Flower sharing and pollinator health: a behavioural perspective

E. Nicholls¹, S. A. Rands², C. Botias³, N.Hempel de Ibarra⁴

- ¹ Evolution, Behaviour & Environment, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, UK
- ² School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, UK
- ³ Instituto Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Agroalimentario y Forestal de Castilla La Mancha (IRIAF), CIAPA de Marchamalo, Guadalajara, Spain
- ⁴ Centre for Research in Animal Behaviour, Psychology, University of Exeter, UK

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. List of some key papers that investigated indirect transmission of pathogens in bees through shared use of flowers. Main reported results related to route of transmission are indicated. F.S., suggested fecal-oral transmission; O.A., transmission through oral contact with artificially contaminated flowers; O.S.S., suggested oral transmission through contamination of flowers with bee oral secretions; Flw.S., suggested indirect transmission through shared use of flowers, specific route unknown; DWV, deformed wing virus; SBV, sacbrood bee virus; BQCV, black queen cell virus; ABPV, acute bee paralysis virus; IAPV, Israeli acute paralysis virus; KBV, kashmir bee virus; CBPV, chronic bee paralysis virus.

Route	Pathogens	Bee species	Experiment	Results	Ref
O.A.	Crithidia bombi	Bombus impatiens	Role of floral traits, bee size and foraging behavior on transmission of <i>C.</i> <i>bombi</i> in bumblebees by adding controlled amounts of inoculum to flowers and assessing susceptibility of bees foraging in the inoculated flowers	Smaller bumblebees and those that forage for longer are more susceptible to <i>C. bombi</i> infection. Bee susceptibility and intensity of infection rise with the number of reproductive structures per flower in the different plant species.	[1]
F.S. O.S.S.	DWV, BQCV	Apis mellifera, Bombus vagans, B. bimaculatus	Analysis of RNA viruses in bumblebees and flowers collected near and far from apiaries containing honeybees infected by these viruses	Prevalence and active infections of DWV were higher in bumblebees collected near apiaries with high infection levels.	[2]

F.S. O.S.S.	DWV, BQCV	Apis mellifera, Bombus sp.	Likelihood of honeybees depositing viruses on flowers and of bumblebees becoming infected after visiting them; influence of flower architecture and bee visitation rates on the likelihood of virus deposition on flowers	Honeybees deposit viruses on flowers. Flowering plant species and/or bee behavior influence the likelihood of virus deposition.	[3]
F.S. O.S.S. O.A.	DWV	Apis mellifera, Bombus impatiens	Inter-specific viral transmission through use of flowers previously visited by infected bees or artificially inoculated with DWV; influence of foraging time and dosage acquired in the susceptibility to infection	DWV can be transmitted from infected honeybees to bumblebees, and <i>vice versa</i> , through the use of shared flowers.	[4]
F.S. O.A.	Crithidia bombi	Bombus lucorum, B. terrestris	Inter-specific transmission of parasites through use of flowers previously visited by infected bees, or artificially inoculated; influence of flower architecture on transmissibility	<i>C. bombi</i> can be horizontally transmitted through the shared use of flowers. Nectar availability or inflorescence architecture may also affect transmission rate.	[5]
F.S.	DWV, SBV, BQCV, ABPV, IAPV, KBV, CBPV	29 bee species	Prevalence of 7 viruses in bee communities that share the same small surface of floral resource, in order to assess the risk of virus spillover	Evidence of DWV spillover from honeybees to bumblebees. High prevalence of the honeybee viruses in wild bee species	[6]

F.S.	Crithidia bombi	Bombus impatiens	Influence of host infection and plant species on pathogen deposition on flowers. Influence of plant species and flower parts on pathogen survival and acquisition at flowers	Efficiency of pathogen transmission depends on where deposition occurs and the timing and place of acquisition, which varies among plant species and environmental conditions.	[7]
F.S.	Nosema ceranae, N. apis, N. bombi, Trypanoso- matida, Neogrega- rina	46 bee species	Combination of mathematical modelling and data from 11 bipartite plant-pollinator networks observed along a landscape simplification gradient to elucidate how changes in network structure shape disease dynamics	Landscape simplification reduces pathogen prevalence in bee communities via increased diet breadth of the dominant species. Increased connectance reduces the likelihood of a disease outbreak and decreases variance in prevalence among bee species in the community.	[8]
F.S.	Nosema ceranae, N. apis, N. bombi, Crithidia. bombi, Apicystis bombi	Apis mellifera, Bombus terrestris	Potential for flowers to act as dispersal platforms for pollinator parasites, and for non- host species to vector them, using bumblebees and honeybees as both hosts and vectors	Three bumblebee parasites and two honeybee parasites were dispersed effectively onto flowers by their hosts, and then vectored readily between flowers by non-host pollinator species.	[9]
F.S.	Nosema ceranae, N. bombi, Crithidia bombi, C.expoeki, Neogrega- rina	110 bee species	Screening of 110 bee species and 89 flower species over an entire foraging season for five common bee microparasites, to elucidate if plant and bee abundance, diversity and/or composition is associated with changes in parasite prevalence	Bee communities had the highest prevalence late in the season, when social bees (<i>Bombus</i> spp. and <i>Apis</i> <i>mellifera</i>) were dominant and bee diversity was lowest. Conversely, prevalence on flowers was lowest late in the season when floral abundance was highest.	[10]

Flw.S	DWV, SBV, BQCV, ABPV, IAPV, KBV, CBPV	Apis mellifera, Megachile rotundata	<i>M. rotundata</i> adults placed alongside or far from honeybee hives were examined for the presence of seven viruses commonly found in honeybees.	SBV and DWV were detected in <i>M. rotundata</i> but their presence appeared independent of whether honey bees were present in the same field or not.	[11]
F.S.	Nosema ceranae	Apis mellifera, Tetragonula hockingsi	Transmission of <i>N.</i> <i>ceranae</i> in <i>T. hockingsi</i> through use of flowers previously visited by infected honeybees	Flowers are an effective means of transmission of <i>N. ceranae</i> between <i>A. mellifera</i> and <i>T.</i> <i>hockingsi</i> .	[12]
F.S.	Crithidia bombi	Bombus impatiens	Infected "donor" bumblebee microcolony of large or small workers were placed in field tents with uninfected average-sized "recipient" microcolony and allowing bees to forage for 9-16 days.	Larger bees foraged more and produced more faeces, being twice as likely to transmit <i>C.</i> <i>bombi</i> to recipient bees than smaller bees.	[13]
F.S.	IAPV	Apis mellifera, Bombus impatiens	IAPV-infected honeybees and IAPV- free bumblebees, and <i>vice versa</i> , were housed in greenhouses and allowed to forage by providing common food sources.	IAPV could be transmitted between honey bees and bumblebees with the only contact being common visits to flowers.	[14]

References

[1] Adler LS, Michaud KM, Ellner SP, McArt SH, Stevenson PC, Irwin RE. 2018 Disease where you dine: plant species and floral traits associated with pathogen transmission in bumble bees. *Ecol.* **99**, 2535-2545. (doi:10.1002/ecy.2503)

[2] Alger SA, Burnham PA, Boncristiani HF, Brody AK. 2019 RNA virus spillover from managed honeybees (Apis mellifera) to wild bumblebees (Bombus spp.). *PLOS ONE* **14**, e0217822. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217822)

[3] Alger SA, Burnham PA, Brody AK. 2019 Flowers as viral hot spots: Honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) unevenly deposit viruses across plant species. *PLoS One* **14**, e0221800. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221800)

[4] Burnham PA, Alger SA, Case B, Boncristiani H, Hébert-Dufresne L, Brody AK. 2021 Flowers as dirty doorknobs: Deformed wing virus transmitted between *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus impatiens* through shared flowers. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **58**, 2065–2074. (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13962)

[5] Durrer S, Schmid-Hempel P. 1994 Shared use of flowers leads to horizontal pathogen transmission. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **258**, 299-302. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1994.0176)

[6] Dalmon A, Diévart V, Thomasson M, Fouque R, Vaissière BE, Guilbaud L, Le Conte Y, Henry M. 2021 Possible Spillover of Pathogens between Bee Communities Foraging on the Same Floral Resource. *Insects* **12**, 122. (doi:10.3390/insects12020122)

[7] Figueroa LL, Blinder M, Grincavitch C, Jelinek A, Mann EK, Merva LA, Metz LE, Zhao AY, Irwin RE, McArt SH. 2019 Bee pathogen transmission dynamics: deposition, persistence and acquisition on flowers. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **286**, 20190603. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.0603)

[8] Figueroa LL, Grab H, Ng WH, Myers CR, Graystock P, McFrederick QS, McArt SH. 2020 Landscape simplification shapes pathogen prevalence in plant-pollinator networks. *Ecol. Lett.* **23**, 1212-1222. (doi:10.1111/ele.13521)

[9] Graystock P, Goulson D, Hughes WO. 2015 Parasites in bloom: flowers aid dispersal and transmission of pollinator parasites within and between bee species. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **282**, 20151371. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.1371)

[10] Graystock P, Ng WH, Parks K, Tripodi AD, Muñiz PA, Fersch AA, Myers CR, McFrederick QS, McArt SH. 2020 Dominant bee species and floral abundance drive parasite temporal dynamics in plant-pollinator communities. *Nature Ecol. Evol.* **4**, 1358-1367. (doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1247-x)

[11] Melathopoulos A, Ovinge L, Veiga PW, Castillo C, Ostermann D, Hoover S. 2017 Viruses of managed alfalfa leafcutting bees (Megachille rotundata Fabricus) and honey bees (Apis mellifera L.) in Western Canada: Incidence, impacts, and prospects of cross-species viral transmission. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **146**, 24-30. (doi:10.1016/j.jip.2017.04.003)

[12] Purkiss T, Lach L. 2019 Pathogen spillover from *Apis mellifera* to a stingless bee. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **286**, 20191071. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.1071)

[13] Van Wyk JI, Amponsah ER, Ng WH, Adler LS. 2021 Big bees spread disease: body size mediates transmission of a bumble bee pathogen. *Ecol.* **102**, e03429. (doi:10.1002/ecy.3429)

[14] Singh R, Levitt AL, Rajotte EG, Holmes EC, Ostiguy N, Vanengelsdorp D, Lipkin WI, Depamphilis CW, Toth AL, Cox-Foster DL. 2010 RNA viruses in hymenopteran pollinators: evidence of inter-taxa virus transmission via pollen and potential impact on non-*Apis* hymenopteran species. *PloS one* **5**, e14357. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014357)