNJ11 mutation</td>
<td>R201H, R201C</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU dose (mg/kg/day glibenclamide)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.07–1.21)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>6.9 (6.5–7.9)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>52 (48–63)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; N/A, not applicable; SU, sulfonylurea.
similar between the groups except fasting glucose which was higher in cases.

**Experimental procedure**

Participants attended the Exeter NIHR Clinical Research Facility and were given a high-carbohydrate breakfast (77 g carbohydrate, 9 g protein, 1 g fat, 371 calories) consisting of orange juice and white toast with jam, and a high-protein/fat breakfast (46 g protein, 18 g fat, 6 g carbohydrate, 369 calories) consisting of ham and cheese, on two separate mornings in a random order. Participants with *KCNJ11* PNDM took their usual prescribed dose of SU (five on glibenclamide, one on gliclazide) with each breakfast. These individuals also took part in a third visit during which they did not have any breakfast but took their SU tablet as usual that morning.

Before each visit the participants fasted overnight for a minimum of 10 hours. Prior to breakfast an intravenous cannula was inserted and two baseline blood samples (−5, 0 min) were taken for measurement of insulin, glucose, and glucagon. Breakfast was provided, on visits 1 and 2, and participants were given 15 min to eat. Participants were also given a glass of water and 1000 mg paracetamol with the meal as a non-invasive measure of gastric emptying. Any food remaining after 15 min was removed and weighed. One control participant and one case did not finish the protein meal within 15 min (they ate 90% and 75% of the breakfast, respectively). All participants ate the full carbohydrate meal. Blood samples were taken at regular intervals after breakfast (every 15 min for the first hour then every half hour for the last 3 hours); they were immediately centrifuged and frozen at −80°C for later measurement of glucose, insulin, glucagon, and paracetamol levels. Participants were also screened at each time point for autonomic and neuroglycopenic symptoms of hypoglycemia using standard questions scored from 1 to 7 on a Likert scale as previously described. For the individuals with *KCNJ11* PNDM, the third visit involved the same procedure but without any food.

**Biochemical analysis**

All biochemical analyses were performed in the Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust Clinical Laboratory. Serum glucose and paracetamol were analyzed on the 702 module of the Cobas 8000 analyzer, serum insulin was analyzed on the 602 module of the Cobas 8000 analyzer, and serum glucagon was analyzed on the Dynex DS2 automated ELISA platform.

**Statistical analyses**

Data were analyzed in Stata V.14.2 using non-parametric statistical methods; Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired continuous data and Mann-Whitney test for unpaired continuous data (independent samples). For categorical data, Fisher’s exact test was used for between-group comparisons. Total area under the curve over 4 hours (tAUC0−4h) and incremental area under the curve (iAUC0−4h) for insulin, glucagon and glucose were calculated using the trapezoidal rule. Values are reported as median (range) throughout unless stated otherwise.

**Glucose levels and hypoglycemia**

Glucose trends after meals and responses to hypoglycemia questionnaires were described and glucose tAUC0−4h and iAUC0−4h were compared between meals in controls and *KCNJ11* cases.

**Postmeal insulin secretion**

Insulin tAUC0−4h and iAUC0−4h were compared between meals in controls and *KCNJ11* cases. As baseline glucose values were different between cases and controls (table 1, online supplementary figure S1), insulin was also adjusted for glucose by calculating the ratios of total AUC for insulin and glucose (insulin tAUC/glucose tAUC)0−4h.

**Postmeal glucagon secretion**

Glucagon tAUC0−4h and iAUC0−4h were compared between different meals in controls and *KCNJ11* cases.

**Gastric emptying**

Maximum serum paracetamol concentration (pmax) and time to maximum concentration (tmax) were used to calculate the emptying index (tmax/pmax), which was compared between different meals to check for differences in rates of gastric emptying as previously described. Paracetamol tAUC0−4h was also compared between controls and *KCNJ11* cases and between meals.

**Effect of SU without food**

To examine the effect of SU alone, the glucose, insulin and glucagon analyses were repeated in *KCNJ11* cases after no food and compared with the responses to the carbohydrate and protein/fat meals.

**Data cleaning**

In the process of data analysis, for glucagon values <1.5 (limit of detection of the assay) a value of 1.4 was used. For paracetamol values <1.2 (limit of detection of the assay) a value of 1.1 was used. For the individuals with *KCNJ11* PNDM, the third visit involved the same procedure but without any food.

**RESULTS**

**Different glucose levels after protein/fat and carbohydrate in *KCNJ11* cases versus controls**

Glucose levels were higher in cases versus controls after both carbohydrate (glucose tAUC 58.1 (45.9–62.0) mmol/L vs 21.4 (19.3–25.7) mmol/L, p=0.009) and protein/fat (glucose tAUC 31.3 (23.5–35.3) mmol/L vs 5.7 (4.1–7.6) mmol/L, p=0.0005). For paracetamol, values were also adjusted for glucose and compared between cases and controls (table 1, online supplementary figure S1). For paracetamol values <1.2 (limit of detection of the assay) a value of 1.4 was used. For the individuals with *KCNJ11* PNDM, the third visit involved the same procedure but without any food.

**Clinical care/Education/Nutrition**
Clinical care/Education/Nutrition

Figure 1  (A) Glucose total area under the curve (AUC) over 4 hours. Controls are shown in light gray (diamonds are individuals and lines are group medians). KCNJ11 cases are shown in dark gray (circles are individuals and lines are group medians). (B) Insulin total AUC over 4 hours. Controls are shown in light gray (diamonds are individuals and lines are group medians). KCNJ11 cases are shown in dark gray (circles are individuals and lines are group medians).

vs 19.7 (17.7–21.2) mmol/L, p=0.009) (figure 1A). In controls, glucose values were tightly regulated after the two meals (figures 1A and 2 and online supplementary figure S1) (glucose tAUC after carbohydrate 21.4 (19.3–25.7) mmol/L and after protein/fat 19.7 (17.7–21.2) mmol/L, p=0.08). In KCNJ11 cases glucose levels were much higher after carbohydrate (glucose tAUC after carbohydrate 58.1 (45.9–62.0) mmol/L than

Figure 2  Incremental glucose (blue solid line), insulin (red solid line) and glucagon (black broken line) in controls without diabetes and sulfonylurea-treated KCNJ11 cases with carbohydrate (upper panel) and protein/fat (lower panel) meals. Values shown are medians.
after protein/fat 31.3 (23.5–35.3) mmol/L, p=0.04) (figures 1A and 2 and online supplementary figure S1). Similar trends were seen using iAUC (online supplementary table 1).

In cases, glucose increased 6.3 mmol/L from baseline in the first hour after carbohydrate and remained 2.6 mmol/L above baseline at 4 hours. In contrast, after protein/fat, glucose fell reaching median 5.9 mmol/L below baseline at 4 hours (figure 2 and online supplementary figure S1). Despite falling glucose after protein/fat, no cases became hypoglycemic in the 4-hour study period: the lowest glucose value recorded was 4.4 mmol/L. Consistent with these glucose profiles, all cases and controls had the lowest possible scores at all time points when screened for symptoms of hypoglycemia, indicating an absence of subjective symptoms. There were also no objective symptoms of hypoglycemia during observation of participants by the study nurse and doctor.

**Different glycemic responses to meals were explained by different patterns of insulin secretion in KCNJ11 cases versus controls**

Insulin secretion was higher in controls versus cases after the carbohydrate meal (insulin tAUC0–2h 727 (409–1302) vs tAUC0–2h 327 (175–647) pmol/L, p=0.03) but not after the protein/fat meal (insulin tAUC0–2h 335 (241–722) vs 378 (228–508) pmol/L, p=0.60) (figure 1B). In controls insulin secretion was greatly increased after the carbohydrate meal compared with the protein/fat meal (insulin tAUC0–2h 727 (409–1302) vs 335 (241–722) pmol/L, p=0.04), but in the KCNJ11 cases insulin secretion was similar with the two meals (insulin tAUC0–2h 327 (175–647) after carbohydrate and 378 (228–508) pmol/L after protein/fat, p=0.50) (figures 1B and 2 and online supplementary figure S1).

The same pattern was observed using iAUC (online supplementary table 1) and when insulin secretion was adjusted for glucose (insulin tAUC/glucose tAUC0–0.4h in controls after carbohydrate versus protein/fat, 33.9 (19.6–50.8) vs 18.9 (11.4–36.2), p=0.04, and in KCNJ11 cases 6.2 (2.9–14.1) vs 11.7 (9.7–14.4), p=0.08 (online supplementary figure S2). These results are consistent with KCNJ11 cases not being glucose responsive, in contrast to controls without diabetes.

**Glucagon secretion is increased in response to protein/fat compared with carbohydrate in both cases and controls**

Both controls and cases had higher glucagon secretion after protein/fat than carbohydrate (glucagon tAUC0–2h in controls 32.5 (15.8–37.9) vs 7.2 (5.6–11.2) pmol/L, p=0.04, and in cases 17.4 (7.8–28.3) vs 6.1 (5.7–8.9) pmol/L, p=0.04) (figures 2 and 3 and online supplementary figure S1). This is consistent with an alpha cell response to amino acids and/or fatty acids in both groups.

**Paracetamol profiles indicate similar rates of gastric emptying**

Paracetamol concentration-time curves are shown in online supplementary figure S2. Emptying index (tmax/pmax) and tAUC were similar between controls versus cases (tmax/pmax 0.03 vs 0.05, p=0.46, and for protein/fat 0.04 vs 0.03, p=0.60, paracetamol tAUC0–2h for carbohydrate 30.5 (21.9–55.5) vs 28.5 (18.5–44.4) mg/L, p=0.75, and for protein/fat 28.4 (24.4–46.7) vs 36.5 (15.6–40.4) mg/L, p=0.92). Emptying index and tAUC were also similar between meals in controls (emptying index p=0.89, tAUC0–2h p=0.45) and in cases (emptying index p=0.50, tAUC0–2h p=0.92).

**Effects of SU independent of food in KCNJ11 cases**

Glucose fell to a similar extent after both SU only (no food) and protein/fat (online supplementary figures S4 and S5) and there was no difference in overall glucose levels (glucose tAUC0–2h with no food 34.6 (28.7–42.8) mmol/L, and after protein/fat 31.3 (23.5–35.3) mmol/L, p=0.22). In contrast, glucose levels were lower after SU only versus carbohydrate (glucose tAUC0–2h with no food 34.6 (28.7–42.8) vs 58.1 (45.9–62.0) mmol/L, p=0.04). The same trends were seen using glucose iAUC (online supplementary table 1).

Insulin secretion in the 4 hours after a meal was higher after both carbohydrate versus SU only (insulin tAUC0–2h 327 (175–647) vs 174 (129–280) pmol/L, p=0.04, and insulin iAUC 205 (104–480) vs 39 (13–101) pmol/L, p=0.04). There was also a trend towards higher insulin secretion after protein/fat versus SU only (insulin tAUC0–2h 378 (228–508) vs 174 (129–280) pmol/L, p=0.08, and insulin iAUC 183 (109–316) vs 39 (15–101) pmol/L, p=0.04) (online supplementary figures S4 and S5). This supports a key role for food in triggering insulin release in the context of SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM.
Glucagon secretion was similar in the absence of food versus carbohydrate (glucagon tAUC_{0-4h} 6.0 (5.6–18.7) vs 6.1 (5.7–8.9) pmol/L, p=0.69, and glucagon iAUC 0.2 (−0.2 to 1.9) vs 0.5 (0.1–1.2) pmol/L, p=0.50) despite very different glucose levels. In contrast, there was a trend towards lower glucagon secretion in the absence of food versus protein/fat (glucagon tAUC_{0-4h} 6.0 (5.6–18.7) vs 17.4 (7.8–28.3) pmol/L, p=0.22, and glucagon iAUC 0.20 (−0.2 to 1.9) vs 11.8 (2.2–16.7) pmol/L, p=0.04).

The rate of gastric emptying did not change with SU only in comparison to the two meals (paracetamol tAUC_{0-4h} with no food 34.6 (20.0–43.4) mg/L and with carbohydrate 28.5 (18.5–44.4) mg/L, p=0.89, paracetamol tAUC_{0-4h} with no food 34.6 (20.0–43.4) mg/L and with protein/fat 36.5 (13.6–40.4) mg/L, p=0.69).

**DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS**

We have shown clear differences in glucose levels and insulin secretion after carbohydrate and protein/fat meals between controls without diabetes and individuals with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM.

While controls show tightly regulated glucose levels after meals, individuals with KCNJ11 PNDM have lower glucose after protein/fat versus carbohydrate. These different glucose profiles have clinical implications in terms of dietary advice offered to patients with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM. In this specific type of diabetes, patients should avoid meals or diets completely lacking carbohydrate since blood glucose is likely to fall postprandially in the context of a protein/fat meal. Furthermore, they should avoid missing meals after taking SU, as we also observed a fall in glucose with SU in the absence of food.

In addition to the practical implications, our data may provide a mechanistic explanation as to why patients with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM report hypoglycemia after protein/fat-rich meals. Insulin secretion after protein/fat and carbohydrate is similar in affected individuals despite very different glucose profiles. This supports insensitivity to glucose and lack of modulation of incretin and amino acid/fatty acid-stimulated insulin secretion after a protein/fat meal. Consistent with this is human and rodent data from previous studies demonstrating non-K_{ATP}-driven insulin secretion in the presence of normal or low glucose. Humans with congenital hyperinsulinism caused by recessively inherited inactivating (loss of function) K_{ATP} channel mutations have insensitivity to leucine, which acts through K_{ATP} pathways, but sensitivity to glutamine, which acts independently of ATP to drive insulin secretion and hypoglycemia after protein-rich meals. Furthermore, SUR1 knockout mice are euglycemic but show amino acid-stimulated insulin secretion which is particularly sensitive to glutamine.

The pattern of the insulin response to the different meals in SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM contrasts with the pattern in controls without diabetes where carbohydrate, acting through the classical ATP pathway, elicits a far greater insulin response than protein/fat and is quickly ‘switched off’ in response to normalization of blood glucose. It also contrasts with the response in individuals with type 1 diabetes on intensive insulin therapy, who show rises in postprandial glucose 3–5 hours after a high-protein/fat meal and in whom protein is protective against hypoglycemia.

Our results are consistent with the previously hypothesized mechanism of insulin secretion in patients with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM. Pearson et al reported a large reduction in insulin secretion following intravenous glucose versus oral glucose supporting predominance of non-K_{ATP}-mediated amplifying pathways over the classical ATP pathway in these patients. The small amount of insulin secretion and fall in glucose we observed in the absence of food contrasts with the idea of a purely permissive action of SUs on the beta cell, although our experimental design differed from previous physiological studies limiting direct comparison. The very high doses of SU used to treat KCNJ11 PNDM do not result in severe hypoglycemia highlighting the possibility of a different pharmacological mechanism to the direct effects on the K_{ATP} Channel seen in T2D. Further research is needed to improve understanding of the mechanism of SU action in KCNJ11 PNDM.

In our study, glucagon secretion was higher after protein/fat versus carbohydrate in both cases with KCNJ11 PNDM and controls without diabetes, consistent with the previously described stimulatory effect of amino acids on alpha cells. We did not test the glucose responsiveness of alpha cells in this study as all individuals remained euglycemic throughout. However, rodent models suggest defective glucagon secretion may occur in the presence of K_{ATP} channel mutations. Specifically, SUR1 knockout mice show an alpha cell secretory defect at low levels of glucose, KCNJ11 knockout mice exhibit defective glucagon secretion due to an impaired brain response to hypoglycemia, and intracerebroventricular perfusion of K_{ATP} channels with SU in conscious rats reduces glucagon responses to hypoglycemia. In humans, the research and anecdotal clinical evidence to date suggests that these patients are protected from severe hypoglycemia, but the mechanisms of this remain unknown and future research will investigate in detail the counter-regulatory response to hypoglycemia in people with KCNJ11 mutations at the level of the alpha cell and the brain as previously described in the context of glucokinase mutations.

Our study has important strengths. To our knowledge, it is the first study to assess the impact of different food types on glucose levels and insulin and glucagon secretion in people with KCNJ11 PNDM, and to compare this with data from controls without diabetes. Previous research has been limited to assessment of insulin secretion following oral and intravenous glucose tolerance tests in small groups of affected individuals without a control group for comparison.

Our study has some limitations. First, the numbers of cases and controls are small and only two mutations...
in the KCNJ11 gene (R201H and R201C) were studied, reflecting the rarity of the disease. However, these are the most common mutations and both impact ATP binding. Second, as the individuals in our study did not have glucose levels in the hypoglycemic range, we were unable to assess the alpha cell response in the presence of low glucose as discussed above. Finally, the study was only done in adults, which may limit the generalizability of the findings, particularly for patients in the pediatric age range. Additional studies in children with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM will be required to investigate the beta and alpha cell responses to carbohydrate and protein/fat and to establish whether these responses differ from those observed in adults.

In conclusion, we have shown that individuals with SU-treated KCNJ11 PNDM produce similar levels of insulin in response to both carbohydrate and protein/fat meals despite carbohydrate meals resulting in much higher glucose levels and protein/fat meals being characterized by relatively low glucose levels. This suggests an apparent inability to modulate insulin secretion in response to both higher (carbohydrate) and lower (protein/fat) glucose levels, which is consistent with a dependence on non-KATP-channel pathways for insulin secretion. Our findings may provide a mechanistic explanation for the postprandial hypoglycemia reported by patients with KCNJ11 PNDM. Furthermore, glucose levels can fall with SUs in the absence of food. We would therefore recommend that affected individuals avoid missed meals or meals lacking carbohydrate while on SU treatment. Finally, our data highlight the utility of KCNJ11 PNDM as a model for studying non-KATP-mediated pathways of insulin secretion and demonstrate the predominance of the classical ATP pathway in the non-diabetic state.
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