

1 **In-vitro models of disc degeneration – A review of**
2 **methods and clinical relevance**

3 Rivera E. D.¹, Meakin J. R.¹, Holsgrove T. P.¹

4 ¹College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter,
5 United Kingdom

6
7 **Corresponding Author:**

8 Holsgrove T. P, PhD

9 College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter

10 Stocker Rd, Exeter EX4 4PY

11 Tel: +44 (0)1392 724682

12 Fax: +44 (0)1392 217965

13 Email: T.Holsgrove@exeter.ac.uk

14

15 **Review article**

16 **Word count:** 5998

17 **Keywords:**

18 Intervertebral disc; degeneration; spine; in-vitro testing; research methods

1 **Abstract**

2 The intervertebral disc (IVD) provides flexibility, acts as a shock absorber, and transmits
3 load. Degeneration of the IVD includes alterations in the biomechanics, extracellular matrix
4 (ECM), and cellular activity. These changes are not always perceived, however, IVD
5 degeneration can lead to severe health problems including long-term disability. To
6 understand the pathogenesis of IVD degeneration and suitable testing methods for emerging
7 treatments and therapies, this review documents in-vitro models of IVD degeneration
8 including physical disruption, hyperphysiological loading, ECM degradation by enzyme
9 digestion, or a combination of these methods. This paper reviews and critically analyses the
10 models of degeneration published since the year 2000 in either in human or animal
11 specimens. The results are categorised in terms of the IVD biomechanics, physical
12 attributes, ECM composition, tissue damage and cellularity to evaluate the models with
13 respect to natural human degeneration, and to provide recommendations for clinically
14 relevant models for the various stages of degeneration. There is no one model that replicates
15 the wide range of degenerative changes that occur as part of normal degeneration. However,
16 cyclic overloading replicates many aspects of degeneration, with the advantage of a dose-
17 response allowing the tuning of damage initiated. Models of severe degeneration are
18 currently lacking, but there is potential that combining cyclic overloading and enzymatic
19 digestion will provide model that closely resembles human IVD degeneration. This will
20 provide an effective way to investigate the effects of severe degeneration, and the evaluation
21 of treatments for the IVD, which would generally be indicated at this advanced stage of
22 degeneration.

1. Introduction

The function of the human spine is to support and transmit loads, protect neural structures, and stabilise the human posture and motion (Oxland, 2016). The complex structure comprises vertebral bones connected via intervertebral discs (IVDs) and articular facet joints, with additional stability provided through ligaments and an extensive musculature (Adams and Dolan, 2005; Shapiro and Risbud, 2014). Degenerative disc disease (DDD) is a leading cause of low back pain (LBP) (Iatridis et al., 2013; Vergroesen et al., 2015), which is a worldwide burden for healthcare systems, not only for the number of patients with this condition but also the high costs associated with treatments and therapies (Belfiore et al., 2018; Whatley and Wen, 2012).

IVD degeneration is a multi-factorial process that involves alterations in biomechanics, the structure of the extracellular matrix (ECM), and genetic and cellular activity (Daly et al., 2016; Vergroesen et al., 2015) (Figure 1). IVD degeneration is often asymptomatic, whereas DDD can be defined as when IVD degeneration progresses to being symptomatic, for example, through pain resulting from nerve ingrowth into the IVD (Freemont et al., 1997). Although IVD degeneration is extremely common, and some level of degeneration occurs as part of the ageing process, the aetiology of DDD remains unclear (Kushchayev et al., 2018; Urban and Roberts, 2003; van Dieën et al., 1999), and this leads to a huge challenge in the development of treatment strategies to mitigate, repair or regenerate the damaged IVD.

Ageing leads to notochordal cells in the nucleus pulposus (NP) of the IVD being gradually replaced by chondrocyte-like cells, and this is accompanied by a change in the NP from vacuolated to fibrocartilaginous tissue (Kim et al., 2003). Following this, the CEPs gradually become thinner and more calcified. As the IVD is predominantly an avascular structure, the transport of nutrients occurs via diffusion and convective transport through the annulus fibrosus (AF) and the CEPs, which is affected by loading and recovery relating to daily activities and the diurnal cycle (De Geer, 2018; Ferguson et al., 2004; Gullbrand et al., 2015;

1 Urban et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2012). Ageing and degeneration can negatively impact the
2 nutrient supply, which may decrease cell activity and cause cell death (Adams and Roughley,
3 2006), causing further compromise in the IVD structure, ultimately leading to a degenerative
4 cascade (Huang et al., 2014; Urban et al., 2004).

5 Degeneration of the IVD is also characterised by a loss of proteoglycans (PGs) and
6 alterations in the collagen chains, which can reduce the water-binding capacity (Vergroesen
7 et al., 2015), and lead to a reduction in the intradiscal pressure (IDP). In the early stages of
8 degeneration the IDP decreases slightly, but more severe degeneration leads to drastic
9 reductions (Stefanakis et al., 2014), which can increase the deformation of the AF, leading to
10 tears, delamination and fissures (Adams and Roughley, 2006). Additionally, the loss in water
11 content can result in a reduction in the IVD height (approximately 3% per year) (Adams and
12 Dolan, 2012), which can compromise the load-carrying ability of the IVD, and load transfer
13 through the spinal column, leading to degeneration in structures such as the facet joints.

14 As degeneration progresses, the stability and stiffness of the spinal segments are affected
15 (Maquer et al., 2014). Measurements carried out in human degenerated IVDs (graded with
16 Pfirrmann (Emanuel et al., 2015), Thompson (Amin et al., 2016), Otsu (Maquer et al., 2014)
17 and Boos (Boos et al., 2002) methods) have shown that mild and moderate IVD
18 degeneration leads to a decrease in stiffness, whereas severe degeneration in which bone-
19 to-bone contact can occur, leads to an increase in stiffness (Emanuel et al., 2015).

20 A variety of methods have been used to promote and replicate the above aspects of IVD
21 degeneration to provide a greater understanding of the mechanisms of degeneration, and for
22 the pre-clinical evaluation of novel treatments and therapies, which may be designed to
23 eliminate pain, and restore function and biomechanics. The use of in-vitro models can be
24 advantageous compared to in-vivo models because of (1) reduced experimentation time, (2)
25 greater cost-effectiveness, (3) greater control of experimental conditions, and (4) ethical
26 considerations (An and Masuda, 2006; Daly et al., 2016).

1 In-vitro models of disc degeneration can be broadly defined under three experimental
2 schemes: mechanical; biochemical; and hybrid (Figure 2). Mechanical models involve a
3 direct disruption to the IVD (Elliott et al., 2008; Korecki et al., 2008a; Michalek and Iatridis,
4 2012) or the application of loading to induce damage (Adams et al., 2000; Berger-Roscher et
5 al., 2017; Wade et al., 2014; Wilke et al., 2016). Biochemical models employ digestive
6 enzymes or similar chemical agents to replicate the degradation of the ECM that occurs as
7 part of degeneration (Chan et al., 2013; Roberts et al., 2008; Smith, 1964). Finally, hybrid
8 models combine aspects of both mechanical and biochemical models (Gawri et al., 2014;
9 Growney Kalaf et al., 2014).

10 The purpose of this review is to examine, discuss and evaluate the state-of-the-art in
11 replicating human disc degeneration in-vitro. The review includes the methods used to
12 compile and assess existing models of degeneration (Section 2), descriptions of the range of
13 degenerative initiators and test protocols used in models of IVD degeneration (Section 3),
14 discussion relating to the advantages and disadvantages, clinical relevance, and
15 comparisons between models (Section 4), and recommendations of the most suitable
16 models of disc degeneration, along with potential areas for future research (Section 5).

17 **2. Methods**

18 The reviewed papers were found in the PUBMED and Google Scholar databases between
19 March 2020 and September 2021. The research keywords for general papers included the
20 terms: '*disc degeneration, in-vitro, intervertebral disc, lumbar spine*'. After refining and
21 classifying information, the following exact keywords were added to focus on mechanical
22 models: '*(puncture OR stab), (overloading OR physiological loading OR cyclic loading OR*
23 *static loading)*'. For the case of biochemical methods, these exact terms were used:
24 '*digestive enzyme, (proteolytic OR trypsin OR papain OR collagenase)*'. 'Hybrid' methods
25 were classified considering the degenerative initiator as the combination of mechanical and
26 biochemical agents. To further expand the research, citations of the papers and related

1 articles were also tracked. Articles published after 2000 were considered in this review.
2 Studies were included that refer to IVD herniation or injury if the methods and outcomes were
3 similar or relevant to models of degeneration. This paper includes 51 models: 29 mechanical;
4 14 biochemical; and 8 hybrid. The level of degeneration achieved by the reported methods
5 was evaluated by using a grading scheme (Table 1) based on the Thompson (Thompson et
6 al., 1990) and Pfirrmann (Pfirrmann et al., 2001) scales, histological classification (Boos et
7 al., 2002), literature relating to IVD degeneration (Adams and Roughley, 2006; Maquer et al.,
8 2014) and data from in-vitro studies of IVD degeneration relating to microstructural and
9 biomechanical characteristics (Amin et al., 2016; Emanuel et al., 2015; Stefanakis et al.,
10 2014).

11 **3. In-vitro models of disc degeneration**

12 In-vitro models of disc degeneration may adopt mechanical, biochemical, or hybrid methods
13 to replicate aspects of natural IVD degeneration (Figure 2), which can be used to provide a
14 greater understanding of degenerative mechanisms, and assist in the development and
15 evaluation of treatments and therapies for DDD.

16 **3.1. Mechanical methods of IVD degeneration**

17 In-vitro disc degeneration through mechanical initiation (Table 2) has been carried out using
18 two main methods: (1) physical disruption; and (2) overloading (Figure 2). However, each
19 may be altered to produce differing levels of damage, for example the needle gauge in disc
20 puncture models, or the loading magnitude in dynamic overload models. Similarly, there are
21 a variety of outcome measures that can be employed (Figure 2), including biomechanical
22 measures, physical attributes, disc composition, assessment of physical damage, and
23 parameters such as cell viability in bioreactor-based whole organ culture models.

1 **3.1.1. Physical disruption**

2 The basis of models using physical disruption is that by damaging components of the disc
3 the degenerative process can be initiated. Puncturing the AF and NP with a needle (Hsieh et
4 al., 2009; Korecki et al., 2008a; Lin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2017; Michalek et al., 2010; Snow
5 et al., 2018) or making a minor incision (partial annulotomy or nucleotomy) (Bostelmann et
6 al., 2017; Chiang et al., 2011; Techens et al., 2020; Varma et al., 2018) has been shown to
7 result in cell death, and the loss of PGs and glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) in the proximal
8 region to the punctured zone after 6 days in a culture system (Korecki et al., 2008a). Similar
9 findings have been reported in cultured murine IVDs 21 days after puncturing the AF with a
10 27G needle, with a significant reduction in PGs (11 to 5 ug/mg) and collagen (3 to 1.9 ug/mg)
11 (Liu et al., 2017). Perforation of the endplate has also been used to initiate disc degeneration
12 (Dudli et al., 2014), and this results in the depressurisation of the NP, and a reduction in
13 GAG content after 28 days in culture. These results suggest that disruption of the IVD via a
14 puncture through the AF/NP or CEP may lead to transport of PGs and collagen out of the
15 IVD, reduce IVD hydration, alter nutritional pathways.

16 Mechanical tests conducted after puncturing the AF of rat, bovine, and ovine IVDs has
17 shown a decrease in the IDP (Elliott et al., 2008), and reductions in compressive stiffness
18 (25 %), dynamic modulus (20 %) (Korecki et al., 2008a), and neutral zone stiffness under
19 dynamic axial compression-tension (60 %) (Elliott et al., 2008; Torre et al., 2019). Although
20 similar outcomes are achieved in terms of IDP depressurisation and stiffness reduction when
21 both the AF and NP are punctured, the magnitude of those changes is greater compared to
22 puncturing of the AF alone (Bostelmann et al., 2017; Elliott et al., 2008; Torre et al., 2019).
23 Additionally, the size of the implement used to puncture the disc is important; disc punctures
24 with a relatively small needle (a needle diameter/disc height ratio of <0.3) do not significantly
25 reduce disc height, compared to needles with a needle diameter/disc height ratio of 0.5-1.0,
26 which have been reported to lead to a disc height reduction of 10-30% (Elliott et al., 2008),

1 and an incision and partial discectomy has been shown to reduce disc height by
2 approximately 20% (Varma et al., 2018).

3 **3.1.2. Loading**

4 Overloading the disc under cyclic or static conditions have both been used to initiate disc
5 degeneration. Uniaxial methods, designed to damage the endplates, include ramp loading
6 (Alkhatib et al., 2014; Wade et al., 2014) and impact loading (Dudli et al., 2012). These
7 loading methods cause CEP fracture via an increase in the intradiscal pressure, which is
8 transferred to the CEPs and AF, with the CEP generally failing prior to the AF in axial
9 compression. A reduction in GAGs ($\approx 29\%$) and an increase in the expression of
10 proinflammatory cytokines associated with disc degeneration have also been reported after
11 axial impact (causing endplate fracture) in a whole organ IVD culture model (Dudli et al.,
12 2012). These studies suggested that endplate damage can initiate degenerative changes in
13 the IVD, though it should be noted that the magnitude of the applied load to create such
14 damage is generally hyperphysiological, so may not represent normal degenerative
15 mechanisms in the absence of traumatic loading.

16 Axial loading applied to specimens positioned in a flexed position has been used to initiate
17 regional damage to the IVD. Flexion of the IVD in vivo leads to compression in the anterior
18 AF and tension in the posterior AF. The addition of compressive loading will increase the NP
19 pressure, particularly in the posterior region as the flexion of the IVD will push fluid from the
20 compressed anterior region to the posterior region. This combination of flexion and
21 compression can lead to increased stress and tearing in the posterior AF. Static compression
22 of 0.2 MPa combined with 15° flexion, which is at the general physiological limit of IVD
23 flexion range of motion, applied for 7 days in a whole organ IVD culture model has been
24 shown to lead regional differences (Walter et al., 2011), with the compressed side of the AF
25 of the wedge loaded IVDs exhibiting a reduction in aggrecan content, an increase in
26 aggregate modulus, increased apoptosis, and the cell viability was also lower on the

1 compressed side of the AF (13%) compared to the extended side (78%) or control IVDs
2 (84 %). However, as the effects of wedge loading were generally limited to the more highly
3 stressed compressed region of the IVD, alternative loading may be more suitable to replicate
4 clinically relevant damage such as that associated with disc bulging or herniation, or to
5 replicate degeneration across the entire IVD. This may be achieved through more dynamic
6 loading; positioning motion segments into a flexed position (10°) and subjecting them to a
7 slow axial ramp load (2 mm/min) has been used to initiate damage at the posterior mid-outer
8 AF border, and a high load rate (40 mm/min) provoked endplate fracture (Wade et al., 2014).

9 Studies conducted under cyclic compression in the neutral (Gregory and Callaghan, 2012;
10 Wade et al., 2015; Xing et al., 2020) and flexed postures (Wade et al., 2016; Źak and
11 Pezowicz, 2021) have also been used to provoke IVD damage. Cyclic compression of 0.5
12 MPa at 1 Hz for 1.5 h a day for 7 days in a murine IVD culture model has shown to reduced
13 cell viability in the AF compared to unloaded controls, along with a reduction in PG content,
14 and a reduction in loaded disc height of approximately 25 % (Xing et al., 2020). These
15 changes are consistent with mild disc degeneration, though no biomechanical testing was
16 completed. However, similar axial loading (0.2-1 MPa at 1 Hz, or 0.2-2.5 MPa at 1 Hz) using
17 bovine tail IVDs in a whole organ culture model for 6 days suggests that the dynamic loading
18 does not compromise mechanical properties, disc height, water content, or PG content
19 (Korecki et al., 2008b). Studies have shown that a change from a neutral to a flexed posture
20 of 10° when applying cyclic compression leads to significantly increased damage (Wade et
21 al., 2016; Wilke et al., 2016). Additionally, these studies both demonstrated that the degree
22 of damage is dependent on the number of loading cycles and frequency. In agreement with
23 the flexed ramp loading described above, the damage initiated from flexed cyclic loading
24 occurred predominantly in the posterior region of the AF (Wade et al., 2016).

25 More complex experimental loading methods have also been designed, in which specimens
26 have not only been subjected to compressive loading (static or cyclic) but also to conditions
27 that simulate physiological movement such as flexion-extension (FE), lateral bending (LB)

1 and axial rotation (AR) (Berger-Roscher et al., 2017; Gregory and Callaghan, 2011; Wilke et
2 al., 2016). Although significant reductions in disc height or changes in stiffness were not
3 measured after testing porcine FSUs under both cyclic axial compression and FE loading
4 periods, damage in the AF fibres was observed (Gregory and Callaghan, 2011). Similarly,
5 combining flexion-extension, lateral bending and axial rotation with static axial compression
6 caused AF and CEP damage, which eventually led to IVD herniation (Berger-Roscher et al.,
7 2017; Wilke et al., 2016). Herniation is a consequence of annular rupture and NP tissue
8 migration, and would generally be considered as a separate pathology to IVD degeneration
9 (Lama et al., 2013). However, CEP damage may lead to disc degeneration (Adams et al.,
10 2000; Dudli et al., 2012), and it is possible that such complex loading could be modified to
11 lead to the lower levels of AF damage more representative of IVD degeneration.

12 **3.2. Biochemical methods of IVD degeneration**

13 For the purposes of this review, protocols where the degenerative initiator is a chemical
14 compound introduced into the IVD are defined as biochemical models (Table 3). These
15 agents are used to replicate the degradation of the components of the ECM during human
16 disc degeneration.

17 The breakdown of collagen, PGs aggregates and GAGs of the ECM has been achieved by
18 injecting digestive enzymes such as trypsin (Jim et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2008;
19 Rosenzweig et al., 2018), papain (Chan et al., 2013; Malonzo et al., 2015; Newton et al.,
20 2018; Roberts et al., 2008), chymopapain (Chen et al., 2009), or inflammatory cytokines such
21 as TNF-alpha (Purmessur et al., 2013) into the IVD. The severity of the resulting tissue
22 damage depends on the concentration, exposure time, and presence of loading. Exposing
23 bovine discs to papain (Chan et al., 2013; Malonzo et al., 2015), and porcine discs to
24 chymopapain (Chen et al., 2009), in unloaded conditions, results in cavities in the NP. In
25 contrast, whilst fissures in the NP were identified in specimens subjected to physiological
26 loading during digestion using papain or trypsin, cavities were not (Alsup et al., 2017; Newton

1 et al., 2018; Roberts et al., 2008). The injection of trypsin and papain also reduce the
2 compressive ($\approx 40\%$) and rotational stiffness ($>50\%$) of the IVD (Alsup et al., 2017; Chan et
3 al., 2013; Newton et al., 2018).

4 Biochemical models of IVD degeneration have also employed collagenase and elastase
5 (Antoniou et al., 2006; Barbir et al., 2011, 2010). Collagenase degrades the collagen fibres of
6 the ECM, altering the collagen/GAG content ratio in the IVD (Antoniou et al., 2006; Barbir et
7 al., 2010; Rustenburg et al., 2020), and causing tissue compaction, which increases the
8 compressive stiffness by approximately 10 %. Moreover, the disc height of collagenase-
9 treated samples was significantly reduced ($\approx 40\%$) with respect to the control group (Barbir et
10 al., 2010). The breakdown of elastin due to the injection of elastase reduces tensile (25%)
11 and torsional (65%) stiffness and disc height ($\approx 30\%$) (Barbir et al., 2011, 2010). The loss of
12 GAGs was also identified in samples treated with elastase, and this led to a corresponding
13 increase in the free amine content (Barbir et al., 2010).

14 A bovine disc cell culture model has been used to demonstrate that the injection of TNF-
15 alpha (inflammatory cytokine) triggers the activation of gene expressions associated with
16 nerve ingrowth common in severe cases of IVD degeneration (Purmessur et al., 2013), which
17 may provide a valuable model to understand how IVD degeneration may develop into DDD,
18 and the corresponding pain that can differentiate asymptomatic IVD degeneration with DDD.

19 **3.3. Hybrid methods of IVD degeneration**

20 Hybrid models of disc degeneration are defined as those which combine both mechanical
21 and biochemical initiators (Table 4). While many biochemical models introduce the
22 degenerative compound via an injection into the IVD, we did not consider these a hybrid
23 method unless they aimed to cause depressurisation through the needle puncture. If the
24 injection was combined with additional initiators such as physical disruption to the IVD or
25 mechanical loading then it was be regarded as a hybrid model.

1 Puncturing the disc components (AF and NP) 100 times to cause depressurisation and
2 annular tearing, combined with the injection of an enzyme (collagenase or MMP-3) to
3 degrade collagen and PGs has been used (Growney Kalaf et al., 2017, 2014). It was found
4 that there was a reduction in disc height that was approximately 25 % greater in both the
5 0.5 % and 1.0 % collagenase groups compared to the intact group after 30k cycles, whereas
6 the IVDs injected with PBS or MMP-3 resulted in an increase in disc height (Growney Kalaf
7 et al., 2014). Similarly, there was an order of magnitude or greater reduction in the NP
8 Young's modulus in the collagenase groups compared to the PBS injection group and MMP3
9 injection groups (0.005 % and 0.0025 % concentration). The authors concluded that the
10 0.5 % collagenase group provided the closest resemblance of natural IVD degeneration, with
11 the higher concentration (1.0 % collagenase) resulting in excessive destruction of the IVD.
12 However, the same hybrid model comprising 100 disc punctures and with an injection of 1 %
13 collagenase was subsequently used alongside a 100 puncture model to evaluate various
14 alginate hydrogel implants (Growney Kalaf et al., 2017). While the large variation in the
15 results led to few statistical differences being observed, it may have been the use of an
16 unrepresentative model of IVD degeneration that meant that the subsequent introduction of
17 hydrogel treatments did not restore the mean Young's Modulus (15 MPa) to that of the intact
18 IVD (23 MPa).

19 To overcome the severe ECM digestion and cavities in the NP caused trypsin in unloaded
20 conditions (Jim et al., 2011), Unloaded-trypsin samples showed lower levels of GAGs when
21 compared with loaded-trypsin samples after 7 days of testing in a whole organ IVD culture
22 model (Gawri et al., 2014). The NP of loaded-trypsin samples have also been shown to have
23 significantly reduced swelling pressure (≈ 80 % reduction) and compressive modulus (≈ 80 %)
24 when compared with loaded-buffer samples (Mwale et al., 2008), and significantly greater
25 reduction in disc height compared with intact samples (0.43 mm compared to 0.1 mm)
26 (Varma et al., 2018). These, effects replicate important aspects of degeneration, but the
27 addition of physiological loading has been adopted (Kuo et al., 2014; Mwale et al., 2008;

1 Périé et al., 2006), which replicates the same characteristics of degeneration without the
2 cavities associated with unloaded specimens.

3 Hybrid models IVD organ cultures have also combined loading, a biochemical agent, and
4 altered nutrition to provoke degeneration (Lang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020). Overloading and
5 a low glucose environment led to significantly greater reduction in disc height ($\approx 20\%$)
6 compared to physiologically loaded controls ($\approx 10\%$) relative to the IVD height after
7 dissection, and the overloading also led to a significant reduction in cell viability after 11
8 days. However, the combination of overloading, a low glucose environment, and injecting the
9 NP with TNF-alpha, also increased proinflammatory cytokines associated with IVD
10 degeneration, and GAG content released from the IVD into the culture medium. These
11 results suggest that the combination of loading, culture environment, and introduction of a
12 biochemical initiator of degeneration may allow different aspects of the degenerative process
13 to be simulated.

14 **4. Discussion**

15 IVD degeneration is a complex phenomenon where the biomechanics, ECM structure,
16 cellular activity, and nutrient supply can all be detrimentally altered, and all these aspects of
17 are linked in what has been described as a vicious circle of IVD degeneration (Vergroesen et
18 al., 2015). Imitating all the characteristics of degeneration in the same model is extremely
19 challenging, and to critically assess the degenerative models described above, models were
20 compared with the characteristics and grading of IVD degeneration observed in the clinical
21 setting, and the morphological and biomechanical changes reported in the literature based
22 on laboratory studies (Table 1). Linking the models of degeneration with such grading (Table
23 2-4) provides a clearer understanding of how different models might be used to understand
24 degenerative mechanisms, and to evaluate treatments and therapies for DDD that may be
25 indicated for use at different stages of the degenerative process.

1 A commonly used method to initiate degeneration is via one or more needle punctures,
2 which leads to a loss in PGs and a reduction in IDP. However, the acute damage this leads
3 to is not representative of normal degeneration. Therefore, these models cannot be easily
4 graded according to clinical and biomechanical observations of degeneration (Table 2). The
5 reduction in IDP in many puncture models is representative of moderate/severe degeneration
6 (grade III-IV), and while these models have generally reported a reduction in stiffness
7 associated with moderate degeneration (Elliott et al., 2008; Korecki et al., 2008a; Torre et al.,
8 2019), it is often accompanied by a limited reduction in disc height even with a relatively
9 large needle (needle diameter/disc height ratio of 0.5-1.0) (Elliott et al., 2008), which is more
10 representative of mild degeneration (Grade II). Furthermore, aside from the localised
11 damage at the site of the puncture, and the localised inflammation and apoptosis that this
12 causes in organ culture or in-vivo puncture models, these models do not replicate the
13 structural damage to the CEPs or AF of moderate/severe degeneration. Therefore, puncture
14 models should be regarded as an injury model rather than a model of IVD degeneration.
15 However, despite such limitations in puncture models, which may include biochemical
16 models that puncture the IVD for the subsequent injection of a biochemical agent, they have
17 the advantage that they can be used both in-vitro and in-vivo, which is more challenging with
18 loading-based models. This translation from in-vitro models to in-vivo pre-clinical studies
19 (Malonzo et al., 2015; Rosenzweig et al., 2018) is valuable in the development and
20 evaluation of treatments for DDD (Kotani et al., 2002), and may justify the use of such
21 models. The use of relatively small needles does avoid the depressurisation and reduction in
22 disc height and maintains mechanical properties compared to intact IVDs. However, these
23 models do not change water or GAG content, or any qualitative measures from histology,
24 radiology, or MRI (Elliott et al., 2008). Therefore, they are not suitable as a degenerative
25 model without the added introduction of a biochemical agent.

26 The loss in PGs, more fibrous tissue in the NP and more irregular CEPs observed in mild
27 degeneration (Grade II) is less likely to be linked with DDD than more severe degeneration,

1 as there is limited interruption to the IVD structure, and though there may be a slight
2 reduction in disc height, the stiffness is similar to healthy IVDs (Grade I). Therefore, whilst in-
3 vitro models of mild degeneration may be valuable in the investigation of the mechanisms
4 associated with the early stages of degeneration, they may be less useful in the evaluation of
5 treatments for DDD.

6 All but two biochemical models (Barbir et al., 2011, 2010) included in this review introduced
7 the biochemical agent via an injection. Therefore, although a large number of these models
8 were developed without including biomechanical outcome measures such as stiffness or
9 IDP, and whilst using small needle may limit the mechanical disruption to the IVD, it has
10 been shown that there is slow depressurisation of the IVD following injection (Varden et al.,
11 2019). Therefore, although some of these models were graded as mild degeneration to
12 reflect the specific aspects of degeneration that the biochemical agent caused (Table 2),
13 these models are generally less likely to be suitable models of mild degeneration compared
14 to those that do not disrupt the IVD with a needle. Furthermore, the use of biochemical
15 models without any loading has been shown to lead to cavities in the NP, which do not reflect
16 normal IVD degeneration (Chan et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2009; Malonzo et al., 2015; Roberts
17 et al., 2008), so the application of physiological loading should be considered for all
18 degenerative models, even if the loading itself is not applied as an initiator of degeneration.

19 A degenerative loading model (0.32-0.5 MPa at 5 Hz for 2 hours a day) has been shown to
20 result in a slight reduction in disc height, and reduced cell viability after 11 days of culture
21 compared to physiologically loaded IVDs (Lang et al., 2018). Although this model does not
22 lead to an increase in GAG content in the surrounding culture media, or the upregulation of
23 proinflammatory cytokines associated with IVD degeneration that an additional injection of
24 TNF-alpha causes, it avoids the depressurisation of the IVD that is associated with puncture
25 and injection models. The development of this model did not undertake biomechanical
26 analyses, but a similar loading protocol comprising combined cyclic compression at 5 Hz
27 (Gregory and Callaghan, 2011). This loading protocol was specifically designed to provoke

1 herniation, by combining FE with axial compression, and even under the same FE loading
2 with a static compressive load, herniation was observed in 4 out of 10 IVDs. Therefore,
3 limiting a cyclic overloading to axial compression-extension (Lang et al., 2018) may provide
4 the most suitable model for mild degeneration (Grade II).

5 Moderate degeneration (Grade III) is characterised by a reduction in IDP and stiffness, and
6 further reduction in disc height compared to mild degeneration. On a microstructural level,
7 moderate degeneration is where the CEP irregularities progress to CEP defects, and there is
8 a loss in the demarcation of the NP-AF boundary. Low-frequency (0.5 Hz) cyclic compressive
9 loading (1525 ± 1425 N) combined with neutral-flexion ($0-7^\circ$) has been shown to lead to
10 annular tears and infolding of the inner AF compared to control specimens, and such loading
11 has a dose-response, with greater disruption observed at 10,000 and 30,000 cycles
12 compared to 5,000 cycles (Schollum et al., 2018). The low-frequency, high magnitude
13 loading was suggested to be the reason for the occurrence of more infolding and distortions
14 in the AF, and the variable flexion from $0-7^\circ$ through the compression cycle thought to be
15 why NP material did not penetrate into the AF compared to lower magnitude loading
16 (1300 ± 500 N) at a higher frequency (5 Hz) in a fixed flexion posture of 7° (Wade et al.,
17 2016). Both these models (Schollum et al., 2018; Wade et al., 2016) have potential as
18 models for moderate degeneration, and lead on from the suggested model for mild
19 degeneration (Lang et al., 2018) in terms of being initiated through a cyclic loading protocol
20 with the potential for the dose-response to be used to tune the level of degeneration as
21 required. However, neither of these studies completed biomechanical analyses, and disc
22 height loss may be limited (Schollum et al., 2018). The substantial disc height loss observed
23 in moderate degeneration of the IVD is a key factor in the reduction in stiffness; the reduced
24 water content, and the infolding of the AF mean that collagen fibres are less likely to be
25 loaded tension under normal ranges of motion compared to a healthy IVD, and the reduction
26 in disc height also leads less stability due to laxity in the spinal ligaments. Therefore, while
27 the above cyclic loading protocols successfully replicate microstructural damage of moderate

1 degeneration, further research into the dose-response to replicate the biomechanical
2 changes associated with moderate degeneration is required.

3 The use of biochemical initiators of degeneration combined with the above cyclic loading
4 protocols may offer the potential to combine the degradation of the ECM, microstructural
5 damage, and reduction in disc height and stiffness associated with moderate degeneration.
6 The immersion of rat IVDs in biochemical agents (elastase, collagenase, genipin) has been
7 shown to lead to significant changes in the biochemical content of the IVD, as well as
8 affecting the tensile stiffness and the neutral zone under cyclic loading, and cumulative disc
9 height under creep loading (Barbir et al., 2010), with a similar study showing that elastase
10 immersion significantly reduces torsional stiffness (Barbir et al., 2011). However, further
11 research is needed to understand if this immersion technique will scale-up to larger in-vitro
12 animal models or whether it is necessary to inject the biochemical agent. The injection of
13 papain as a degenerative initiator in a whole-organ culture model has been shown to reduce
14 both compressive and rotational stiffness to ~50-85 % of control specimens depending on
15 the loading frequency and papain concentration (Chan et al., 2013), but these specimens
16 were not subject to any loading, which led to cavities in the NP. The injection of trypsin has
17 also been shown to reduce compressive stiffness compared to an injection of a buffer, and
18 this was similar whether specimens were unloaded or loaded (Mwale et al., 2008).

19 No biochemical models were identified that achieve severe (IV) or very severe (V)
20 degeneration (Table 3). While some biochemical models, such as the introduction of
21 collagenase, trypsin or papain in high concentration or over long time periods, will severely
22 disrupt the IVD, the damage is not representative of normal disc degeneration. This may limit
23 the value of such models for investigating degeneration and evaluating the efficacy of DDD
24 treatments. A variety of the techniques discussed above have been used to create aspects of
25 moderate to severe degeneration (Grades III-IV), including hybrid methods that combine
26 multiple IVD punctures with biochemical injection and cyclic testing (Growney Kalaf et al.,
27 2014), but the majority of models achieving this level of degeneration rely upon

1 hyperphysiological ramp loading, often in a flexed posture (Table 2). It is noteworthy that only
2 one model was identified as achieving very severe degeneration (Grade V) and this also
3 employs an injurious ramp load to specimens in a flexed posture (Adams et al., 2000). These
4 high-magnitude ramp loads can cause defects and fractures in the CEPs, leading to a loss of
5 PGs, IVD depressurisation, and a reduction in disc height, though no current models
6 replicate the dramatic height loss of associated with severe degeneration. However, as with
7 the puncture models discussed above, ramp and impact loading are more representative of
8 trauma than degeneration.

9 More research is therefore needed to refine severe models of degeneration. There is
10 potential that the magnitude and number of cycles in cyclic loading could be increased to
11 induce severe degeneration. The varied levels (Grades II-IV) of degeneration that cyclic
12 loading has been used to achieve (Table 2) (Gregory and Callaghan, 2011; Schollum et al.,
13 2018; Wade et al., 2016), and the dose-response that such loading provides, offers
14 promising opportunities to provide a standardised and tunable in-vitro model of degeneration.
15 However, such developments should include both morphological and biomechanical
16 analyses to increase their impact on the spinal and biomechanical research communities.
17 Additionally, a hybrid model that combines cyclic loading of a magnitude sufficient to provoke
18 CEP damage, as well as tears, delamination, and distortion of the AF, with a biochemical
19 agent such as trypsin or papain introduced through immersion or injection via a small needle
20 to degrade the ECM, particularly within the NP, may provide the macro- and micro-structural
21 degeneration representative of severe degeneration, including a reduction in disc height and
22 increase in stiffness compared to the healthy IVD.

23 The effect of mechanical loading on the cellular activity in the IVD remains unclear, with
24 conflicting reports of whether loading leads to degeneration or improved disc health. This
25 may be the result of a wide variety of loading conditions being used, methods that are
26 challenging to replicate across laboratories due to the complexity of combining mechanical
27 loading systems with cell culture protocols, and the potential for scaling issues between small

1 and large animal models. Mechanical loading affects IVD nutrition (Gullbrand et al., 2015;
2 Zhu et al., 2012), which is an important factor in both degeneration and regeneration (De
3 Geer, 2018; Huang et al., 2014). Therefore, it is critical that nutrition is considered in culture
4 models investigating the effect of degeneration on cell activity and viability, and in the
5 evaluation of regenerative therapies for DDD, which may require viable cells to be effective,
6 or the maintenance of non-native cells through sufficient IVD nutrition.

7 **5. Conclusion**

8 There is no one in-vitro model that can replicate all the features and stages of human IVD
9 degeneration. Most models included in this review achieve mild to moderate degeneration in
10 terms of biomechanics, ECM composition, or microstructural damage. Methods that initiate
11 degeneration with cyclic loading provide the best potential to reproduce structural failure and
12 biomechanical changes similar those of natural degeneration, and have a dose-response
13 that enables researchers to tune the level of degeneration (Schollum et al., 2018; Wade et
14 al., 2016). There is potential that such loading can be combined with a biochemical agent to
15 degrade the ECM, which can also provide a dose-response based on concentration and
16 exposure time.

17 There are several areas where further research is warranted. Cyclic compression loading
18 models frequently include flexed postures (Wade et al., 2016; Źak and Pezowicz, 2021) or
19 cyclic flexion (Gregory and Callaghan, 2011; Schollum et al., 2018), but there is a lack of
20 standardisation across tests., Further research into posture and combined loading, and the
21 dose-response would be valuable, as certain magnitudes of combined compression and
22 flexion can lead to herniation rather than degeneration (Berger-Roscher et al., 2017; Gregory
23 and Callaghan, 2011; Wilke et al., 2016). Further research into the immersion of IVD
24 specimens in a biochemical agent (Barbir et al., 2011, 2010) is needed to understand if it can
25 degrade the ECM of the NP in large animal models, without the damage associated with a
26 needle puncture to inject the agent directly into the IVD. Finally, there are limited models that

1 replicate the characteristics of severe degeneration without subjecting the IVD to traumatic
2 loading. Hybrid models combining cyclic overloading and a biochemical agent have not yet
3 been used extensively but offer potential, and more research is needed to understand if they
4 can replicate severe and very severe degeneration, which represents the likely level of
5 degeneration that would indicate surgical/therapeutic intervention in the clinical setting.

6 **6. Conflicts of interest**

7 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

8 **7. Acknowledgements**

9 This work was completed without external funding.

10 **8. References**

11 Adams, M.A., Dolan, P., 2012. Intervertebral disc degeneration: evidence for two distinct
12 phenotypes. *J. Anat.* 221, 497–506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2012.01551.x>

13 Adams, M.A., Dolan, P., 2005. Spine biomechanics. *J. Biomech.* 38, 1972–1983.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2005.03.028>

15 Adams, M.A., Freeman, B.J.C., Morrison, H.P., Nelson, I.W., Dolan, P., 2000. Mechanical
16 Initiation of Intervertebral Disc Degeneration. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 25, 1625–1636.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200007010-00005>

18 Adams, M.A., Roughley, P.J., 2006. What is intervertebral disc degeneration, and what
19 causes it? *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 31, 2151–2161.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000231761.73859.2c>

21 Alkhatib, B., Rosenzweig, D.H., Krock, E., Roughley, P.J., Beckman, L., Steffen, T., Weber,
22 M.H., Ouellet, J.A., Haglund, L., 2014. Acute mechanical injury of the human

- 1 intervertebral disc: link to degeneration and pain. *Eur. Cell. Mater.* 28, 98–110;
2 discussion 110-1. <https://doi.org/10.22203/eCM.v028a08>
- 3 Alsup, J., Bishop, T., Eggett, D., Bowden, A.E., 2017. Human and bovine spinal disc
4 mechanics subsequent to trypsin injection. *J. Orthop. Transl.* 11, 53–61.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2017.06.003>
- 6 Amin, D.B., Sommerfeld, D., Lawless, I.M., Stanley, R.M., Ding, B., Costi, J.J., 2016. Effect
7 of degeneration on the six degree of freedom mechanical properties of human lumbar
8 spine segments. *J. Orthop. Res.* 34, 1399–409. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.23334>
- 9 An, H.S., Masuda, K., 2006. Relevance of In Vitro and In Vivo Models for Intervertebral Disc
10 Degeneration. *J. Bone Jt. Surg.* 88, 88. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.01272>
- 11 Antoniou, J., Mwale, F., Demers, C.N., Beaudoin, G., Goswami, T., Aebi, M., Alini, M., 2006.
12 Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of enzymatically induced degradation of the
13 nucleus pulposus of intervertebral discs. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 31, 1547–1554.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000221995.77177.9d>
- 15 Barbir, A., Godburn, K.E., Michalek, A.J., Lai, A., Monsey, R.D., Iatridis, J.C., 2011. Effects of
16 Torsion on Intervertebral Disc Gene Expression and Biomechanics, Using a Rat Tail
17 Model. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 36, 607–614.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181d9b58b>
- 19 Barbir, A., Michalek, A.J., Abbott, R.D., Iatridis, J.C., 2010. Effects of enzymatic digestion on
20 compressive properties of rat intervertebral discs. *J. Biomech.* 43, 1067–1073.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.12.005>
- 22 Belfiore, P., Scaletti, A., Frau, A., Ripani, M., Spica, V.R., Liguori, G., 2018. Economic
23 aspects and managerial implications of the new technology in the treatment of low back
24 pain. *Technol. Health Care* 1, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-181311>

- 1 Berger-Roscher, N., Casaroli, G., Rasche, V., Villa, T., Galbusera, F., Wilke, H.J., 2017.
2 Influence of complex loading conditions on intervertebral disc failure. *Spine (Phila. Pa.*
3 *1976)*. 42, E78–E85. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000001699>
- 4 Boos, N., Weissbach, S., Rohrbach, H., Weiler, C., Spratt, K.F., Nerlich, A.G., 2002.
5 Classification of age-related changes in lumbar intervertebral discs: 2002 Volvo award
6 in basic science. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 27, 2631–2644.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200212010-00002>
- 8 Bostelmann, R., Steiger, H.-J.J., Cornelius, J.F., 2017. Effect of Annular Defects on
9 Intradiscal Pressures in the Lumbar Spine: An in Vitro Biomechanical Study of
10 Discectomy and Annular Repair. *J. Neurol. Surg. A. Cent. Eur. Neurosurg.* 78, 46–52.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1570344>
- 12 Chan, S.C.W., Bürki, A., Bonél, H.M., Benneker, L.M., Gantenbein-Ritter, B., 2013. Papain-
13 induced in vitro disc degeneration model for the study of injectable nucleus pulposus
14 therapy. *Spine J.* 13, 273–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2012.12.007>
- 15 Chen, W.H., Liu, H.Y., Lo, W.C., Wu, S.C., Chi, C.H., Chang, H.Y., Hsiao, S.H., Wu, C.H.,
16 Chiu, W.T., Chen, B.J., Deng, W.P., 2009. Intervertebral disc regeneration in an ex vivo
17 culture system using mesenchymal stem cells and platelet-rich plasma. *Biomaterials* 30,
18 5523–5533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.07.019>
- 19 Chiang, C.J., Cheng, C.K., Sun, J.S., Liao, C.J., Wang, Y.H., Tsuang, Y.H., 2011. The effect
20 of a new anular repair after discectomy in intervertebral disc degeneration: An
21 experimental study using a porcine spine model. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 36, 761–769.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181e08f01>
- 23 Daly, C., Ghosh, P., Jenkin, G., Oehme, D., Goldschlager, T., 2016. A Review of Animal
24 Models of Intervertebral Disc Degeneration: Pathophysiology, Regeneration, and
25 Translation to the Clinic. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2016, 5952165.

- 1 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5952165>
- 2 De Geer, C.M., 2018. Intervertebral Disk Nutrients and Transport Mechanisms in Relation to
3 Disk Degeneration: A Narrative Literature Review. *J. Chiropr. Med.* 17, 97–105.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcm.2017.11.006>
- 5 Dudli, S., Ferguson, S.J., Haschtmann, D., 2014. Severity and pattern of post-traumatic
6 intervertebral disc degeneration depend on the type of injury. *Spine J.* 14, 1256–64.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2013.07.488>
- 8 Dudli, S., Haschtmann, D., Ferguson, S.J., 2012. Fracture of the vertebral endplates, but not
9 equienergetic impact load, promotes disc degeneration in vitro. *J. Orthop. Res.* 30, 809–
10 816. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.21573>
- 11 Elliott, D.M., Yerramalli, C.S., Beckstein, J.C., Boxberger, J.I., Johannessen, W., Vresilovic,
12 E.J., 2008. The effect of relative needle diameter in puncture and sham injection animal
13 models of degeneration. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 33, 588–596.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e318166e0a2>
- 15 Emanuel, K.S., Vergroesen, P.-P.A., Peeters, M., Holewijn, R.M., Kingma, I., Smit, T.H.,
16 2015. Poroelastic behaviour of the degenerating human intervertebral disc: a ten-day
17 study in a loaded disc culture system. *Eur. Cell. Mater.* 29, 330–40; discussion 340-1.
18 <https://doi.org/10.22203/eCM.v029a25>
- 19 Ferguson, S.J., Ito, K., Nolte, L.-P., 2004. Fluid flow and convective transport of solutes
20 within the intervertebral disc. *J. Biomech.* 37, 213–221. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(03)00250-1)
21 [9290\(03\)00250-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(03)00250-1)
- 22 Freemont, A., Peacock, T., Goupille, P., Hoyland, J., O'Brien, J., Jayson, M., 1997. Nerve
23 ingrowth into diseased intervertebral disc in chronic back pain. *Lancet* 350, 178–181.
24 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(97\)02135-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(97)02135-1)

- 1 Gawri, R., Moir, J., Ouellet, J., Beckman, L., Steffen, T., Roughley, P., Haglund, L., 2014.
2 Physiological loading can restore the proteoglycan content in a model of early IVD
3 degeneration. *PLoS One* 9, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101233>
- 4 Gregory, D.E., Callaghan, J.P., 2012. An examination of the mechanical properties of the
5 annulus fibrosus: The effect of vibration on the intra-lamellar matrix strength. *Med. Eng.*
6 *Phys.* 34, 472–477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2011.08.007>
- 7 Gregory, D.E., Callaghan, J.P., 2011. Does Vibration Influence the Initiation of Intervertebral
8 Disc Herniation? *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 36, E225–E231.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181d89094>
- 10 Growney Kalaf, E.A., Pendyala, M., Bledsoe, J.G., Sell, S.A., 2017. Characterization and
11 restoration of degenerated IVD function with an injectable, in situ gelling alginate
12 hydrogel: An in vitro and ex vivo study. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 72, 229–240.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2017.05.014>
- 14 Growney Kalaf, E.A., Sell, S.A., Bledsoe, J.G., 2014. Developing a mechanical and chemical
15 model of degeneration in young bovine lumbar intervertebral disks and reversing loss in
16 mechanical function. *J. Spinal Disord. Tech.* 27, E168-75.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BSD.0000000000000085>
- 18 Gullbrand, S.E., Peterson, J., Ahlborn, J., Mastropolo, R., Fricker, A., Roberts, T.T.,
19 Abousayed, M., Lawrence, J.P., Glennon, J.C., Ledet, E.H., 2015. ISSLS Prize Winner:
20 Dynamic Loading-Induced Convective Transport Enhances Intervertebral Disc Nutrition.
21 *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 40, 1158–64. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000001012>
- 22 Hsieh, A.H., Hwang, D., Ryan, D.A., Freeman, A.K., Kim, H., 2009. Degenerative anular
23 changes induced by puncture are associated with insufficiency of disc biomechanical
24 function. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 34, 998–1005.
25 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31819c09c4>

- 1 Huang, Y.-C., Urban, J.P.G., Luk, K.D.K., 2014. Intervertebral disc regeneration: do nutrients
2 lead the way? *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 10, 561–566.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2014.91>
- 4 Iatridis, J.C., Nicoll, S.B., Michalek, A.J., Walter, B.A., Gupta, M.S., 2013. Role of
5 biomechanics in intervertebral disc degeneration and regenerative therapies: what
6 needs repairing in the disc and what are promising biomaterials for its repair? *Spine J.*
7 13, 243–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2012.12.002>
- 8 Jim, B., Steffen, T., Moir, J., Roughley, P., Haglund, L., 2011. Development of an intact
9 intervertebral disc organ culture system in which degeneration can be induced as a
10 prelude to studying repair potential. *Eur. Spine J.* 20, 1244–54.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-011-1721-x>
- 12 Kim, K.-W., Lim, T.-H., Kim, J.G., Jeong, S.-T., Masuda, K., An, H.S., 2003. The Origin of
13 Chondrocytes in the Nucleus Pulposus and Histologic Findings Associated With the
14 Transition of a Notochordal Nucleus Pulposus to a Fibrocartilaginous Nucleus Pulposus
15 in Intact Rabbit Intervertebral Discs. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 28, 982–990.
16 <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.BRS.0000061986.03886.4F>
- 17 Korecki, C.L., Costi, J.J., Iatridis, J.C., 2008a. Needle Puncture Injury Affects Intervertebral
18 Disc Mechanics and Biology in an Organ Culture Model. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 33,
19 235–241. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181624504>
- 20 Korecki, C.L., MacLean, J.J., Iatridis, J.C., 2008b. Dynamic Compression Effects on
21 Intervertebral Disc Mechanics and Biology. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 33, 1403–1409.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e318175cae7>
- 23 Kotani, Y., Abumi, K., Shikinami, Y., Takada, T., Kadoya, K., Shimamoto, N., Ito, M.,
24 Kadosawa, T., Fujinaga, T., Kaneda, K., 2002. Artificial intervertebral disc replacement
25 using bioactive three-dimensional fabric: design, development, and preliminary animal

- 1 study. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 27, 929–35; discussion 935-6.
- 2 Kuo, Y.-W., Hsu, Y.-C., Chuang, I.-T., Chao, P.-H.G., Wang, J.-L., 2014. Spinal Traction
3 Promotes Molecular Transportation in a Simulated Degenerative Intervertebral Disc
4 Model. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 39, E550–E556.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000000269>
- 6 Kushchayev, S. V., Glushko, T., Jarraya, M., Schuleri, K.H., Preul, M.C., Brooks, M.L.,
7 Teytelboym, O.M., 2018. ABCs of the degenerative spine. *Insights Imaging* 9, 253–274.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13244-017-0584-z>
- 9 Lama, P., Le Maitre, C.L., Dolan, P., Tarlton, J.F., Harding, I.J., Adams, M.A., 2013. Do
10 intervertebral discs degenerate before they herniate, or after? *Bone Jt. J* 9595, 1127–
11 33. <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.95B8>
- 12 Lang, G., Liu, Y., Geries, J., Zhou, Z., Kubosch, D., Südkamp, N., Richards, R.G., Alini, M.,
13 Grad, S., Li, Z., 2018. An intervertebral disc whole organ culture system to investigate
14 proinflammatory and degenerative disc disease condition. *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.*
15 12, e2051–e2061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/term.2636>
- 16 Li, Z., Gehlen, Y., Heizmann, F., Grad, S., Alini, M., Richards, R.G., Kubosch, D., Südkamp,
17 N., Izadpanah, K., Kubosch, E.J., Lang, G., 2020. Preclinical ex-vivo Testing of Anti-
18 inflammatory Drugs in a Bovine Intervertebral Degenerative Disc Model. *Front. Bioeng.*
19 *Biotechnol.* 8, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00583>
- 20 Lin, H.A., Varma, D.M., Hom, W.W., Cruz, M.A., Nasser, P.R., Phelps, R.G., Iatridis, J.C.,
21 Nicoll, S.B., 2019. Injectable cellulose-based hydrogels as nucleus pulposus
22 replacements: Assessment of in vitro structural stability, ex vivo herniation risk, and in
23 vivo biocompatibility. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 96, 204–213.
24 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.04.021>
- 25 Liu, J.W., Lin, K.H., Weber, C., Bhalla, S., Kelso, S., Wang, K., Tang, S.Y., 2017. An in vitro

1 organ culture model of the murine intervertebral disc. *J. Vis. Exp.* 2017.
2 <https://doi.org/10.3791/55437>

3 Malonzo, C., Chan, S.C.W., Kabiri, A., Eglin, D., Grad, S., Bonél, H.M., Benneker, L.M.,
4 Gantenbein-Ritter, B., 2015. A papain-induced disc degeneration model for the
5 assessment of thermo-reversible hydrogel-cells therapeutic approach. *J. Tissue Eng.*
6 *Regen. Med.* 9, E167-76. <https://doi.org/10.1002/term.1667>

7 Maquer, G., Brandejsky, V., Benneker, L.M., Watanabe, A., Vermathen, P., Zysset, P.K.,
8 2014. Human intervertebral disc stiffness correlates better with the Otsu threshold
9 computed from axial T2 map of its posterior annulus fibrosus than with clinical
10 classifications. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 36, 219–225.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2013.11.008>

12 Michalek, A.J., Buckley, M.R., Bonassar, L.J., Cohen, I., Iatridis, J.C., 2010. The effects of
13 needle puncture injury on microscale shear strain in the intervertebral disc annulus
14 fibrosus. *Spine J.* 10, 1098–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2010.09.015>

15 Michalek, A.J., Iatridis, J.C., 2012. Height and torsional stiffness are most sensitive to
16 annular injury in large animal intervertebral discs. *Spine J.* 12, 425–432.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2012.04.001>

18 Mwale, F., Demers, C.N., Michalek, A.J., Beaudoin, G., Goswami, T., Beckman, L., Iatridis,
19 J.C., Antoniou, J., 2008. Evaluation of quantitative magnetic resonance imaging,
20 biochemical and mechanical properties of trypsin-treated intervertebral discs under
21 physiological compression loading. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 27, 563–573.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.21242>

23 Newton, M.D., Hartner, S.E., Gawronski, K., Davenport, E.J., Timmons, S.C., Baker, K.C.,
24 Maerz, T., 2018. Nondestructive, indirect assessment of the biomechanical properties of
25 the rat intervertebral disc using contrast-enhanced μ CT. *J. Orthop. Res.* 36, 2030–2038.

1 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.23850>

2 Oxland, T.R., 2016. Fundamental biomechanics of the spine-What we have learned in the
3 past 25 years and future directions. *J. Biomech.* 49, 817–832.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.10.035>

5 Périé, D.S., Iatridis, J.C., Demers, C.N., Goswami, T., Beaudoin, G., Mwale, F., Antoniou, J.,
6 2006. Assessment of compressive modulus, hydraulic permeability and matrix content
7 of trypsin-treated nucleus pulposus using quantitative MRI. *J. Biomech.* 39, 1392–1400.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2005.04.015>

9 Pfirrmann, C.W., Metzdorf, A., Zanetti, M., Hodler, J., Boos, N., 2001. Magnetic resonance
10 classification of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 26,
11 1873–8.

12 Purmessur, D., Walter, B., Roughley, P., Laudier, D., Hecht, A., Iatridis, J., 2013. A role for
13 TNF α in intervertebral disc degeneration: A non-recoverable catabolic shift. *Biochem.*
14 *Biophys. Res. Commun.* 433, 151–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.02.034>

15 Roberts, S., Menage, J., Sivan, S., Urban, J.P., 2008. Bovine explant model of degeneration
16 of the intervertebral disc. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 9, 24.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-9-24>

18 Rosenzweig, D.H., Fairag, R., Mathieu, A.P., Li, L., Eglin, D., D'este, M., Steffen, T., Weber,
19 M.H., Ouellet, J.A., Haglund, L., 2018. Thermoreversible hyaluronan-hydrogel and
20 autologous nucleus pulposus cell delivery regenerates human intervertebral discs in
21 an ex vivo, physiological organ culture model. *Eur. Cells Mater.* 36, 200–217.
22 <https://doi.org/10.22203/eCM.v036a15>

23 Rustenburg, C.M.E., Snuggs, J.W., Emanuel, K.S., Thorpe, A., Sammon, C., Le Maitre, C.L.,
24 Smit, T.H., 2020. Modelling the catabolic environment of the moderately degenerated
25 disc with a caprine ex vivo loaded disc culture system. *Eur. Cells Mater.* 40, 21–37.

- 1 <https://doi.org/10.22203/eCM.v040a02>
- 2 Schollum, M.L., Wade, K.R., Robertson, P.A., Thambyah, A., Broom, N.D., 2018. A
3 microstructural investigation of disc disruption induced by low frequency cyclic loading.
4 *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 43, E132–E142.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000002278>
- 6 Shapiro, I.M., Risbud, M. V, 2014. *The Intervertebral Disc*. Springer Vienna, Vienna.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-1535-0>
- 8 Smith, L., 1964. Enzyme Dissolution of the Nucleus Pulposus. *JAMA* 187, 137–40.
- 9 Snow, C.R., Harvey-Burgess, M., Laird, B., Brown, S.H.M., Gregory, D.E., 2018. Pressure-
10 induced end-plate fracture in the porcine spine: Is the annulus fibrosus susceptible to
11 damage? *Eur. Spine J.* 27, 1767–1774. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5428-5>
- 12 Stefanakis, M., Luo, J., Pollintine, P., Dolan, P., Adams, M.A., 2014. ISSLS Prize winner:
13 Mechanical influences in progressive intervertebral disc degeneration. *Spine (Phila. Pa.*
14 *1976)*. 39, 1365–72. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000000389>
- 15 Techens, C., Palanca, M., Éltés, P.E., Lazáry, Á., Cristofolini, L., 2020. Testing the impact of
16 discoplasty on the biomechanics of the intervertebral disc with simulated degeneration:
17 An in vitro study. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 84, 51–59.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.024>
- 19 Thompson, J.P., Pearce, R.H., Schechter, M.T., Adams, M.E., Tsang, I.K., Bishop, P.B.,
20 1990. Preliminary evaluation of a scheme for grading the gross morphology of the
21 human intervertebral disc. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 15, 411–5.
- 22 Torre, O.M., Evashwick-Rogler, T.W., Nasser, P., Iatridis, J.C., 2019. Biomechanical test
23 protocols to detect minor injury effects in intervertebral discs. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed.*
24 *Mater.* 95, 13–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.03.024>

- 1 Urban, J.P., Roberts, S., 2003. Degeneration of the intervertebral disc. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 5,
2 120–130.
- 3 Urban, J.P.G., Smith, S., Fairbank, J.C.T., 2004. Nutrition of the intervertebral disc. *Spine*
4 (Phila. Pa. 1976). 29, 2700–9.
- 5 van Dieën, J.H., Weinans, H., Toussaint, H.M., 1999. Fractures of the lumbar vertebral
6 endplate in the etiology of low back pain: a hypothesis on the causative role of spinal
7 compression in aspecific low back pain. *Med. Hypotheses* 53, 246–52.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1054/mehy.1998.0754>
- 9 Varden, L.J., Nguyen, D.T., Michalek, A.J., 2019. Slow depressurization following intradiscal
10 injection leads to injectate leakage in a large animal model. *JOR Spine* 2, 1–6.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsp2.1061>
- 12 Varma, D.M., Lin, H.A., Long, R.G., Gold, G.T., Hecht, A.C., Iatridis, J.C., Nicoll, S.B., 2018.
13 Thermoresponsive, redox-polymerized cellulosic hydrogels undergo in situ gelation and
14 restore intervertebral disc biomechanics post discectomy. *Eur. Cells Mater.* 35, 300–
15 317. <https://doi.org/10.22203/eCM.v035a21>
- 16 Vergroesen, P.-P.A., Kingma, I., Emanuel, K.S., Hoogendoorn, R.J.W., Welting, T.J., van
17 Royen, B.J., van Dieën, J.H., Smit, T.H., 2015. Mechanics and biology in intervertebral
18 disc degeneration: a vicious circle. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 23, 1057–1070.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2015.03.028>
- 20 Wade, K.R., Robertson, P.A., Thambyah, A., Broom, N.D., 2015. Surprise loading in flexion
21 increases the risk of disc herniation due to annulus-endplate junction failure: A
22 mechanical and microstructural investigation. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 40, 891–901.
23 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000000888>
- 24 Wade, K.R., Robertson, P.A., Thambyah, A., Broom, N.D., 2014. How healthy discs herniate:
25 A biomechanical and microstructural study investigating the combined effects of

1 compression rate and flexion. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 39, 1018–1028.
2 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000000262>

3 Wade, K.R., Schollum, M.L., Robertson, P.A., Thambyah, A., Broom, N.D., 2016. ISSLS
4 prize winner: Vibration really does disrupt the disc. *Spine (Phila. Pa. 1976)*. 41, 1185–
5 1198. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.00000000000001594>

6 Walter, B.A., Korecki, C.L., Purmessur, D., Roughley, P.J., Michalek, A.J., Iatridis, J.C.,
7 2011. Complex loading affects intervertebral disc mechanics and biology. *Osteoarthr.*
8 *Cartil.* 19, 1011–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2011.04.005>

9 Whatley, B.R., Wen, X., 2012. Intervertebral disc (IVD): Structure, degeneration, repair and
10 regeneration. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 32, 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2011.10.011>

11 Wilke, H.-J., Kienle, A., Maile, S., Rasche, V., Berger-Roscher, N., 2016. A new dynamic six
12 degrees of freedom disc-loading simulator allows to provoke disc damage and
13 herniation. *Eur. Spine J.* 25, 1363–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-016-4416-5>

14 Xing, Y., Zhang, P., Zhang, Y., Holzer, L., Xiao, L., He, Y., Majumdar, R., Huo, J., Yu, X.,
15 Ramasubramanian, M.K., Jin, L., Wang, Y., Li, X., Oberholzer, J., 2020. A multi-
16 throughput mechanical loading system for mouse intervertebral disc. *J. Mech. Behav.*
17 *Biomed. Mater.* 105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2020.103636>

18 Żak, M., Pezowicz, C., 2021. Effect of overload on changes in mechanical and structural
19 properties of the annulus fibrosus of the intervertebral disc. *Biomech. Model.*
20 *Mechanobiol.* 20, 2259–2267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-021-01505-w>

21 Zhu, Q., Jackson, A.R., Gu, W.Y., 2012. Cell viability in intervertebral disc under various
22 nutritional and dynamic loading conditions: 3d finite element analysis. *J. Biomech.* 45,
23 2769–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.08.044>

24