

Violence against women in the Irish Civil War, 1922–23: Gender-based harm in global  
perspective

GEMMA CLARK\*

*University of Exeter*

Women and girls are ‘particularly vulnerable’ to conflict-related gender-based violence (GBV), with devastating consequences. Perpetrators, on the other hand, who might be state or non-state actors, soldiers or civilians – but who are nearly always men – have historically benefitted from a ‘climate of impunity which is rampant in armed conflicts’.<sup>1</sup> Wartime identities drawn along the lines of sex and gender (female victim versus male warrior) have mirrored peacetime laws, which in many past societies treated women and children as the ‘property’ of husbands and fathers.<sup>2</sup> Male military cultures have fostered further feelings of

---

<sup>1</sup> Gloria Gaggioli, ‘Sexual violence in armed conflict’ in *International Review of the Red Cross*, xcvi, no. 894 (2014), pp 503–538 at 504–05. As well as this issue’s editors and readers, I thank for bringing my article to fruition: Sharon Crozier de Rosa, Marie Coleman and Stacey Hynd, for inspiring my interest in the topic and its contemporary resonances; conveners and attendees of seminars/workshops (at the University of Edinburgh; Trinity College Dublin; IES Irish Studies, London; MIC Limerick) where I developed my ideas; my students, past and present, whose enthusiasm for the Irish Revolution sustains and stimulates my research.

<sup>2</sup> Joanna Bourke, *Rape: A history from 1860 to the present* (London, 2007), location 197 (Kindle edition).

entitlement to rape.<sup>3</sup> Recently, however, in the wake of the wars and ethnic cleansing in the former Yugoslavia, international organizations have challenged the implicit acceptance of GBV (including sexual violence) as unavoidable. In 1992, the UN Security Council ‘declared the “massive, organized and systematic detention and rape of women, in particular Muslim women, in Bosnia and Herzegovina” an international crime that must be addressed’; the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (1993) consequently included rape as a crime against humanity and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (1994) also deemed rape to be ‘a war crime and a crime against humanity’.<sup>4</sup> In the decades since, ‘international criminal law has considerably evolved and has criminalized the most serious forms of sexual violence at the international level’.<sup>5</sup>

The work of policymakers, human rights lawyers and activists, in recognising rape as a weapon of war, is supported by academic research on the function of sexual violence around the globe. No longer does ‘wartime rape [loom] as a deplorable and historically

---

<sup>3</sup> Madeline Morris, ‘In war and peace: Rape, war, and military culture’ in Anne Barstow (ed.), *War’s dirty secret: Rape, prostitution, and other crimes against women* (Cleveland, OH, 2000), pp 167–203.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Public Information, *Outreach Programme on the Rwanda Genocide and the United Nations: ‘Background Note: Sexual Violence: A Tool of War’* (March 2014), pp 1–4 at 2.

<sup>5</sup> Gaggioli, ‘Sexual violence in armed conflict’, p. 505. For example, the 1998 Rome Statute underpinning the International Criminal Court (which came into force in 2002) expanded the definition of crimes against humanity to include other systematic sexual acts outside rape (enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, etc.).

unaddressed side effect of war'.<sup>6</sup> Rather, a consensus has emerged that 'rape represents just another ordinance like bombs, bullets, or propaganda that a military can use to accomplish its strategic objectives'; it does so by spreading fear, breaking up families/communities – and thereby diminishing civilian resistance to the will of the attacking force.<sup>7</sup> (For this reason, sexual violence serves closely genocidal aims; raped women may become pregnant by the enemy (thus potentially changing the ethnic makeup of the next generation) or, through death, injury or social/familial ostracism, be unable to reproduce in the future.<sup>8</sup>) Some countries 'identified as loci of mass rapes conducted by military or paramilitary forces', in the twentieth century alone, 'include Belgium and Russia during World War I; Russia, Japan, Italy, Korea, China, the Philippines, and Germany during World War II; and in one or more conflicts, Afghanistan, Algeria, Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Burma, Bosnia, Cambodia, Congo, Croatia, Cyprus, East Timor, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, India, Indonesia, Kuwait, Kosovo, Liberia, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Peru, Pakistan, Rwanda, Serbia, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Turkey, Uganda, Vietnam, Zaire, and Zimbabwe'.<sup>9</sup> Rape also remains 'prevalent in a number of contemporary armed conflicts, such as in the Central African

---

<sup>6</sup> C. B. Coan, 'Rethinking the spoils of war: Prosecuting rape as a war crime in the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia' in *North Carolina Journal of International Law and Commercial Regulation*, xxiv, no. 1 (Fall 2000), pp 183–237.

<sup>7</sup> Jonathan Gottschall, 'Explaining Wartime Rape' in *The Journal of Sex Research*, xli, no. 2 (May 2004), pp 129–136 at 131–32.

<sup>8</sup> Gottschall, 'Explaining Wartime Rape', pp 131–32.

<sup>9</sup> Gottschall, 'Explaining Wartime Rape', p. 130.

Republic, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Mali, South Sudan and Syria',<sup>10</sup> in which territories (and others) UN Action directs its ongoing work.<sup>11</sup>

Violence against women in wartime – as distinct, though not divorced, from violence in domestic and/or social settings – is thus an inherently transnational field of enquiry. This article, on GBV during the Irish Civil War, draws on this literature to highlight the relatively humane treatment of women in Ireland – even during the bitter final stages of the 'Irish Revolution', c.1912–23. These ten or so years of mass mobilisation and radical politics resulted in a transfer of state power (to part of the island, at least, via the partition of the UK-controlled north from twenty-six southern Irish counties established, by the Treaty, as the Irish Free State, in 1922). Indeed, it has become something of a truism that Ireland's was a purely political or constitutional revolution, encompassing little real social change to property rights, farming and economic practices, etc.;<sup>12</sup> rather, 1922 ushered in greater control of everyday life by conservative institutions (notably the Catholic Church), economic protectionism, and attacks on women's rights and working opportunities – dashing the promise of equality contained in the 1916 Proclamation of the Irish Republic. Female sexuality was especially taboo in 1920s Ireland; a 'stringent moral code, which deemed sexual contact outside of marriage to be immoral, enshrined a sexual double standard that

---

<sup>10</sup> Gaggioli, 'Sexual violence in armed conflict', p. 504.

<sup>11</sup> UN Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict, *Stop Rape Now*: <http://www.stopraperow.org/> [accessed 29 Aug. 2019].

<sup>12</sup> This despite some agitation on issues of rural poverty and land rights: Gemma Clark, *Everyday Violence in the Irish Civil War* (Cambridge, 2014).

generally led to social ostracism and/or institutionalization of sexually “deviant” women’.<sup>13</sup> As a descriptor of the period c.1912–23, though, ‘revolution’ nonetheless holds some analytical value for me because, accompanying the redrawing of state boundaries, I have observed also renegotiation of social and communal identities – a process of ‘community regulation’ in many local areas (in Munster especially). *Everyday Violence in the Irish Civil War* considers religion and politics as lines of demarcation between Irish people; this current article examines gender as an identifier in violent processes of community regulation, of defining self from other, friend from enemy, during the revolution in Ireland’s governance. It asks how distinctive were women’s interactions with the Irish Civil War and – a connected question – how useful is a gender framework for understanding these violent and transformative years in Irish history? It is concerned primarily with female non-combatants, while also acknowledging that ‘civilian’ is an ‘ambiguous and contentious category’ in civil war generally,<sup>14</sup> not to mention that women played militant roles during Ireland’s conflict specifically.<sup>15</sup> I consider the impact of different modes of civil-war violence on women including the relative scarcity in Ireland, as compared with contemporaneous European conflicts and other intra-state wars, of serious interpersonal violence including sexual assault.

---

<sup>13</sup> Lindsey Earner-Byrne, ‘The Rape of Mary M.: A Microhistory of Sexual Violence and Moral Redemption in 1920s Ireland’ in *Journal of the History of Sexuality*, xxiv, no. 1 (Jan. 2015), pp 75–98.

<sup>14</sup> S. N. Kalyvas, *The logic of violence in civil war* (Cambridge, 2006), p. 19.

<sup>15</sup> Sinéad McCoole, *No ordinary women: Irish female activists in the Revolutionary years* (Dublin, 2015); Sharon Crozier-De Rosa and Vera Mackie, *Remembering Women’s Activism* (London, 2018), Chapter 2.

I am not the first to raise these vital issues. This work owes a debt to Margaret Ward, Maria Luddy, and others who, since the 1980s, have brought ‘awareness to women’s experiences and participation’ in Irish politics, society and culture,<sup>16</sup> not only during the transformative period of the early twentieth century,<sup>17</sup> but throughout the modern period.<sup>18</sup> Yet, with the National Archives of Ireland’s 2018 release of previously unseen records of wartime injuries – and the public platform given to the question of violence against women by Linda Connolly – my research adds to an evolving and important field at an opportune moment.<sup>19</sup> Connolly’s allegation that the Revolution contains a ‘dark secret’ of sexual violence yet to be uncovered is difficult wholly to prove or refute (for reasons around

---

<sup>16</sup> Gabrielle Machnik-Kékesi, ‘Gendering Bodies: Violence as Performance in Ireland’s War of Independence (1919–1921)’, M.A. thesis, Concordia University Montreal, 2017, p. 13.

<sup>17</sup> Senia Pašeta, *Irish Nationalist Women, 1900–1918* (Cambridge, 2013); Jason K. Knirck, *Women of the Dáil: Gender, Republicanism and the Anglo-Irish Treaty* (Dublin, 2006); see also Marie Coleman, cited throughout.

<sup>18</sup> Lindsey Earner-Byrne and Diane Urquhart, ‘Gender roles in Ireland since 1740’ in E. F. Biagini and M. E. Daly (eds), *The Cambridge social history of modern Ireland* (Cambridge, 2017), pp 312–26; Rosemary Cullen Owens, *A Social History of Women in Ireland, 1870–1970: An Exploration of the Changing Role and Status of Women in Irish Society* (Dublin, 2005).

<sup>19</sup> Linda Connolly, ‘Sexual violence a dark secret of War of Independence and Civil War’, *Irish Times Online*, 10 Jan. 2019; Connolly, ‘Sexual violence and the Irish Revolution: an inconvenient truth?’ in *History Ireland*, xxvii, no. 6 (Nov./Dec. 2019); **RTE, History Show, special episode forthcoming?**

evidence and specifically reporting of rape, to be discussed);<sup>20</sup> she aims to challenge the narrative that ‘sexual assault was “rare” both during the War of Independence and Civil War’, but the counter-narrative (that it was widespread) has not yet ‘been adequately proven’ either.<sup>21</sup> However, Connolly’s intervention is a useful reminder – during the ongoing Decade of Centenaries in Ireland – not to ignore abuses against civilians nor think of the Revolution solely ‘as a war about men’.<sup>22</sup>

This article uses the newly accessible records of the Compensation (Personal Injuries) Committee (CPIC), and other sources, to show that women suffered some serious and traumatising interpersonal violence – often on account of their gender (as guardians of the domestic space). However, I argue that it did not serve the strategy or ideology of either warring side (state consolidation for the National Army versus the administration of an alternative nationalism by the anti-Treaty IRA) to denigrate women en masse or destroy a people/its culture. According also to the strongly conservative, Catholic ethos of the emerging Free State,<sup>23</sup> a worldview that militants in both camps largely shared, maintaining some degree of military propriety, and loyalty to a people’s ‘republic’, mitigated against the

---

<sup>20</sup> Connolly, ‘Sexual violence a dark secret’.

<sup>21</sup> Connolly, ‘Towards a Further Understanding of the Violence Experienced by Women in the Irish Revolution’, Maynooth University Social Sciences Institute, Working Paper Series, no. 7 (Maynooth, 2019), pp 1–33 at 5.

<sup>22</sup> Connolly, ‘Wartime sexual violence against women in Ireland ‘ignored’’, *Irish Times Online*, 9 July 2018.

<sup>23</sup> Una Crowley and Rob Kitchin, ‘Producing ‘decent girls’: Governmentality and the moral geographies of sexual conduct in Ireland (1922–1937)’ in *Gender, Place and Culture*, xv, no. 4 (Aug. 2008), pp 355–372.

need for sexual violence as warfare – especially for the anti-Treaty insurgents whose weaker military position might otherwise have encouraged greater recourse to violence against (female) civilians.

## I

Unfolding from the battle for Dublin’s Four Courts in two key phases – conventional-military encounters, June–September 1922, and guerrilla violence, September 1922–May 1923 – Ireland’s Civil War took a heavy toll on the general population.<sup>24</sup> Exact casualty figures are unknown; no one has done for the Civil War what Eunan O’Halpin’s pioneering project, *The Dead of the Irish Revolution*, will do for the Easter Rising and War of Independence (by identifying all deaths arising, April 1916–December 1921, ‘from Irish political violence’).<sup>25</sup> However, military fatalities of 927, amid total fatalities of ‘probably little more than 1,500’,<sup>26</sup> suggest that around 573 civilians lost their lives to civil conflict. Many thousands more suffered physical and psychological trauma, financial hardship, and general disruption to daily life – as the National Troops of the nascent Free State clashed with anti-Treaty republicans over the independence settlement with Britain (and non-combatants fought their own battles over land and identity politics). While ‘personal issues’ raised by participation in Irish conflict have been relatively under-explored, as compared to the ‘political aspects’ of

---

<sup>24</sup> For an overview of the conflict: Clark, *Everyday Violence*, pp 2–5.

<sup>25</sup> Eunan O’Halpin, ‘Counting Terror: Bloody Sunday and The Dead of the Irish Revolution’ in David Fitzpatrick (ed.), *Terror in Ireland 1916–1923* (Dublin, 2012), pp 209–232 at 214. I greatly anticipate the overall findings of the project, to be published by Yale University Press.

<sup>26</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, p. 3.

war,<sup>27</sup> important new research on pain and trauma is emerging,<sup>28</sup> alongside studies of the material costs of the Revolution.<sup>29</sup>

The pertinent question here is how dangerous and damaging was the Civil War for women specifically? The recently released records of the CPIC provide a snapshot of the kinds of interpersonal violence suffered by women (or, at least, injuries for which they or their families were willing to make a claim). Historians of the Revolution have already examined applications for compensation, to both Free State and British Governments, under Damage to Property schemes.<sup>30</sup> The investigation of compensation claims brings with it obvious methodological challenges: applicants might exaggerate their experience of wartime violence, for example, for the purposes of financial remuneration. However, these records

---

<sup>27</sup> David Durnin and Ian Miller (eds), *Medicine, health and Irish experiences of conflict, 1914–45* (Manchester, 2016), p. 2.

<sup>28</sup> Miller, ‘Pain, Trauma, and Memory in the Irish War of Independence: Remembering and Contextualising Irish Suffering’ in Fionnuala Dillane, Naomi McAreavey and Emilie Pine (eds), *The Body in Pain in Irish Literature and Culture* (Cham, 2016), pp 117–134; J. D. Stover, ‘Violence, Trauma and Memory in Ireland: The Psychological Impact of War and Revolution on a Liminal Society, 1916–1923’ in Jason Crouthamel and Peter Leese (eds), *Psychological Trauma and the Legacies of the First World War* (Cham, 2017).

<sup>29</sup> G. M. Foster, *The Irish Civil War and Society* (London, 2015), pp 172–202; Diarmaid Ferriter, *A Nation and not a Rabble: The Irish Revolution 1913–23* (London, 2015), Chapters 28–30; see also Clark, Hughes, and Hart, below.

<sup>30</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*; Brian Hughes, *Defying the IRA?: Intimidation, Coercion, and Communities During the Irish Revolution* (Liverpool, 2016); Peter Hart, *The IRA at war, 1916–23* (Oxford, 2003).

also offer perspectives on episodes (and prolonged campaigns) of violence and intimidation against civilians that might otherwise go unrecorded. In late 2017, the National Archives announced the opening of a parallel set of files from the Department of Justice. These are the records of the CPIC, appointed in April 1923, as the war was ending, to consider injuries sustained by ‘non-combatant[s] in the course of belligerence’ between British and Irish national forces, or Free State and anti-Treaty armies.<sup>31</sup> The Committee considered in total 6,616 application from the public, paying out more than £269,000 (around £14.5 million, in today’s terms) to the injured and dependents of the deceased. Yet, while its administrative files were conserved by the Ministry of Finance, it was previously believed that the actual applications for compensation had been destroyed or lost in the archives.<sup>32</sup> The 2018 release by Justice, however, brought to light 2,141 new records, 2,107 of which are individual applications for support relating usually to a single incident (for example, a shooting) and its after-effects on the body and/or mind (although, frustratingly, the compensation narratives are fairly sparse and rarely is there supplementary police or medical evidence, requested by the CPIC, included in the files that are currently available). 19% of these applications for compensation were submitted by, or on behalf of, women. We can thus infer that women were less likely than men to experience interpersonal violence during the Civil War. Of course, women may have also been less likely to report acts of violence against them, but the fact that male relatives often submitted claims on behalf of their wives, sisters, daughters, etc., suggests that gender was not a major factor in obscuring violent episodes in general,

---

<sup>31</sup> National Archives of Ireland (NAI), Department of Justice and Equality (JUS), Compensation (Personal Injuries) Committee Applications, 1922–25; 2018 release; 2017/46 series.

<sup>32</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, p. 22.

many of which were likely already to be public knowledge – because the injury took place in the open (during an exchange of pro- and anti-Treaty gunfire, for example) and/or resulted in a death reported via other official channels. Of the 19% of claims recording injuries to women, 20% pertain to the period after 11 July 1921 (the Truce with Britain and, following the Committee’s Terms of Reference, the demarcating line I also use between the War of Independence and Civil War for this study).

There is, then, a small if interesting collection of 79 compensation claims relating to violence against women during the Civil War, from which some further patterns and inferences might be drawn. Around 20% of civil-war claims come from relatives of deceased women, killed usually accidentally by firearms or explosives, though fatalities during (pro- and anti-Treaty) armed raids on private homes suggest more personal targeting, albeit in ambiguous circumstances.<sup>33</sup> The most common civil-war injury (from around 60% of female claimants) is non-lethal physical harm – typically wounds (both accidental and deliberate) from gunshots or bombs, though there are also conflict-related road-traffic accidents and assaults without a weapon. Of these rare episodes not involving firearms, one refers in coded language to what may be sexual assault and, in another, a woman’s hair is forcibly shorn. The files also record the psychological impact on victims of physical injury. Mary Hyde’s ‘nerves are shattered’, for example, after being knocked unconscious by debris from a mine explosion in St Mary’s Hall, Cork, on 2 March 1923.<sup>34</sup> Yet there are also a small number of cases (around 12% of the total from the Civil War) that describe mental illness triggered not by physical injury to the woman in question, but witnessing violence at close quarters. Mary Gallagher, for example, had a ‘very severe shock’ when ‘Irregulars’ attacked her home in the

---

<sup>33</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/279, 1065, 1108, 2034.

<sup>34</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/1474.

Arigna mountains in County Roscommon (which was being used, presumably by the Free State, as a 'military post'); a private was killed in the operation and the resultant 'sleeplessness and nerves' continued for Mary for at least three months.<sup>35</sup>

Knowing what happened to women will lead us to why and, crucially, an understanding of the role of gender in identifying victims and experiencing violence. When female civilians are caught (sometimes literally) in the crossfire of civil war, their sex is seemingly immaterial. James Fletcher explained to the CPIC the circumstances leading to the death of his wife, Esther, in County Donegal: 'A party of armed IRA Executive Forces on the 4<sup>th</sup> May 1922 came to Buncrana and engaged themselves in raiding the local branch of the Belfast Bank, the National or Free State Forces turned out, and attacked the "Irregulars"[,] both parties began shooting, and deceased who was walking down the street was shot.'<sup>36</sup> Similarly, in July 1922, Annie Meehan was 'proceeding from my residence at Watery Hill to the residence of some friends in Drogheda on the opposite side of the town in order to get out of the zone of fire, when I was wounded in the right thigh by a bullet fired by National troops'. Watery Hill 'is close to Millmount Barracks, which at that time was held by Irregulars'.<sup>37</sup> Accounts like these add to our understanding of the initial intensity of the war in urban spaces and the difficulties both sides faced in maintaining distinctions between combatants and civilians; the shooters were not aiming for Esther or Annie. O'Halpin has similarly found that women were often collateral damage during the War of Independence;

---

<sup>35</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/2007.

<sup>36</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/30.

<sup>37</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/48.

only 10% of civilian fatalities of political violence, 1917–21, were female, the majority ‘untargeted killings in riots, or traffic accidents involving Crown forces’.<sup>38</sup>

Many women killed or wounded, by gunshot, during the Civil War, were similarly in the wrong place at the wrong time (as recorded by the CPIC, at least). However, there are some seemingly more targeted cases, in which dubious loyalties or political betrayals allegedly were punished by violence. At Dillons Cross, County Cork, for example, in December 1922, Mary Barry, and ‘three other girls’, were ‘singing a song in support of the Treaty when a shot rang out and I fell’; she attributed the attack to ‘civilians believed to be anti-treaty or supporters of anti-treaty forces’. It is interesting that she identified the perpetrators as non-combatants; she also received medical attention after a ‘civilian motor car’ took her to hospital in in Cork.<sup>39</sup> Singing a song is a fairly innocuous show of support for the authorities; more serious, in the eyes of republicans engaged militarily in undermining the nascent Free State, was the act of informing – a dangerous game throughout the previous War of Independence, too, with a tense and mistrustful atmosphere pervading in many local communities, 1919–23.<sup>40</sup> When a ‘party of Irregulars’ raided the home of Patrick Callanan, in Dromelehy, Cooraclare (County Clare), at 9pm on 29 December 1922, his daughter Mai – her ‘boots off’ as she undressed for bed – was shot and ‘seriously maim[ed]’ in the foot. It is not clear if she was deliberately shot in the foot (torture to extract information) or if ‘William

---

<sup>38</sup> O’Halpin, ‘Counting Terror’, p. 226.

<sup>39</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/1118.

<sup>40</sup> Clark, ‘Motives for murder’ in *The Revolution Papers*, xlv (8 Nov. 2016); Gerard Murphy, *The Year of Disappearances: Political Killings in Cork 1921–1922* (Dublin, 2011); John Borgonovo, *Spies, informers and the ‘Anti-Sinn Fein Society’: the intelligence war in Cork city, 1919–1921* (Dublin, 2006); Hughes, *Defying the IRA*, Chapter 4.

Campbell’ (since arrested for the crime) shot generally in her direction, striking barefooted Mai. Evidently the family was known to the assailants (under-resourced and ‘on the run’ anti-Treaty republicans often ‘billeted’ themselves in private homes, as they had at the Callanans, for a number of nights at a time). Mai was ‘suspected of informing the Government troops at Kiltrush of the whereabouts of Campbell and other Irregulars’, which was the alleged motivation for Campbell’s subsequent night-time raid and Mai’s shooting. Women played a key role in intelligence gathering and communications during the Civil War;<sup>41</sup> evidently Mai was not immune from suspicion from anti-Treaty forces in her area, by virtue of her gender, even if the CPIC testimony was truthful in assertion that she ‘did not as a matter of fact have anything to say to them [the National Troops]’, though ‘for one reason or another they [the ‘Irregulars’] concluded she had’.<sup>42</sup>

Mai Callanan’s injury reminds us of the ‘definitively intimate’ nature of the Irish Revolution: ‘[b]eyond direct engagements, both British security forces and the IRA routinely violated private spaces, at times leaving traumatised neighbours and family members in their wake’.<sup>43</sup> Some women were killed or wounded in public spaces – by shooting in the streets, explosions of mines/bombs or, as in the tragic death of eight-year-old Rose Anne Hamill, a stray hand-grenade on a country road.<sup>44</sup> However, evidently serious civil-war attacks also took place in the home, where women bore the brunt of violence. In the CPIC cases I have

---

<sup>41</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, pp 156–57.

<sup>42</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/897.

<sup>43</sup> Stover, ‘Families, Vulnerability and Sexual Violence During the Irish Revolution’ in Jennifer Evans and Ciara Meehan (eds), *Perceptions of Pregnancy from the Seventeenth to the Twentieth Century* (Basingstoke, 2017), pp 57–75 at 59.

<sup>44</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/1156.

studied from the Civil War, it was often women who opened the door to violent actors searching (usually) for the men of the house – and women who sheltered under the kitchen table when (as in the case of Bridget Barry, Bantry, County Cork) ‘machine gun fire and bullets came through back door’. An exchange between pro- and anti-Treaty troops focused on Bridget’s house after she gave ‘a drink of water’ to ‘3 or 4 rebels’ at her front door; ‘whilst there National Troops came in sight and opened fire’, ‘4 or 6’ of their soldiers later entering the property after the ‘rebels ran away’. As shots continued back and forth, Bridget’s daughter Helena (thrown ‘prostrate under table’) was ‘struck’ in the ‘left side’ and died a few weeks later from her wounds, in Cork Hospital.<sup>45</sup>

Ireland’s conflict was not ‘civilianised’ at a scale seen in many modern civil wars; the term describes the deliberate harming by political actors of non-combatants to maintain and establish control in contested territory – as theorised famously by Stathis Kalyvas – and/or extract natural resources, as seen in brutal forced displacements and mass killings in, for example, post-1970s African internal wars.<sup>46</sup> Neither were Irish non-combatants targeted en masse on the grounds of gender alone. Non-lethal forms of violence (including property damage, boycott, etc.) succeeded sufficiently in terrorising communities into compliance with the military, political and other demands of insurgent forces. On the opposing side, the incumbent Free State benefitted, even at its most draconian, from support for its legitimacy from a largely war-weary public. Yet, it was also the everyday acts of intimidation – so prevalent during Ireland’s relatively restrained civil war – that had a particular impact on women.

---

<sup>45</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/1108.

<sup>46</sup> Kalyvas, *Logic of violence*; Achilles Mbembe, ‘Necropolitics’ in *Public Culture*, xv, no. 1 (Winter 2003), pp 11–40.

The disproportionate impact of violence on the traditional (female) guardians of the domestic space is especially relevant in an independent Ireland that would, during subsequent decades of state consolidation, designate explicitly the home as a woman's sphere.<sup>47</sup> Arson, for one notable example, can be seen as an invasion of the woman's domain. By the later stages of the Revolution, for example, many 'big houses' were occupied solely by (female) domestic staff – the predominantly Protestant and Unionist mansion-owners having fled during the republicans' 'Campaign of Fire', which was more intense during the Civil War than it had been during the War of Independence, 1919–21.<sup>48</sup> An attempt to burn the home of Maurice Healy, brother of T. M. Healy (Governor-General of the Irish Free State – an obvious symbol, in the eyes of the 'Irregular' IRA, of the Treaty's failure to establish a republic), followed a familiar pattern when 'armed men', after disconnecting the telephone and sprinkling petrol throughout, 'allowed the maids to remove their property', before setting alight the upstairs and departing.<sup>49</sup>

---

<sup>47</sup> Article 41.2.1, 2 of the Irish Constitution (1937) recognizes the value (to the state and society) of the woman's 'life within the home'. On the influence of the Catholic Church on the drafting of the Constitution, its positioning of women as naturally domestic and consequent impact on their social/economic lives: Ronit Lentin, 'Irishness', the 1937 Constitution, and Citizenship: a gender and ethnicity view' in *Irish Journal of Sociology*, viii (1998), pp 5–24; Thomas Murray, 'Socio-Economic Rights and the Making of the 1937 Irish Constitution' in *Irish Political Studies*, xxxi, no. 4 (2016), pp 502–524; Caitriona Beaumont, 'Women, citizenship and Catholicism in the Irish Free State, 1922–1948' in *Women's History Review*, vi, no. 4 (1997), pp 563–585.

<sup>48</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, pp 73–85.

<sup>49</sup> *Irish Times*, 24 Mar. 1923.

The occupant in flight from a burning house (dishevelled, little time to dress) is a familiar motif of civil-war arson; press reports capture the particular vulnerability of women in these attacks. The day after the burning of the family's Tipperary mansion, Marlfield, during which her husband, Free State senator, John Bagwell, was absent, Louisa Bagwell recorded that the arsonists 'offered no personal violence' towards her or her children. This is notable because otherwise her account of the burning (stolen overcoats and jewellery; 'ten minutes to dress, with each of us a man in our rooms'; the 'bare legs' of her daughter, Lilla) convey an invasion of privacy and fear for safety, suggestive of more intimate violence.<sup>50</sup> Evidently there was no bodily violation in this case, though, and neither do perpetrator testimonies (as recorded in Witness Statements made to the Irish State's Bureau of Military History, in 1949) express the thrill that – some scholars observe – is experienced by men in trespassing on female spaces when they raid or indeed burn a house. Theft may have been an act of necessity for desperate republicans fighting a guerrilla war in Ireland, in other words, but looting has also been linked historically to sexual gratification and punishment.<sup>51</sup> Members of the counter-revolutionary Freikorps, for example, acted out male 'fantasies' on female revolutionaries in post-World War I central Europe.<sup>52</sup> Long before Human Rights discourse and the UN's 1992 designation of conflict-related sexual violence as a war crime, Irish men's attitudes to women – as the spoils of war to which men were entitled – were not necessarily more enlightened than European social and cultural mores. The sexual assault of two female domestic staff in an alleged raid for arms on another big house, Sopwell House,

---

<sup>50</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, p. 191.

<sup>51</sup> E. J. Wood, 'Variation in sexual violence during war' in *Politics and Society*, xxxiv, no. 3 (Sept. 2006), pp 307–341 at 309.

<sup>52</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, p. 192.

Cloughjordan, County Tipperary, is on the one hand a rare and shocking case, on the other a grim reminder of the banality of sexual violence as a side-effect of war. It ‘pained’ the judge to sentence the raiders – farmers’ sons of previously ‘good character’ – for these sexual crimes; he hoped that some ‘mitigation of the penalties might be considered possible ... when the country settled down’. He thus did not absolve the men of wrongdoing, but accounted for their actions in terms of the wartime conditions.<sup>53</sup>

However, in contrast to perpetrators of the White Terror, Revolutionary Irish militants seldom took pleasure in attacks on the private sphere – and, by extension, female bodies. For IRA leader Dan Breen, for example, the destruction of the (in his words) ‘beautiful residence’ at Marlfield was driven by military necessity alone;<sup>54</sup> he attached some shame to dirty tactics, like arson, which contrasts sharply with his boastful reminiscences of military ambushes and clashes with armed British forces.<sup>55</sup> Relative levels of (para)military discipline are used by social scientists to explain the nature of insurgent violence around the globe;<sup>56</sup> for Breen and other republicans, it was imperative to refute the connotations of ‘Irregularism’ and be seen as a proper army – just as British Army recruitment campaigns took the moral high ground

---

<sup>53</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, pp 188–190.

<sup>54</sup> Bureau of Military History (BMH), Witness Statement 1763: Daniel Breen, Quartermaster 3 Tipperary Brigade, IRA.

<sup>55</sup> Clark, ‘Fire as revolution and repression: Revolutionary Ireland in perspective’ in Martin Thomas and Gareth Curless (eds), *The Oxford Handbook on Colonial Insurgencies and Counterinsurgencies* (Oxford, forthcoming).

<sup>56</sup> Scott Gates, ‘Recruitment and Allegiance: The Microfoundations of Rebellion’ in *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, xlvii, no. 1 (Feb. 2002), pp 111–130.

over ‘German atrocities’ in Belgium.<sup>57</sup> The IRA’s insistence on guns as their weapon of war was one facet of this concern with soldierly behaviour;<sup>58</sup> another, I argue, is the (relatively) humane treatment of women and children in warfare. The Volunteers’ need to define Irish military ‘distinctiveness’ and ‘display’ professionalism,<sup>59</sup> to justify republican violence in contrast to base tactics of other forces, is seen in remembrances of the Revolution as recorded in applications for Military Service Pensions, a resource that is just beginning to be exploited by historians.<sup>60</sup> Through applications for pensions and service medals, ex-guerrillas sought not only financial ‘recognition’ for their service, but also to prove the ‘legitimacy of their revolutionary credentials among their peers’.<sup>61</sup> A legitimate campaign was one in which boundaries between soldiers and civilians were largely maintained. This section has shown that women did suffer (in raids, burnings, etc.) on account of their gender, that is, socio-cultural expectations (in addition, arguably, to distinctions of class or socio-economic status)

---

<sup>57</sup> James Connolly, *The Experience of Occupation in the Nord: living with the enemy in First World War France* (Manchester, 2018); **Edward Madigan, in this issue.**

<sup>58</sup> **Anne Dolan, in this issue.**

<sup>59</sup> Michael Laffan, *The Resurrection of Ireland: The Sinn Féin Party 1916–1923* (Cambridge, 1999), p. 277.

<sup>60</sup> Thanks to Oliver Morgan for sharing unpublished research that helped me think about republican military cultures in new ways.

<sup>61</sup> Coleman, ‘Military service pensions and the recognition and reintegration of guerrilla fighters after the Irish Revolution’ in *Historical Research*, xci, no. 253 (August 2018), pp 554–572 at 557. On the value of the MSP Collection for studying female militancy specifically: Coleman, ‘Compensating Irish female revolutionaries, 1916–1923’ in *Women’s History Review*, xxvii, no. 6 (2017), pp 915–934.

that placed women and girls, more firmly than men and boys, in the home. However, as the next section will show, GBV was not used in a systematic way to realise political/military objectives (as were other guerrilla tactics, including arson, attacks on infrastructure, assassination of ex-British Servicemen, etc.).

## II

Acts of GBV are perpetrated against a person's will and based on gender norms and unequal power relationships; GBV against women directly relates to or is justified by a woman's socially and culturally constructed identity, in turn derived from her biological difference from men (as seen, for example, in female genital mutilation, forced pregnancy, 'slut shaming', etc.). Thus GBV can be physical, emotional, psychological, and sexual (the latter encompassing rape, but also any sexual act performed without an individual's consent).

While Irish 'prudery' kept a veil over issues of sex and sexuality until recent decades,<sup>62</sup> sexual violence has been a 'painfully enduring feature of Irish society' for centuries.

However, the 'general picture regarding historical sexual crime remains sketchy and difficult to discern';<sup>63</sup> in other words, it is difficult to talk concretely about levels of GBV and sexual violence before and after the Revolution and thus assess whether or not violence against women increased during conflict, c.1912–23. 'We will never know exactly how many women

---

<sup>62</sup> Tom Inglis, 'Origins and Legacies of Irish Prudery: Sexuality and Social Control in Modern Ireland' in *Éire-Ireland*, xl, nos 3 & 4 (Fómhar/Geimhreadh / Fall/Winter 2005), pp 9–37.

<sup>63</sup> Anthony Keating, 'Sexual crime in the Irish Free State 1922–33: Its nature, extent and reporting' in *Irish Studies Review*, xx, no. 2, pp 135–155 at 135.

were raped or sexually assaulted in Ireland during the revolution – there is no official register, there cannot be. Rapes are more commonly concealed than recorded/publicized especially in smaller-scale societies like Ireland characterized by close communities and patriarchal attitudes.’ Given the problems inherent in enumerating accurately under- and often un-reported acts including rape, then, Connolly encourages scholars to consider ‘emerging stories and evidence for those who were sexually assaulted and who consented to testifying this (or their families did) in archival sources’.<sup>64</sup>

I make a small contribution to this important task by using newly released CPIC evidence to bring to light episodes where a woman’s gender – exacerbated by wartime situations and agendas – evidently drove violence against her. As is typical of the time, though, the investigation of a (possible) case I have found of civil-war sexual assault, is frustrated by omissions and obscure language. John Henegan wrote to the Committee on behalf of his wife, Mary, a ‘person of unsound mind’, recounting the night-time attack by ‘armed and masked men’ that caused a ‘complete breakdown of the nervous system’. The raiders ‘took my three sons and myself out of the house and kept us away for about an hour’; he does not say what happened to Mary during this time.<sup>65</sup> Was she trapped in the house with the raiders and did interpersonal/sexual violence result in the trauma explained by James as a nervous breakdown? Does being ‘rough handled’ by the British military, as recorded in CPIC

---

<sup>64</sup> Connolly, ‘Violence Experienced by Women in the Irish Revolution’, p. 24. **An example of this approach: forthcoming article *Women’s History Review*, to be referenced if published in time.**

<sup>65</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/2051.

claims from the War of Independence, similarly denote sexual assault?<sup>66</sup> I have not yet found the answers in these cases.

Perhaps because the forced cutting of a woman's hair has a much more obviously noticeable and public outcome (as opposed to the internalised trauma and private shame of sexual violation), claimants are more forthcoming to the CPIC about hair-shearing than they are sexual assault or rape. While it does not involve sexual contact, forced hair cutting nonetheless targets a part of the body 'historically ... associated with eroticism and sexuality' (which is why men and women entering religious orders often have had their hair cut, to symbolize the 'renunciation of worldly things and personal vanity').<sup>67</sup> If a woman's hair is key to her femininity and attractiveness, then, its removal thus marks out physically women who have transgressed social and sexual norms (by collaboration with the enemy, for example) – and symbolically defeminizes the target.<sup>68</sup> Historically men have also been subjected to forced hair and beard cutting,<sup>69</sup> though the connection between women's self-

---

<sup>66</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/1950.

<sup>67</sup> Victoria Sherrow, *Encyclopaedia of Hair: A Cultural History* (Westport, CT, 2006), pp 271–72. On the categorisation of hair cutting as gender-based, but not sexual, violence: Coleman, 'Violence against women in the Irish War of Independence, 1919–1921' in Ferriter and Susannah Riordan (eds), *Years of Turbulence: The Irish Revolution and its aftermath* (Dublin, 2015), pp 137–156 at 141.

<sup>68</sup> Louise Ryan, '“Drunken Tans”: Representations of Sex and Violence in the Anglo-Irish War (1919-21)' in *Feminist Review*, no. 66 (Autumn, 2000), pp 73–94.

<sup>69</sup> On the public humiliation of Jewish males by forced beard shaving: Michael Wildt, *Hitler's Volksgemeinschaft and the dynamics of racial exclusion: Violence against Jews in provincial Germany, 1919–1939* (New York, 2011).

identity and their hair is particularly strong.<sup>70</sup> Prior to World War I, women typically had long hair, ‘which was called their “crowning glory” and was a major focus of appearance’.<sup>71</sup> In cultures and societies, for example in Africa, where long hair is less typical, femininity is nonetheless displayed via the elaborate braiding and decoration of shorter hairstyles.<sup>72</sup> In 1920s Ireland, rough chopping of a woman’s hair by an assailant armed, perhaps, with agricultural shears, resulted painfully for her in a messy short crop (not the ‘sleek’ bobbed style circulating via press ‘Fashion Notes’,<sup>73</sup> debuted famously by the contemporaneous ‘flapper’).<sup>74</sup> By its visible marking out of the target, then, shearing is a tactic of exclusion and punishment – seen in other twentieth-century European conflicts, including France during World War II,<sup>75</sup> and the Spanish and Greek Civil Wars.<sup>76</sup>

Hair shearing might be placed within a larger spectrum of unofficial reprisals against civilian populations, which also includes arson, deployed by British Forces (‘Black and Tans’, Auxiliaries) in order to control the population and rout republican influence, during

---

<sup>70</sup> Legacies of slavery have shaped an especially strong relationship between hair and self-expression for women of colour; see, for example, Rose Weitz, *Rapunzel’s Daughters: What Women’s Hair Tells Us About Women’s Lives* (New York, 2004).

<sup>71</sup> Sherrow, *Encyclopaedia of Hair*, p. 3.

<sup>72</sup> Sherrow, *Encyclopaedia of Hair*, p. 13.

<sup>73</sup> *Irish Times*, 12 Aug. 1922.

<sup>74</sup> Catherine Gourley, *Flappers and the New American Woman: Perceptions of Women from 1918 Through the 1920s* (Minneapolis, 2008).

<sup>75</sup> Andreea Prundeanu, ‘Cutting Delilah’s hair: Sentimental collaborators and the politics of female sexuality in WWI/II France’, D.Phil. thesis, Michigan State University, 2017.

<sup>76</sup> Connolly, ‘Violence Experienced by Women in the Irish Revolution’, p. 11.

the War of Independence.<sup>77</sup> Republican forces in this period also cut the hair of women who associated with British forces – socially, economically, by passing on information, etc.<sup>78</sup> It functioned as a disciplinary tactic of communal regulation, focused specifically on women because of the role they played in that community in providing shelter, support, supplies, and information – as mothers, shopkeepers, domestic servants, etc. When she was attacked during the later Civil War, Anne White, for example, was an ‘aged’ housekeeper in the service of a Catholic priest (Murphy) in Cloghduv, Crookstown, County Cork. While her exact age is not reported, we might interpret Anne’s ‘advanced years’ as middle age and/or an indicator of unmarried status, since she was young enough that her parents were still alive.<sup>79</sup> On the night of 24 April 1923, Father Murphy’s house was ‘raided by a number of armed men ... [who] seized and dragged by force [Anne] into the yard where they assaulted her’. Murphy and Anne’s sister, Mary, also a servant, ‘remonstrated’ with the raiders, but they were threatened with revolvers – and Mary was ‘badly dragged about and assaulted’, which, according to the compensation claim (that naturally focuses on the economic impact of the attack), resulted in lasting physical and mental damage rendering her unable to work or care for elderly parents. After this altercation at the house, ‘Anne White was forced into a motor-car by the raiders and taken away to an unoccupied house 5 or 6 miles distance. She was detained there for some time during which her hair was cut off, and she was warned not to return to Cloghduv’.<sup>80</sup>

---

<sup>77</sup> Clark, ‘Fire as revolution and repression’, forthcoming.

<sup>78</sup> Hughes, *Defying the IRA*, Chapter 4.

<sup>79</sup> *Irish Times*, 28 Apr. 1923.

<sup>80</sup> NAI, JUS/2017/46/1984.

The targeting of his female servant (via a weapon appropriate to her gender) might be interpreted as an attack on the authority of the priest, Murphy, and with it the ability of the Catholic Church to protect norms relating to the status of women in the institution and society more widely (in domestic, caring roles). Perhaps Murphy had acted in some way contrary to the local IRA, speaking out (as did some among the Church Hierarchy) against civil-war violence.<sup>81</sup> However, anti-clericalism and abuses of religious personnel were largely absent in Ireland – a notable difference from Europe and especially the Spanish Civil War.<sup>82</sup> Anti-Treaty propaganda, for example, decried the ‘gross violation’ by Free State troops of the ‘sanctity’ of Catholicism, by their occupation of a convent (Religious Sisters of Charity, Stanhope Street) as a barracks during the battle for Dublin, early in the Civil War.<sup>83</sup> Rape can be seen as a ‘male assertion of sexual dominance’ and, by extension, ‘become the symbol’ of

---

<sup>81</sup> Clark, *Everyday Violence*, pp 164–65.

<sup>82</sup> Maria Thomas, ‘‘The civilisation that is being forged amid the thunder of the canons’’: Anti-clerical violence and social reconfiguration: July–December 1936’ in Peter Anderson and Miguel Ángel Del Arco Blanco (eds), *Mass Killings and Violence in Spain, 1936–1952* (New York, 2015), pp 112–133.

<sup>83</sup> National Library of Ireland, Erskine Childers Papers, ‘Anti-Treatyite newsletter titled: ‘War Issue / Stop Press / Nationality / To preserve the Republic’ with an article about the Free State Army using a convent as a military base’ (8 July 1922): <http://catalogue.nli.ie/Record/vtls000653406/HierarchyTree> [accessed 1 Sept. 2019]. George Corr found this source; thanks to him for sharing unpublished research on anti-Treaty presentations of ‘the enemy’.

one side's dominance over the other;<sup>84</sup> we might, then, interpret the relative scarcity of sexual abuse during Ireland's conflict in terms of the well-established gender relations already in place in Irish society. Shaped strongly by the socio-cultural tenets of Catholicism increasingly dominant in the age of the Free State, arguably militants did not need to use extreme violence to establish boundaries between powerful men and subservient women (a power dynamic that served, in Ireland's case, state consolidation and/or the upholding of an alternative (republican) national identity). When there are no 'previous social relationships' between victim and perpetrator, by contrast, rape can be more prevalent in wartime, as well as more public and violent; during the American Revolution, for example, British soldiers gang-raped American women to terrorise, control and establish victory (in an external, colonial space).<sup>85</sup> The 'conflict-specific factors' that mark a sharp distinction between rape in war and peacetime,<sup>86</sup> during hostilities around the globe, were in Ireland's case ideological (a reckoning, between two socially and culturally similar sides, of competing visions for independence) as opposed to genocidal (the impetus seen, in many of the recent and ongoing conflicts cited in the introduction, to destroy completely an enemy people/culture via systematic sexual violence). Ethnic difference can drive conflict-related GBV, in other words. While the evidence is far from conclusive, it is possible that sexual violence was

---

<sup>84</sup> Raphaëlle Branche and Fabrice Virgili (eds), *Rape in Wartime* (Basingstoke, 2012), pp 2, 4.

<sup>85</sup> Sharon Block, *Rape and Sexual Power in Early America* (Chapel Hill, NC, 2006).

<sup>86</sup> Elizabeth Heineman, *Sexual Violence in Conflict Zones: From the Ancient World to the Era of Human Rights* (Philadelphia, PA, 2011).

consequently more likely in the highly sectarianised atmosphere of Ulster, and at other sites of inter-denominational conflict.<sup>87</sup>

Given the lesser importance of gendered power relations, then, as compared to national/political loyalties, in the Irish Revolution, it is possible that Anne White of Crookstown (above) was targeted, albeit with GBV, more as a result of her wartime loyalties than her sex. The warning she received – ‘not to return to Cloghduv’ (its severity underlined by the violence of the hair cutting) – is reminiscent of threats issued against men, too, during the Civil War; that is, that failure to comply with orders to leave (often focused on minority populations or those with land/assets to be divided between the perpetrators) would result in physical punishment.<sup>88</sup> The CPIC records cases (for example, Mai Callanan, above) of female informers targeted by interpersonal violence; press coverage of the White case similarly reported a local allegation that Annie had ‘given information to Canon Treaty, PP, Crookstown, as to the movements of armed men’ – presumably the same men that later attacked her.<sup>89</sup>

The compensation claim from Anne and Mary White also evokes, as far as is possible in these legal/financial documents, the ordeal of forced haircutting. In other words, while there is little evidence of sexual contact within recorded acts of violence against women, the

---

<sup>87</sup> Robert Lynch, ‘Explaining the Altnaveigh Massacre’ in *Éire-Ireland*, xlv, nos 3 & 4 (Fómhar/Geimhreadh / Fall/Winter 2010), pp 184–210. The sexual assault at Sopwell House (above) saw the ‘separation of the two Protestant staff from the Catholic maid, who escaped the abuses of the armed raiders’: Clark, *Everyday Violence*, p. 188.

<sup>88</sup> On the Revolutionary circulation of/responses to threatening notices: Clark, *Everyday Violence*; Hughes, *Defying the IRA*.

<sup>89</sup> *Irish Times*, 28 Apr. 1923.

highly damaging legacies of the Revolution, for the female population, ought not to be forgotten. Perhaps it is to be expected that newly-released files do not contain a barrage of evidence of wartime violence against women. Rape is notoriously under-reported today (arguably as a means of ‘self-preservation’),<sup>90</sup> and, as Lindsey Earner-Byrne argues, women in 1920s Ireland also had ‘very good reasons’ to keep stories of abuse hidden from public discourse.<sup>91</sup> Earner-Byrne finds clues, in the Catholic Church’s post-independence containment of women and female sexuality, of the violence they suffered during the Revolution. Her micro-study, and histories of female migration that record the exporting from Ireland of traumatic experiences in this period, are important research models, given the obstacles already identified to quantitative analysis of sexual violence.<sup>92</sup> It is important certainly to place women’s interactions with the Civil War in a wider context of gender attitudes and opportunities (or lack thereof) for female agency in employment, reproduction, etc.; the status of unmarried mothers in 1920s Ireland is vital, for example, in understanding the meaning and efficacy of rape by militants – as well as women’s wider sense of danger in their society.<sup>93</sup>

---

<sup>90</sup> Jennifer Huemmer, Bryan McLaughlin and L. E. Blumell, ‘Leaving the Past (Self) Behind: Non-Reporting Rape Survivors’ Narratives of Self and Action’ in *Sociology*, liii, no. 3 (2019), pp 435–450.

<sup>91</sup> Earner-Byrne, ‘Rape of Mary M.’, p. 98.

<sup>92</sup> **Siobhra Aiken, in this issue.**

<sup>93</sup> Maria Luddy, ‘Marriage, Sexuality and the Law in Ireland’ in Biagini and Daly (eds), *Cambridge social history of modern Ireland*, pp 344–362; Ian O’Donnell, ‘Sex Crime in Ireland: Extents and Trends’ in *Judicial Studies Institute Journal*, iii, no. 1 (2003), pp 89–106.

### III

Revolutionary Ireland evidently was not a safe place for many Irishwomen (nor indeed for some men) and personal security may have had much more to do with one's domestic environment than participation (or not) in militarism. Still today, the most dangerous place for women is the home; of the 87,000 women intentionally killed in 2017, 'more than half of them (58 per cent) – 50,000 – were killed by intimate partners or family members'.<sup>94</sup> The abuse of 'half of our population' is a 'major obstacle to inclusive, equitable and sustainable development' that must be acted on politically, according to United Nations Secretary-General António Guterres.<sup>95</sup> International movements to eliminate domestic violence, alongside '#MeToo' and other campaigns of solidarity against sexual assault and discrimination in workplaces and public spaces, have grown sharply in recent years – but we have much more to do. Historians must also work harder to understand the inequality and discrimination faced by women in past (Irish) society, as vital context for their experiences of war. Women's interactions with the Irish Civil War may be said to be distinctive from men's on account of their gender – because of the prevalence during 1922–23 of modes of violence and intimidation, including crimes against property, which transgressed public/private boundaries. Yet, by placing Ireland's conflict in comparative-international perspective, and

---

<sup>94</sup> UNODC, *Global Study on Homicide: Gender-related killing of women and girls* (Vienna, 2018).

<sup>95</sup> 'Violence against women a 'mark of shame' on our societies, says UN chief on World Day', *UN News* (25 Nov. 2018): <https://news.un.org/en/story/2018/11/1026511> [accessed 31 May 2019].

aiming to understand the extent and function of violence against women, this article underlines the findings of existing histories, by Coleman and others, on the relative scarcity of systematic sexual (as opposed to gender) violence in Ireland's Revolution. New evidence from the CPIC shows how and sometimes why women suffered from civil war; but, as an identifier for violence, gender was not as central to communal regulation – and the establishment of independence – as were demarcations based on religion and politics (micro-factors linked closely also to the macro-conflict over the Treaty). Rape is a weapon of war and crime against humanity; the genocidal aims underlying conflict-related GBV elsewhere in the world were absent in Ireland,<sup>96</sup> where gendered power structures, shored up by Catholic authority, remained largely unshaken by the Revolution – despite the great efforts of many radical females.<sup>97</sup>

---

<sup>96</sup> See academic and public fallout over Hart's 'tentative yet provocative application of the term 'ethnic cleansing' to the Irish Revolution': Fitzpatrick, 'Protestant depopulation and the Irish Revolution' in *Irish Historical Studies*, xxxviii (2013), pp 643–670 at 643; Clark, *Irish Times Online*, 18 Sept. 2017.

<sup>97</sup> On Irish feminist responses to the 1937 Constitution and campaigns for gender equality: Luddy, 'A 'sinister and retrogressive' proposal: Irish women's opposition to the 1937 draft Constitution' in *Transactions of the RHS*, xv (2005), pp 175–195; Pašeta, 'Women and Civil Society: Feminist Responses to the Irish Constitution of 1937' in Jose Harris (ed.), *Civil Society in British History: Ideas, Identities, Institutions* (Oxford Scholarship Online, 2010); Cullen Owens, *A Social History of Women in Ireland*, Chapter 10.